arXiv:1606.02521v1 [math.QA] 8 Jun 2016

ON FINITE GK-DIMENSIONAL NICHOLS ALGEBRAS OVER ABELIAN GROUPS

NICOLÁS ANDRUSKIEWITSCH, IVÁN ANGIONO, ISTVÁN HECKENBERGER

Abstract. We contribute to the classification of Hopf algebras with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, GKdim for short, through the study of Nichols algebras over abelian groups. We deal first with braided vector spaces over $\mathbb{Z}$ with the generator acting as a single Jordan block and show that the corresponding Nichols algebra has finite GKdim if and only if the size of the block is 2 and the eigenvalue is ± 1; when this is 1, we recover the quantum Jordan plane. We consider next a class of braided vector spaces that are direct sums of blocks and points that contains those of diagonal type. We conjecture that a Nichols algebra of diagonal type has finite GKdim if and only if the corresponding generalized root system is finite. Assuming the validity of this conjecture, we classify all braided vector spaces in the mentioned class whose Nichols algebra has finite GKdim. Consequently we present several new examples of Nichols algebras with finite GKdim, including two not in the class alluded to above. We determine which among these Nichols algebras are domains.

As you set out for Ithaka
hope the voyage is a long one,
full of adventure, full of discovery.
Laistrygonians and Cyclops,
angry Poseidon—don't be afraid of them:
you'll never find things like that on your way
as long as you keep your thoughts raised high,
as long as a rare excitement
stirs your spirit and your body.
Laistrygonians and Cyclops,
wild Poseidon—you won't encounter them
unless you bring them along inside your soul,
unless your soul sets them up in front of you.
C. P. Cavafy

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T20, 17B37.
The work of N. A. and I. A. was partially supported by CONICET, Secyt (UNC), the MathAmSud project GR2HOPF. The work of I. A. was partially supported by ANPCyT (Foncyt). The work of N. A., respectively I. A., was partially done during a visit to the University of Marburg, respectively the MPI (Bonn), supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

Contents

1. Introduction 3
1.1. Antecedents 3
1.2. Points and blocks 3
1.3. The main result 4
1.4. Applications 11
2. Preliminaries 12
2.1. Conventions 12
2.2. Nichols algebras of diagonal type 13
2.3. On the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension 13
3. Yetter-Drinfeld modules of dimension 2 16
3.1. Indecomposable modules and blocks 16
3.2. The Jordan plane 17
3.3. The super Jordan plane 18
3.4. Filtrations of Nichols algebras 19
3.5. Proof of Theorem 3.2 20
4. Yetter-Drinfeld modules of dimension 3 23
4.1. The setting 23
4.2. Weak interaction 25
4.3. The Nichols algebras with finite GKdim 32
4.4. Mild interaction 39
5. One block and several points 47
5.1. The setting 47
5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1 ($\epsilon = 1$) 50
5.3. The Nichols algebras with finite GKdim, V_{diag} connected 61
5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.2 ($\epsilon = -1$) 74
6. Two blocks 87
6.1. The setting 87
6.2. $\epsilon_1 = 1$ 88
6.3. $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -1$ 96
7. Several blocks, several points 100
7.1. Notations 100
7.2. Several blocks, one point 101
7.3. The Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G})$ 105
7.4. Several blocks, several points 107
8. Appendix 112
8.1. Nichols algebras over abelian groups 112
8.2. Admissible flourished diagrams 124
References 124

1. Introduction

1.1. **Antecedents.** Since the inception of quantum groups in the early 80's of the last century, the natural question of their characterization in structural terms is present. A first illuminating contribution was the intrinsic description by Lusztig of the positive part $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ of the quantized enveloping algebra of a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ as what is called today a Nichols algebra [L2], see also [R1, S]. Then the pioneering paper [R2] explained that finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension is a crucial requirement to single out the $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (and their multiparametric versions) among the Nichols algebras of diagonal type, assuming $q > 0$. The classification of pointed Hopf algebras with finite GKdim that are domains, with abelian group of grouplikes and infinitesimal braiding of diagonal type was achieved in [AS2] starting from this result; the extension to q generic was obtained in [AA] by means of the theory in [H1]. A finer characterization of quantum groups was offered further in [ARS] in terms of representation theory. In all the mentioned articles, the starting point is a braided vector space of diagonal type. However there are very natural braided vector spaces not of diagonal type but related to natural examples of Hopf algebras, e.g. the quantum Jordan plane. This paper starts a systematic treatment of Nichols algebras of braided vector spaces over abelian groups that are not of diagonal type.

We point out that the classification of finite-dimensional Nichols algebras of diagonal type was performed using the Weyl groupoid [H1, H2]. However, this theory does not apply directly to the braided vector spaces under our consideration, since these are not direct sums of simple Yetter-Drinfeld modules.

The last years witnessed a development of the interest on the classification and understanding of Hopf algebras with controlled growth, see [B+]. For instance, the study of noetherian Hopf algebras was raised and discussed in [B1]; updates of the state-of-the-art were given in [B2, BG, G]. The first results on classification of Hopf algebras with low GKdim appeared in [LWZ, BZ, L, GZ, WZZ, Zh]; see an account in [G]. Connected Hopf algebras with finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension are quantum deformations of algebraic unipotent groups [EG].

The results of the present paper fit into the approach of the classification of pointed Hopf algebras through the lifting method [AS1], as was the viewpoint in [AS2, AA, ARS]; as said we focus on Nichols algebras with finite GKdim over abelian groups, one of the main steps of the lifting method. The explanation of how the remaining steps can be performed is contained, in a special situation, in the article [AAH1].

1.2. **Points and blocks.** If $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$, then let $\mathbb{I}_\theta = \{1, 2, \dots, \theta\}$. When θ is clear from the context we simply say $\mathbb{I}$. If $\theta > \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, then we set $\mathbb{I}_{\ell,\theta} = \{\ell, \ell+1, \dots, \theta\}$. Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and Γ an abelian group. We refer to [AS1] for the definitions of braided vector space, of the category ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a Hopf algebra

H (always assumed with bijective antipode), and of the Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ of $V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. Here we deal with the following question:

Question 1.1. If $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V < \infty$, when $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$?

As customary, we go back and forth between Yetter-Drinfeld modules and braided vector spaces. Note that the braided vector space V is triangular as in [U] (but we do not rely on the results of [U]). Also, not every triangular braiding arises from ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ for some abelian group Γ.

Braided vector spaces arising as Yetter-Drinfeld modules over abelian groups are direct sums of *points* and *blocks*:

- ◇ A *point* of label $q \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ is a braided vector space (V, c) of dimension 1 with $c = q\,\mathrm{id}$. Then $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is isomorphic to a truncated polynomial ring $\Bbbk[T]/\langle T^m\rangle$ if q is a root of 1 of order $m > 1$; or to a polynomial ring $\Bbbk[T]$, otherwise. All irreducible objects in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ are like this.
- ◇ A *block* $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, is a braided vector space with a basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_\ell}$ such that for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\ell = \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}$, $1 < j$:

$$c(x_i \otimes x_1) = \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_i, \qquad c(x_i \otimes x_j) = (\epsilon x_j + x_{j-1}) \otimes x_i. \tag{1.1}$$

Here is our first significant result:

Theorem 1.2. $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)) < \infty$ *if and only if* $\ell = 2$ *and* $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. *If this happens, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)) = 2$.

See Propositions 3.4, 3.5 and Theorems 3.2, 4.2. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1, 2))$ is a quadratic domain and has a PBW-basis with 2 generators; it appeared in the literature under the name of *Jordan plane*. See §3.2. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1, 2))$ is not a domain and has a PBW-basis with 3 generators, one of them of height 2; it is presented by one quadratic and one cubic relations. We call it a *super Jordan plane*. See §3.3. If $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, then we refer to $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$ as an *ϵ-block*.

1.3. **The main result.**

1.3.1. *The class of braided vector spaces.* We start by fixing the class of braided vector spaces (V, c) considered in this paper. First, we suppose that

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t \oplus V_{t+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_\theta, \tag{1.2}$$

$$c(V_i \otimes V_j) = V_j \otimes V_i,\ i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta, \tag{1.3}$$

where V_h is a ϵ_h-block, with $\epsilon_h^2 = 1$, for $h \in \mathbb{I}_t$; and V_i is a q_{ii}-point, with $q_{ii} \in \Bbbk^\times$, $i \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$. For simplicity, we assume that V_h is a 1-block, for $1 \leq h \leq t_+$, and a -1-block, for $t_+ + 1 \leq h \leq t_+ + t_- = t$, where $t_+ \in \{0, \dots, t\}$ is the number of 1-blocks. If $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$, then we set $c_{ij} = c_{|V_i\otimes V_j} : V_i \otimes V_j \to V_j \otimes V_i$.

If $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$, i.e. they are points, then there exists $q_{ij} \in \Bbbk^\times$ such that

$$c_{ij} = q_{ij}\tau,$$

where τ is the usual flip. We fix $x_j \in V_j$, non-zero, for $j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$.

Let now $i \in \mathbb{I}_t$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$ (a block and a point); set $B_{ij} = \{i, \frac{2i+1}{2}, j\}$. Here is our second hypothesis: we assume that there exists a basis $x_i, x_{\frac{2i+1}{2}}$ of V_i, $q_{ij}, q_{ji} \in \mathbf{k}^\times$ and $a_{ji} \in \Bbbk$ such that the braiding is given by

(1.4)

$$(c(x_r \otimes x_s))_{r,s\in B_{ij}} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_i x_i \otimes x_i & (\epsilon_i x_{\frac{2i+1}{2}} + x_i) \otimes x_i & q_{ij} x_j \otimes x_i \\ \epsilon_i x_i \otimes x_{\frac{2i+1}{2}} & (\epsilon_i x_{\frac{2i+1}{2}} + x_i) \otimes x_{\frac{2i+1}{2}} & q_{ij} x_j \otimes x_{\frac{2i+1}{2}} \\ q_{ji} x_i \otimes x_j & q_{ji}(x_{\frac{2i+1}{2}} + a_{ji} x_i) \otimes x_j & q_{jj} x_j \otimes x_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that

$$c_{ij}c_{ji} = \mathrm{id} \iff q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1 \text{ and } a_{ji} = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

The *interaction* between the block i and the point j is $\mathscr{I}_{ij} = q_{ij}q_{ji}$; it is

$$\text{weak if } q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1, \qquad \text{mild if } q_{ij}q_{ji} = -1, \qquad \text{strong if } q_{ij}q_{ji} \notin \{\pm 1\}.$$

We define the *ghost* between i and j as $\mathscr{G}_{ij} = \begin{cases} -2a_{ij}, & \epsilon_j = 1, \\ a_{ij}, & \epsilon_j = -1. \end{cases}$. So $c_{ij}c_{ji}$ is determined by the interaction and the ghost. If $\mathscr{G}_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$, then we say that the ghost is *discrete*.

Finally, set $i \sim j$ when $c_{ij}c_{ji} \neq \mathrm{id}_{V_j \otimes V_i}$, $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$. Let $\approx$ be the equivalence relation on $\mathbb{I}_\theta$ generated by $\sim$. Here is our last assumption: V is *connected*, i.e $i \approx j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$.

Remark 1.3. If $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V < \infty$, then V does not have necessarily the form (1.2), (1.3) with c_{ij} given by (1.4) for $i \in \mathbb{I}_t$ and $j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$. See §4.1.2 for examples assuming $\dim V = 3$ and §8 for a general discussion, including examples whose Nichols algebra has finite GKdim (see Table 4) and open problems, cf. Question 8.2.

However if V is a Yetter-Drinfeld module oven an abelian group and the decomposition in (1.2) is of Yetter-Drinfeld modules (where $V_1, \dots, V_t$ are blocks and $V_{t+1}, \dots V_\theta$ are points as assumed) then (1.3) and (1.4) hold.

Remark 1.4. Let $U, W \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ both finite-dimensional and assume that $c_{U,W}c_{W,U} = \mathrm{id}_{W\otimes U}$. Then $\mathcal{B}(U \oplus W) \simeq \mathcal{B}(U) \otimes \mathcal{B}(W)$ by [Gñ] (at least as graded vector spaces) and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U\oplus W) = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) + \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W)$. Hence it is enough to reduce to connected V.

1.3.2. *Diagonal type.* We discuss here the case $t = 0$, i.e. V is of diagonal type. A complete answer is not known presently, but we dare to propose:

Conjecture 1.5. [AAH2] *If V is of diagonal type and* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, *then the corresponding root system is finite.*

This would imply the classification of the Nichols algebras of diagonal type with finite GKdim from [H2]. See [An1, An2] for the defining relations. There is evidence on the validity of this Conjecture.

Theorem 1.6. [AAH2] *Assume that V is of diagonal type. If either its generalized root system is infinite and* $\dim V = 2$*, or else V is of affine Cartan type, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

The converse implication (finite root system implies finite GKdim) is known, see [H1]. Braided vector spaces of diagonal type play a crucial role in our approach; in this text we assume that

Hypothesis 1.7. *Conjecture 1.5 is true.*

1.3.3. *Flourished graphs.* Towards our main classification result, we need to introduce a class of decorated graphs, extending the generalized Dynkin diagrams of [H2].

Definition 1.8. A flourished graph is a graph $\mathcal{D}$ with θ vertices and the following decorations:

- The vertices have three kind of decorations $+$, $-$ and $q \in \Bbbk^\times$; they are depicted respectively as $\boxplus$, $\boxminus$ and $\overset{q}{\circ}$. There are t_+ vertices of the first kind, and t_- of the second. They are numbered respectively $1, \dots, t_+$; $t_+ + 1, \dots, t_+ + t_- =: t$; $t+1, \dots, \theta$ (with possibly different q's). The vertices in $\mathbb{I}_t$ are called blocks, the remaining are called points.
- If $i \neq j$ are points, and there is an edge between them, then it is decorated by some $\widetilde{q}_{ij} \in \Bbbk^\times - 1$: $\overset{q_i}{\circ} \overset{\widetilde{q}_{ij}}{\text{———}} \overset{q_j}{\circ}$.
- If h is a block and j is a point, then an edge between h and j is decorated either by $\mathscr{G}_{hj}$ or by $(-, \mathscr{G}_{hj})$ for some $\mathscr{G}_{hj} \in \Bbbk^\times$; or not decorated at all.

The full subgraph with vertices $\mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$ is denoted $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diag}}$; it is a generalized Dynkin diagram [H2]. The set of its connected components is denoted $\mathscr{X}$.

Let V be as in §1.3.1. We attach a flourished graph $\mathcal{D}$ to V by the following rules. The set of vertices of $\mathcal{D}$ is $\mathbb{I}_\theta$. The edges obey the following rules:

- If $h \in \mathbb{I}_t$, then corresponding vertex is depicted as $\boxplus$ when $\epsilon_h = 1$, respectively $\boxminus$ when $\epsilon_h = -1$.
- If $j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$, then the corresponding vertex is depicted as $\overset{q_{jj}}{\circ}$.
- There is an edge between i and $j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$ iff $i \sim j$.
- If $i \in \mathbb{I}_t$, $j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$, $\mathscr{I}_{ij}$ is weak and $\mathscr{G}_{ij} \neq 0$, respectively $\mathscr{I}_{ij}$ is mild, then the edge between i and j is labelled by $\mathscr{G}_{ij}$, respectively by $(-, \mathscr{G}_{ij})$.
- If $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$ and $q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$, then the corresponding edge is decorated by $\widetilde{q}_{ij} = q_{ij}q_{ji}$.

Here are the flourished graphs parametrizing Nichols algebras with finite GKdim:

Definition 1.9. A flourished graph is *admissible* when it is not of diagonal type (i.e. $t > 0$) and the following conditions hold.

(a) There are no edges between blocks.

(b) The only possible connections between a connected component $J \in \mathcal{X}$ and one block are described in Table 1 (the point connected with the block is black for emphasis).

TABLE 1. Connecting components and blocks; $\mathscr{G}_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$.

		
		
		
		
		
		

(c) Let $J \in \mathcal{X}$ (a connected component of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diag}}$). Then there is a unique $j \in J$ connected to a block.

(d) If $J \in \mathcal{X}$ has $|J| > 1$, then it is connected to a unique block i.

(e) If $J = \{j\} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $q_{jj} \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, then it is connected to a unique block i.

(f) If a block h is connected to a point j by an edge labelled $(-, \mathscr{G}_{hj})$ for some $\mathscr{G}_{hj} \in \Bbbk^\times$, then there is no other edge connecting h with a point and there is no other edge connecting j with a block.

The next is the main result of this monograph.

Theorem 1.10. *Let V be a braided vector space as in §1.3.1, not of diagonal type. Then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$ *if and only if its flourished graph $\mathcal{D}$ is admissible.*

1.3.4. *Organization of the paper and scheme of the proof.* Section 2 is devoted to preliminaries. The next Sections contain several partial classification results. In Section 3 we discuss Nichols algebras of blocks and prove a large part of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we classify Nichols algebras of a direct sum of a block and a point with finite GKdim, cf. Theorem 4.1. Along the way, the techniques in this Section allow us to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 5 we classify Nichols algebras of a direct sum of a block and several points with finite GKdim, cf. Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. In Section 6 we show that the Nichols algebra of a direct sum of two blocks has finite GKdim if and only if the blocks commute in the braided sense. Section 7 contains the discussion of the general case, while in Section 8 we discuss Examples of admissible flourished graphs and the braided vector spaces over abelian groups not in the class discussed in this monograph.

TABLE 2. Nichols algebras of a block and a point with finite GKdim

V	$\mathcal{B}(V)$; GKdim	generators and relations
$\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G})$	§4.3.1; $\mathscr{G}+3$	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3 \vert x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_1x_3 - q_{12}\, x_3x_1,$ $z_{1+\mathscr{G}}, z_tz_{t+1} - q_{21}q_{22}\, z_{t+1}z_t, 0 \le t < \mathscr{G}\rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G})$	§4.3.2; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3 \vert x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2,$ $x_1x_3 - q_{12}\, x_3x_1, z_{1+\mathscr{G}}, z_t^2,\ 0 \le t \le \mathscr{G}\rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G})$	§4.3.3; $\mathscr{G}+3$	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3 \vert x_1^2, x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21},$ $x_1x_3 - q_{12}\, x_3x_1, x_{21}x_3 - q_{12}^2x_3x_{21}, z_{1+2\mathscr{G}},$ $z_{2k+1}^2, z_{2k}z_{2k+1} - q_{21}q_{22}\, z_{2k+1}z_{2k}, 0 \le k < \mathscr{G}\rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}_-(-1,\mathscr{G})$	§4.3.4; $\mathscr{G}+2$	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3 \vert x_1^2, x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21}, x_3^2,$ $x_1x_3 - q_{12}\, x_3x_1, x_{21}x_3 - q_{12}^2x_3x_{21}, z_{1+2\mathscr{G}},$ $z_{2k}^2, z_{2k-1}z_{2k} - q_{21}q_{22}\, z_{2k}z_{2k-1}, 0 < k \le \mathscr{G}\rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(\omega,1)$	§4.3.5; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3 \vert x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2,$ $x_1x_3 - q_{12}\, x_3x_1,\ z_2,\ x_3^3,\ z_1^3,\ z_{1,0}^3\rangle$
$\mathfrak{C}_1$	§4.4.1; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3 \vert x_1^2, x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21},$ $x_3^2, f_0^2,\ f_1^2,\ z_1^2,\ x_{21}x_3 - q_{12}^2x_3x_{21},$ $x_2z_1 + q_{12}z_1x_2 - q_{12}\, f_0x_2 - \frac{1}{2}f_1\rangle$

Let us discuss now the general scheme of the proof of Theorem 1.10, assuming the results listed above and other technical Propositions. We proceed inductively on t and θ. First, we observe that if $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$ and $i,j \in \mathbb{I}_t$ are two blocks, then $c_{ji}c_{ij} = \mathrm{id}$ by §6, thus $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies (a).

(i). *There are no points, i.e.* $t = \theta$. Hence $\theta = 1$ by the preceding observation (since we assume that V is connected), i.e. it is a block. Then Theorem 1.2 says that V is a Jordan plane, or a super Jordan plane.

(ii). $\theta = 2$. It is enough to consider $t = 1$ by hypothesis and the preceding observation, i.e. it is a block and a point. Theorem 4.1 says that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$ iff V appears in Table 2. Clearly, the corresponding flourished diagrams are those in Table 1 with $\theta = 2$.

(iii). $\theta > 2$, $t = 1$. Theorems 5.1, 5.2 say that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$ if and only if the connected components of $V_{\mathrm{diag}} := V_{t+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_\theta$ appear in Tables 2 and 3, and the existence of a component with mild interaction forces the diagram of V_{diag} to be connected. This implies (b) and the first claim in (f).

(iv). Lemma 7.9 provides (c) and Lemma 7.10 provides (d); Theorem 7.1 implies (e); the second claim in (f) follows from Lemma 7.2.

Thus, we see that the flourished diagram of a V with $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$ is admissible. Conversely, if the flourished diagram of V is admissible, then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$ by Theorem 7.11.

For illustration, we describe the Nichols algebras of one point and several blocks in Table 4.

1.3.5. *About the proofs.* The proofs of the Theorems that support our main result involve two kind of arguments: first, discarding Nichols algebras with

TABLE 3. Nichols algebras of one block and several points with finite GKdim: connected components of V_{diag} not in Table 2

V	$\mathcal{B}(V)$; GKdim	generators and relations
$\mathfrak{L}(A(1\|0)_1; r)$, $r \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N > 2$	§5.3.1; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, x_{332}, x_3^N, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{23}]_c^N \rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(A(1\|0)_1; r)$, $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$	§5.3.2; 4	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, x_{332} \rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(A(1\|0)_2; \omega)$	§5.3.3; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, x_3^2, x_{23}^3, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_3]_c^3, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{23}]_c^6, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{23}]_c, x_3]_c^3 \rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(A(1\|0)_3; \omega)$	§5.3.4; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^3, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} + q_{13}q_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^3, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2]_c^3, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, x_3^2, x_{223}, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{23}]_c^6 \rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(A(2\|0)_1; \omega)$	§5.3.5; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_4^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, x_{14}, x_{\frac{3}{2}4}, x_{24}, x_{332}, x_{334}, x_{443}, x_3^3, x_{34}^3, x_4^3, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c^3, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c]_c^3, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c^3, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_2]_c]_c^3, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_2]_c^3, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_2]_c]_c^3, \rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(D(2\|1); \omega)$	§5.3.6; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_4^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, x_{14}, x_{\frac{3}{2}4}, x_{24}, [[x_{234}, x_3]_c, x_3]_c, x_{332}, x_{443}, x_3^3, x_{34}^3, x_{334}^3, x_4^3, [x_{\frac{3}{2}234}, x_2]_c^3, x_{\frac{3}{2}234}^3, [[[x_{\frac{3}{2}234}, x_2]_c, x_3]_c, x_3]_c^3, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}234}, x_2]_c, x_3]_c^3, [[[x_{\frac{3}{2}234}, x_2]_c, x_3]_c, x_3]_c^3 \rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(A_2, 2)$	§5.3.7; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, x_3^2, x_{23}^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}^2, [x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^2, [x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^2, x_2]_c^2, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c, x_2]_c, x_3]_c^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c^2, [x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c^2 \rangle$
$\mathfrak{L}(A_{\theta-1})$, $\theta > 2$	§5.3.8; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_i, i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger \mid x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, x_{21}, x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{1j}, x_{\frac{3}{2}j}\,(j > 2), x_{ij}^2\,(1 \le i \le j \le \theta), [x_{k-1\,k\,k+1}, x_k]\,(3 \le k < \theta), \mathfrak{я}_{k\ell}^2 (k < \ell \in \mathbb{I}_\theta) \rangle$
$\mathfrak{C}_2$	§5.4.2; 3	$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}1} - x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{\frac{3}{2}} - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}1}, x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{12} + q_{12}x_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}, x_1^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} + q_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} - q_{12}x_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}}, x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} - q_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}}, x_2^2, x_{12}^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^2, [x_{123}, x_2]_c, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c^2, [x_1, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c]_c - q_{12}q_{13}x_{123}x_{12}, x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} + x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{123}, x_3^2, x_{123}^2, x_{23}^2, x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2, x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^2, x_{13}, x_{\frac{3}{2}3}, [x_1, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c]_c - 2q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, [x_{\frac{3}{2}}, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c]_c - 2q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} + 2q_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}.$

infinite GKdim; second, proving that the remaining have finite GKdim, computing it and describing the relations and a PBW-basis. For the first we

either use Lemmata 2.5 and 2.6 that are generalizations of results in [R2]; or else reduce to the diagonal case by a variety of techniques, mainly:

♡ *Filtrations of braided vector spaces.* Given a Yetter-Drinfeld module V with a flag of Yetter-Drinfeld submodules, we consider the associated Yetter-Drinfeld module $\operatorname{gr} V$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\operatorname{gr} V) \leq \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V)$. See §3.4. If the flag is complete (as a flag of vector spaces), then $\operatorname{gr} V$ is of diagonal type and we apply Theorem 1.6, or invoke Hypothesis 1.7. However there are instances where a more elaborated argument is needed: we look at the filtration of $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(V)$ induced by the flag and correspondingly at $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}$; then we identify a braided subspace U of the space of primitive elements in $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}$ such that $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$; this does the job since clearly $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) \leq \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V)$. The space U is not contained in $\operatorname{gr} V$ and derivations are used to verify that the extra elements are not 0.

♡ *Splitting of Nichols algebras.* We deal with decomposable Yetter-Drinfeld modules $V = U \oplus W$. Then the natural projection $\mathcal{B}(V) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}(U)$ admits a section, namely the natural inclusion $\mathcal{B}(U) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$. As in the usual context of Hopf algebras, the algebra $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co} \mathcal{B}(U)}$ is a braided Hopf algebra in a suitable category and $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is reconstructed as $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K \# \mathcal{B}(U)$ by a sort of braided bosonization à la Majid-Radford [AHS, Lemma 3.2]. In fact, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \operatorname{GKdim} K + \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U)$ under suitable finiteness assumptions. Furthermore, it turns out that K is the Nichols algebra of $K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(U)(W)$ [HS, Proposition 8.6]. That is, we are reduced to compute the braiding of K^1 (which is not the original braiding). In many cases, it happens that this braiding is of diagonal type, so we apply Theorem 1.6 or invoke Hypothesis 1.7. However, the full strength of Hypothesis 1.7 is not required: for instance, Theorem 4.1 (giving Table 2) requires only that the Nichols algebra of a rank 3 braided vector space of hyperbolic diagonal type has infinite GKdim, and only at one step in the proof of Lemma 4.32.

Notice that K^1 is not always of diagonal type; for example $\mathfrak{C}_1$, $\mathfrak{C}_2$ and $\mathfrak{E}_\star$ are not, cf. §4.4.1, 5.4.2, 8.1.4; their Nichols algebras have to be computed in an ad-hoc manner. In other cases we reduce to previously investigated Nichols algebras.

For the second kind or argument, we present by generators and relations and exhibit a PBW-basis of the Nichols algebras considered, so that the computation of the GKdim flows naturally (often this confirms a previous calculation by braided bosonization and reduction to the diagonal case). In the splitting context, if K^1 is of diagonal type, then the relations of $\mathcal{B}(V)$ can described in a straightforward way from the relations of $\mathcal{B}(U)$, those of K known by [An2], and the action of the former on the latter. Otherwise, we guess the relations by looking at the associated graded algebra and then prove that the guess was right; see for instance $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star)$, 8.1.4.

The notation for the braided vector spaces in the leftmost column in Table 2, respectively Table 3, respectively Table 4, is explained in §4.3, respectively Table 8 and §5.1, respectively §7.3 and §8.1.4. Notice that $\mathfrak{L}$ stands for Laistrygonian, $\mathfrak{C}$ for Cyclop, $\mathfrak{P}$ for Poseidon and $\mathfrak{E}$ for Endymion.

TABLE 4. More Nichols algebras with finite GKdim

V	$\mathcal{B}(V)$; GKdim	generators and relations
$\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q},\mathscr{G})$	§7.3; $2t+\lvert\{\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{A}: p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}}=1\}\rvert$	$\Bbbk\langle x_i,\ i\in\mathbb{I}^{\ddagger}\rvert x_{i+\frac12}x_i - x_ix_{i+\frac12}+\frac12 x_i^2,\ i\in\mathbb{I}_t,\ \epsilon_i=1,$ $x_i^2,\ x_{i+\frac12}x_{i+\frac12\,i} - x_{i+\frac12\,i}x_{i+\frac12} - x_ix_{i+\frac12\,i},\ i\in\mathbb{I}_t,\ \epsilon_i=-1;$ $x_ix_j - q_{ij}\,x_jx_i,\ \lfloor i\rfloor\neq\lfloor j\rfloor\in\mathbb{I}_t$ $x_ix_\theta - q_{i\theta}\,x_\theta x_i,\ i\in\mathbb{I}_t;$ $(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_{i+\frac12})^{1+\lvert 2a_i\rvert}(x_\theta),\ i\in\mathbb{I}_t$ $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{m}}\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{n}} - p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\,\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{n}}\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{m}},\ \mathbf{m}\neq\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A};$ $\text{Ш}_{\mathbf{n}}^2,\ \mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A},\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{n}}=-1\rangle$
$\mathfrak{E}_+(q)$	§8.1.4; 1	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3\rvert x_1^2,\ x_2^2,\ x_1x_2+x_2x_1,\ (x_2x_3-qx_3x_2)^2,$ $x_3(x_2x_3-qx_3x_2)-q^{-1}(x_2x_3-qx_3x_2)x_3,\ x_1x_3-qx_3x_1\rangle$
$\mathfrak{E}_-(q)$	§8.1.4; 1	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3\rvert x_1^2,\ x_2^2,\ x_1x_2+x_2x_1,\ x_3^2,$ $x_3(x_2x_3-qx_3x_2)+q^{-1}(x_2x_3-qx_3x_2)x_3,\ x_1x_3-qx_3x_1\rangle$
$\mathfrak{E}_\star(q)$	§8.1.4; 2	$\Bbbk\langle x_1,x_2,x_3\rvert x_1^2,\ x_2^2,\ x_1x_2+x_2x_1,x_3^2,x_{31}^2,x_{213}^2,$ $x_2[x_{23},x_{13}]_c - q^2[x_{23},x_{13}]_cx_2 - q\,x_{13}x_{213}\rangle$

1.4. **Applications.**

1.4.1. *Examples of Hopf algebras.* Every Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ for V in Tables 2, Table 3 or 4 can be realized in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ for some finitely generated abelian group Γ. Thus $\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ is a new example of a pointed Hopf algebra with finite GKdim. More examples would be available computing the liftings. See [AAH1] for the case of the Jordan and super Jordan planes. These examples could be worked out as test cases to verify conjectures on the homological behaviour of Hopf algebras with finite GKdim, see e.g. [B+, B1, B2, BG, G].

1.4.2. *Domains.* Among the Nichols algebras either of blocks or else appearing in Tables 2, 3 and 4, the only domains are

- $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$, see [AAH1];
- $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$, see Proposition 4.17;
- $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q},\mathscr{G}))$ where all diagonal entries in $\mathbf{q}$ are 1, see Proposition 7.8.

Theorem 1.11. *Let $V\in{}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V<\infty$, such that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)<\infty$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(a) *$\mathcal{B}(V)$ is a domain.*
(b) *V is as in (1.2), (1.3), its flourished diagram is admissible, all blocks are Jordan and all connected components $J\in\mathcal{X}$ are points with label 1.*

Proof. Theorem 7.13 says that if V is as in (1.2), (1.3) and its flourished diagram is admissible, then $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is a domain if and only if all blocks are Jordan, i.e. $\boxplus$, and all $J \in \mathcal{X}$ are points with label 1, i.e. $\overset{1}{\bullet}$. Now if V is not as in (1.2), (1.3), then it contains a braided subspace W of the form *a pale block and a point*, see the discussion at the end of §8.1.1. But then W is one of $\mathfrak{E}_{\pm}$ or $\mathfrak{E}_{\star}$ that are not domains, so $\mathcal{B}(V)$ could not be a domain. □

This is a fundamental piece of information for the classification of pointed Hopf algebras with finite GKdim that are domains.

1.4.3. *Co-Frobenius Hopf algebras.* A Hopf algebra is co-Frobenius if it admits a non-zero integral. See [ACE] for a list of equivalent characterizations– and references for them. Here is an application of Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 1.12. *Let H be a pointed Hopf algebra with $G(H) =: \Gamma$ abelian and let $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ be its infinitesimal braiding. The following are equivalent:*

(1) H is co-Frobenius.

(2) $\operatorname{gr} H \simeq \mathcal{B}(V)$, where V is of diagonal type and $\dim \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$.

Proof. A Hopf algebra is co-Frobenius if and only if its coradical filtration is finite [ACE, AD]. Since H is pointed, this is also equivalent to $\dim R < \infty$, where R is the diagram of H [AD]. Thus (2) implies (1). Conversely, if H is co-Frobenius then $\dim \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$ as $\mathcal{B}(V) \hookrightarrow R$, hence V is of diagonal type by Theorems 1.2 and 8.3; cf. §8.1. Then $\mathcal{B}(V) = R$ by [An2, Theorem 2]. □

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Conventions.** The $\mathtt{q}$-numbers are the polynomials

$$(n)_{\mathtt{q}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathtt{q}^j, \qquad (n)_{\mathtt{q}}^! = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (j)_{\mathtt{q}}, \qquad \binom{n}{i}_{\mathtt{q}} = \frac{(n)_{\mathtt{q}}^!}{(n-i)_{\mathtt{q}}^! (i)_{\mathtt{q}}^!} \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathtt{q}],$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le i \le n$. If $q \in \Bbbk$, then $(n)_q$, $(n)_q^!$, $\binom{n}{i}_q$ are the evaluations at q.

Let $\mathbb{G}_N$ be the group of N-th roots of unity, and $\mathbb{G}'_N$ the subset of primitive roots of order N; $\mathbb{G}_\infty = \bigcup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{G}_N$. All the vector spaces, algebras and tensor products are over $\Bbbk$.

If $V, W \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, then $c_{V,W} : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ denotes the corresponding braiding. If R is a Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, then $R\#H$ is the bosonization of R by H. Let ad be the adjoint action of H and ad_c the braided adjoint action of R. Then $\operatorname{ad}_c x \otimes \mathrm{id} = \operatorname{ad}(x\#1)$ for $x \in R$. If $x \in R$ is primitive, then $\operatorname{ad}_c x(y) = xy - \text{multiplication} \circ c(x \otimes y)$ for all $y \in R$.

Let Γ be an abelian group. We denote by $\widehat{\Gamma}$ the group of characters of Γ. The objects in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ are the same as Γ-graded Γ-modules, the Γ-grading is denoted $V = \oplus_{g\in\Gamma} V_g$. If $g \in \Gamma$ and $\chi \in \widehat{\Gamma}$, then the one-dimensional vector space $\Bbbk^\chi_g$, with action and coaction given by g and χ, is in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. Let $W \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ and $(w_i)_{i\in I}$ a basis of W consisting of homogeneous elements of

degree g_i, $i \in I$, respectively. Then there are skew derivations ∂_i, $i \in I$, of $T(W)$ such that for all $x, y \in T(W)$, $i, j \in I$

$$\partial_i(w_j) = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \partial_i(xy) = \partial_i(x)(g_i \cdot y) + x\partial_i(y). \tag{2.1}$$

Nichols algebras are graded Hopf algebras in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, or also braided graded Hopf algebras, coradically graded and generated in degree one. See [AS1] for alternative characterizations.

Given a braided vector space with a basis (x_i), we denote in $T(V)$, or $\mathcal{B}(V)$, or any intermediate Hopf algebra,

$$x_{ij} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_i)\, x_j, \qquad x_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_M} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{i_1})\, x_{i_2 \dots i_M}. \tag{2.2}$$

2.2. **Nichols algebras of diagonal type.** Let V be a braided vector space of diagonal type with $\theta := \dim V < \infty$. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta}$ be a basis of V and $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta} \in \Bbbk^{\theta \times \theta}$ such that $q_{ij} \neq 0$ and $c(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij} x_j \otimes x_i$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}_\theta$. Let $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ be the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$ and χ the bilinear form on $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$ such that $\chi(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = q_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$; set $q_{\alpha\beta} = \chi(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^\theta$. We refer to [H1] for proofs or references of the following facts:

2.2.1. $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$-graded with $\deg x_i = \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$.

2.2.2. There is a totally ordered subset $L \subset \mathcal{B}(V)$ consisting of $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$-homogeneous elements such that

$$\{l_1^{m_1} \cdots l_k^{m_k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_0, l_1 > \cdots > l_k \in L, 0 < m_i < N_{l_i} \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{I}_k\} \tag{2.3}$$

is a linear basis of $\mathcal{B}(V)$ (a so called restricted PBW basis); here

$$N_l = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : (n)_{q_{\deg l, \deg l}} = 0\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty.$$

2.2.3. Let $\mathbf{\Delta}(V) = \{\deg l, l \in L\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^\theta$, or just $\mathbf{\Delta}$ if V is clear from the context, be the set of positive roots of $\mathcal{B}(V)$. If L is finite, then $\mathbf{\Delta}$ and the multiplicities of appearance of each root are uniquely determined.

2.2.4. Let $i \in \mathbb{I}$ such that for all $j \neq i$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $(n+1)_{q_{ii}}(1 - q_{ii}^n q_{ij} q_{ji}) = 0$. Let $c_{ii} = 2$ and

$$c_{ij} := -\min\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (n+1)_{q_{ii}}(1 - q_{ii}^n q_{ij} q_{ji}) = 0\}, \qquad j \neq i. \tag{2.4}$$

Let $s_i \in GL(\mathbb{Z}^\theta)$ be given by $s_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - c_{ij}\alpha_i$, $j \in \mathbb{I}$. The reflection at the vertex i of $\mathbf{q}$ is the matrix $\mathcal{R}^i(\mathbf{q}) = (t_{jk})_{j,k \in \mathbb{I}}$, where

$$t_{jk} := \chi(s_i(\alpha_j), s_i(\alpha_k)) = q_{jk} q_{ik}^{-c_{ij}} q_{ji}^{-c_{ik}} q_{ii}^{c_{ij}c_{ik}}, \qquad j, k \in \mathbb{I}. \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.1. [H1, AA] *If $\mathcal{R}^i(V)$ is the braided vector space corresponding to $\mathcal{R}^i(\mathbf{q})$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^i(V)) = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V)$. □

2.3. **On the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.**

2.3.1. *Basic facts.* Our main reference for this topic is [KL]. Let A be a finitely generated $\Bbbk$-algebra. If V is a finite-dimensional generating subspace of A and $A_n = \sum_{0\leq j\leq n} V^n$, then

$$\operatorname{GKdim} A := \overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \log_n \dim A_n. \tag{2.6}$$

Then $\operatorname{GKdim} A$ does not depend on the choice of V [KL, 1.1]. In general, if A is not finitely generated, then

$$\operatorname{GKdim} A := \sup\{\operatorname{GKdim} B | B \subseteq A,\ B \text{ finitely generated}\}. \tag{2.7}$$

Suppose that $\operatorname{GKdim} A < \infty$. We say that a finite-dimensional subspace $V \subseteq A$ is *GK-deterministic* if $\operatorname{GKdim} A = \lim_{n\to\infty} \log_n \dim \sum_{0\leq j\leq n} V^n$. Clearly, if V is a GK-deterministic subspace of A, then any finite-dimensional subspace of A containing V is GK-deterministic.

Let A and B be two algebras. Then

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(A \otimes B) \leq \operatorname{GKdim} A + \operatorname{GKdim} B,$$

but the equality does not hold in general. For instance, it does hold when A or B has a GK-deterministic subspace, see [KL, Proposition 3.11]. We need the smash product version of this result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a Hopf algebra, R a Hopf algebra in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$, A a K-module algebra and B an R-module algebra in ${}^K_K\mathcal{YD}$. Assume that the actions of K on A, of K on B, of K on R, and of R on B are locally finite.*

(a) $\operatorname{GKdim} A\#K \leq \operatorname{GKdim} A + \operatorname{GKdim} K$. *If either K or A has a GK-deterministic subspace, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} A\#K = \operatorname{GKdim} A + \operatorname{GKdim} K$.

(b) $\operatorname{GKdim} B\#R \leq \operatorname{GKdim} B + \operatorname{GKdim} R$. *If either K or B has a GK-deterministic subspace, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} B\#R = \operatorname{GKdim} B + \operatorname{GKdim} R$.

Proof. (a) Let $X \subseteq A\#K$ be a finite-dimensional subspace and let $V \subseteq A$, $W \subseteq K$ be finite-dimensional subspaces such that $X \subseteq V\#W$. Since the action of K on A is locally finite, we may assume that V is a K-submodule of A. We may further assume that W is a subcoalgebra of K. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} X^i \subseteq \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} V^i\#W^j$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the definition of the smash product $A\#K$. Therefore $\operatorname{GKdim} A\#K \leq \operatorname{GKdim} A + \operatorname{GKdim} K$. Assume now that A has a GK-deterministic subspace. One can argue similarly if K has a GK-deterministic subspace. Then we may choose V in such a way that it is additionally GK-deterministic. In that case the above formula also shows that

$$\operatorname{GKdim} A\#K = \operatorname{GKdim} A + \operatorname{GKdim} K.$$

(b) Analogous to (a), but there is a slight point since the comultiplication $R \to R \otimes R$ is not an algebra map to the tensor product algebra. As the appropriate algebra structure of $R \otimes R$ is defined via the Yetter-Drinfeld

structure of R, cf. [AHS, Remark 1.8 (i)], we may apply the argument in (a) only when the actions of K on R and B are locally finite. $\square$

Remark 2.3. The algebra A has a GK-deterministic subspace when:
- $\operatorname{GKdim} A \leq 2$, cf. the proof of [KL, Proposition 3.12].
- $A = U(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra.
- $A = \Bbbk G$, where G is a finitely generated group.

Example 2.4. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$, $g = (1,0), h = (0,1)$. Let $W \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ with basis $(w_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $W = W_g$, $g \cdot w_i = -w_i$ and $h \cdot w_i = w_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the action of Γ on W is not locally finite, but $\mathcal{B}(W) = \Lambda W$ and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) = 0$. Furthermore

$$\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma) \overset{*}{=} \infty > 2 = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) + \operatorname{GKdim} \Bbbk\Gamma.$$

$*$: Let $V = \langle g^{\pm 1}, h^{\pm 1}, w_0\rangle$; then $\Lambda(\langle w_0, w_1 \dots w_{n-1}\rangle) \subset V^{n^2}$, hence $2^n \leq \dim V^{n^2}$ and the claim follows. In particular, the assumption on local finiteness in Lemma 2.2 (a) can not be omitted.

2.3.2. *A criterium for infinite* GKdim. The next statement is inspired by [R2, Lemma 19]; in many cases treated here, the infiniteness of the GKdim relies on it.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{B} = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{B}^n$ be a finitely generated graded algebra with $\mathcal{B}^0 = \Bbbk$ and $(y_k)_{k\geq 0}$ a family of homogeneous elements such that the set*

$$\{y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_l} : i_j \in \mathbb{N}, i_1 < \dots < i_l\} \tag{2.8}$$

is linearly independent and there exist $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\deg y_i \leq mi + p, \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B} = \infty$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l$; by (2.8), we have

$$\deg y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_l} \leq m(i_1 + \dots + i_l) + lp \leq m\frac{i_l(i_l+1)}{2} + lp \leq (m+p)i_l^2.$$

Thus for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the monomials $y_{i_1}y_{i_2}\cdots y_{i_l}$ with

$$1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq \lfloor\sqrt{M/(m+p)}\rfloor$$

have degree $\leq M$. Let G be a finite set of homogeneous generators of $\mathcal{B}$ and let $\mathcal{B}_M$ be the subspace generated by products of j elements in G, $0 \leq j \leq M$. Then $\mathcal{B}_M \supseteq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{M} \mathcal{B}^k$ since $\mathcal{B}^0 = \Bbbk 1$. Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{B}_M \geq \sum_{k=0}^{M} \dim \mathcal{B}^k \geq 2^{\lfloor\sqrt{M/(m+p)}\rfloor}$$

by (2.8). Hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B} = \infty$. $\square$

Let (W, c) be a braided vector space and $x_1, x_2 \in W$ linearly independent. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a pre-Nichols algebra of W. Set $y_i = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)^i x_2 \in \mathcal{B}$; clearly $\deg y_i = i + 1$, so Lemma 2.5 implies

Lemma 2.6. *If the set* (2.8) *is linearly independent, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B} = \infty$. □

Now assume that $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$ is a braided subspace of W of diagonal type, that is $c(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij} x_j \otimes x_i$, where $q_{ij} \in \Bbbk^\times$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_2$. Set

$$\mu_k = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - q_{11}^i q_{12} q_{21}). \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.7. [R2, Lemma 14, Corollary 18]

(a) *If* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *then* $y_k = 0$ *iff* $\mu_k x_1^k = 0$, *iff* $(k)_{q_{11}}^! \mu_k = 0$.
(b) *If* $y_k \neq 0$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *then the set* (2.8) *is linearly independent.* □

Lemma 2.8. *If* $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$ *is a braided subspace of* W *of diagonal type as above,* $q_{11} = 1$ *and* $q_{12}q_{21} \neq 1$, *then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$.

Proof. By assumption, $(k)_{q_{11}}^! \mu_k = (1 - q_{12}q_{21})^k \neq 0$ for all k, hence the set (2.8) is linearly independent by Lemma 2.7; then Lemma 2.6 applies. □

3. Yetter-Drinfeld modules of dimension 2

3.1. **Indecomposable modules and blocks.** Let Γ be an abelian group, $g \in \Gamma$, $\chi \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\eta : \Gamma \to \Bbbk$ a (χ, χ)-derivation, i. e.

$$\eta(ht) = \chi(h)\eta(t) + \eta(h)\chi(t), \qquad h, t \in \Gamma.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta) \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ be a vector space of dimension 2, homogeneous of degree g and with action of Γ given in a basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_2}$ by

$$h \cdot x_1 = \chi(h) x_1, \qquad h \cdot x_2 = \chi(h) x_2 + \eta(h) x_1, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $h \in \Gamma$. Then $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ is indecomposable in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD} \iff \eta \neq 0$. As a braided vector space, $\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ is either of diagonal type, when $\eta(g) = 0$, or else isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$, $\epsilon = \chi(g)$ (indecomposability as Yetter-Drinfeld module is not the same as indecomposability as braided vector space).

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V = 2$. *Then either* V *is of diagonal type or else* $V \simeq \mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)$ *for unique* g, χ *and* η *(up to non-zero scalars) with* $\eta(g) \neq 0$.

Proof. Assume that V is not of diagonal type; then V is indecomposable. Since $\Bbbk\Gamma$ is cosemisimple and Γ is abelian, there exists $g \in \Gamma$ such that V is homogeneous of degree g. As V is not simple, $\operatorname{soc} V \simeq \Bbbk_g^{\chi_1}$ and $V/\operatorname{soc} V \simeq \Bbbk_g^{\chi_2}$ for some $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \widehat{\Gamma}$. Pick $x_1 \in \operatorname{soc} V - 0$ and $x_2 \in V_{g_2} - \operatorname{soc} V$; then $h \cdot x_2 = \chi_2(h) x_2 + \eta(h) x_1$ for all $h \in \Gamma$, where η is a (χ_1, χ_2)-derivation. Since V is not of diagonal type, $\chi_1(g) = \chi_2(g)$ and $\eta(g) \neq 0$. Now

$$\chi_1(h)\eta(g) + \eta(h)\chi_2(g) = \eta(hg) = \chi_1(g)\eta(h) + \eta(g)\chi_2(h)$$

(since Γ is abelian), hence $\chi_1(h) = \chi_2(h)$ for all $h \in \Gamma$. □

Here is our first result on Nichols algebras of blocks.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\epsilon \in \Bbbk^\times$, $\ell \geq 2$. If $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)) < \infty$, then $\epsilon = \pm 1$.*

The Nichols algebras $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$ have both GKdim $= 2$, see §3.2 and 3.3. We conclude:

Corollary 3.3. *Let g, χ, η be as above and assume that $\eta(g) \neq 0$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_g(\chi, \eta)) < \infty \iff \chi(g) = \pm 1$.*

Assume that $\epsilon \neq \pm 1$. To prove the Theorem, it suffices to consider the case $\ell = 2$. Following [GH, Remark 4.5], we attach a braided Hopf algebra of diagonal type to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell))$ in §3.4. Then we prove the Theorem for $\epsilon \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $\epsilon \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N > 3$ in §3.5. A separate proof is required when $N = 3$, see Step 3. Throughout this Section, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$ is the braided vector space with basis x_1, x_2 such that

$$c(x_i \otimes x_1) = \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_i, \qquad c(x_i \otimes x_2) = (\epsilon x_2 + x_1) \otimes x_i, \qquad i \in \mathbb{I}_2. \tag{3.2}$$

We realize it as $\mathcal{V}_1(\epsilon, 2) \in {}^{\Bbbk\mathbb{Z}}_{\Bbbk\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{YD}$ when needed. As in (2.2), we denote

$$x_{21} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)\, x_1 = x_2 x_1 - \epsilon x_1 x_2. \tag{3.3}$$

3.2. **The Jordan plane.** Here we consider $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(1,2)$. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V})$ turns out to be a quadratic algebra well-studied in the literature, the so-called Jordan plane. It is associated to the quantum Jordan $SL(2)$ defined in [Gv] and independently but later in [D+, Za]; and in dual form independently in [LM, O]. The appellation *Jordan* comes from [D+][1].

Proposition 3.4. *$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$ is presented by generators x_1, x_2 and relation*

$$x_2 x_1 - x_1 x_2 + \frac{1}{2} x_1^2. \tag{3.4}$$

$\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2)) = 2$ and $B = \{x_1^a x_2^b : a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the algebra presented by (3.4). The monomials $x_1^a x_2^b$ generate the vector space $\mathcal{B}$. Using skew derivations, cf. (2.1), we see that (3.4) holds in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$ and that $B \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$ is linearly independent. (The latter conclusion holds because $\Bbbk$ has characteristic 0). Thus there is an algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$. Since $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$ has the same Hilbert series as a polynomial ring in 2 variables, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2)) = 2$. □

A recursive argument starting from (3.4) shows that in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(1,2))$

$$x_2 x_1^n = x_1^n x_2 - \frac{n}{2} x_1^{n+1}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $x_{21} = -\frac{1}{2} x_1^2$ by (3.4), the case $n = 2$ implies

$$x_{21} x_2 = (x_1 + x_2) x_{21}. \tag{3.6}$$

[1]We thank Christian Ohn for clarifications on this story.

3.3. **The super Jordan plane.** We are tempted to call the next example a *super Jordan plane* albeit it does not coincide apparently with some objects with similar names in the literature.

Proposition 3.5. *The defining ideal* $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$ *is generated by*

$$x_1^2, \tag{3.7}$$

$$x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21}. \tag{3.8}$$

$\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2)) = 2$ *and* $B = \{x_1^a x_{21}^b x_2^c : a \in \{0,1\}, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ *is a basis of* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$.

In presence of (3.7), (3.8) is equivalent to the quantum Serre relation

$$(\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)^2 x_1 - x_1(\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)(x_1). \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Both (3.7) and (3.8) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$ being annihilated by the skew derivations ∂_1 and ∂_2, cf. (2.1). Notice that $\partial_1(x_{21}) = x_1$, $\partial_2(x_{21}) = 0$. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by (3.7) and (3.8) projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$. Now by (3.7) we have

$$x_{21}x_1 = x_1x_2x_1 = x_1x_{21}. \tag{3.10}$$

Hence the subspace I spanned by B is a left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, by (3.8), (3.10). Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B. To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$. We claim that this is equivalent to prove that $B' = \{x_2^c x_{21}^b x_1^a : a \in \{0,1\}, b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is linearly independent. Indeed, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B' since the subspace spanned B' is also a left ideal; if B' is linearly independent, then the Hilbert series of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is $(1-t)^{-1}(1-t^2)^{-1}(1+t) = (1-t)^{-2}$, so B should be linearly independent and vice versa. Now suppose that there is a non-trivial linear combination of elements of B' in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$, say of minimal degree. As

$$\partial_1(x_2^c x_{21}^b) = b\, x_2^c x_{21}^{b-1} x_1, \qquad \partial_1(x_2^c x_{21}^b x_1) = x_2^c x_{21}^b, \tag{3.11}$$

such linear combination does not have terms with a or b greater than 0. We claim that the elements x_2^c, $c \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are linearly independent, yielding a contradiction. Indeed, it is enough to show that $\partial_1^{n-1}\partial_2(x_2^n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We argue by induction. For $n = 1$, $\partial_2(x_2) = 1$. Now assume that $\partial_1^{n-1}\partial_2(x_2^n) = \mu_n \in \Bbbk^\times$. Then

$$\partial_1^n\partial_2(x_2^{n+1}) \overset{\clubsuit}{=} \partial_1^{n-1}\partial_2(x_2^n)\sum_{k=1}^{n}\partial_1(g^k\cdot x_2) \overset{\star}{=} \mu_n\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}k\right).$$

To establish $\clubsuit$, we prove by induction on j that

$$\partial_1^n\partial_2(x_2^{n+1}) = \partial_1^{n-j}\left(\partial_1^j\partial_2(x_2^n)\, g^{j+1}\cdot x_2\right) + \partial_1^{n-1}\partial_2(x_2^n)\sum_{k=1}^{j}\partial_1(g^k\cdot x_2).$$

Indeed, $\partial_1^n\partial_2(x_2^{n+1}) = \partial_1^n\left(\partial_2(x_2^n)\, g\cdot x_2 + x_2^n\right) = \partial_1^n(\partial_2(x_2^n)\, g\cdot x_2)$ is the step $j=0$; and the recursive step follows from $\partial_1^{n-j}\left(\partial_1^j\partial_2(x_2^n)\, g^{j+1}\cdot x_2\right) =$

$$= \partial_1^{n-j-1}\left(\partial_1^{j+1}\partial_2(x_2^n)\, g^{j+2}\cdot x_2 + \partial_1^j\partial_2(x_2^n)\partial_1(g^{j+1}\cdot x_2)\right)$$
$$= \partial_1^{n-j-1}\left(\partial_1^{j+1}\partial_2(x_2^n)\, g^{j+2}\cdot x_2\right) + \partial_1^{n-1}\partial_2(x_2^n)\partial_1(g^{j+1}\cdot x_2).$$

Taking $j=n$, we get ♣. Now from $\star$ we compute $\mu_{2k} = (-1)^k(k!)^2$, $\mu_{2k+1} = (-1)^k k!(k+1)!$. This proves the claim. Then B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1,2))$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

3.4. **Filtrations of Nichols algebras.** Let H be a Hopf algebra. The category of (increasingly) filtered objects in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is tensor. For, if $V, W \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and $(V_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, $(W_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are ascending filtrations of Yetter-Drinfeld submodules of V and W, respectively, then $V\otimes W$ is filtered by

$$(V\otimes W)_k = \sum_{j\le k} V_j\otimes W_{k-j}, \qquad k\in\mathbb{N}_0.$$

So we may consider filtered Hopf algebras in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ by requiring the structure maps to be of filtered objects. The following facts are standard.

Remark 3.6. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be filtered Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, with filtration $(\mathcal{B}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$.

(a) The associated graded object $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B} = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}\operatorname{gr}^i\mathcal{B}$, where $\operatorname{gr}^i\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_i/\mathcal{B}_{i-1}$, is a graded Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$.
(b) If $\pi:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{P}$ is an epimorphism of Hopf algebras in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, then $\mathcal{P}$ is a filtered Hopf algebra with $\mathcal{P}_i = \pi(\mathcal{B}_i)$, $i\in\mathbb{N}_0$.
(c) If $\mathcal{B} = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}\mathcal{B}^n$ is also a graded Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, with each term of the filtration a graded subobject: $\mathcal{B}_i = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}\mathcal{B}^n_i$ for all i, then $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B} = \oplus_{i,n\in\mathbb{N}_0}\operatorname{gr}^i\mathcal{B}^n$ is a $\mathbb{N}_0\times\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. (In this situation we say that $\mathcal{B}$ is a graded filtered Hopf algebra).

Let now $0 = V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \cdots \subsetneq V_d = V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ be a flag of Yetter-Drinfeld submodules, $\dim V = \theta$; it induces a filtration of $T = T(V)$ that becomes a graded filtered algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$; let T^n_m be the m-th term of the filtration of the homogeneous component T^n.

Lemma 3.7. *(a) $T(V)$ is a graded filtered Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$.*
(b) Every pre-Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of V is a graded filtered Hopf algebra in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}$ is a pre-Nichols algebra of $\operatorname{gr}V$.
(c) $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\operatorname{gr}V) \le \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$.

Proof. As $\Delta(V_i)\subseteq V_i\otimes\Bbbk + \Bbbk\otimes V_i$, $T^n_m \subseteq \sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\ k+l=m}} T^i_k T^j_l$ and $c(T^i_k\otimes T^j_l) = T^j_l\otimes T^i_k$, we have

$$\Delta(T^n_m) \subseteq \sum_{\substack{i+j=n\\ k+l=m}} T^i_k\otimes T^j_l,$$

by induction on n; (a) follows. Now the first claim of (b) is immediate, see Remark 3.6 (b), (c). As $\mathcal{B}$ is generated by $\mathcal{B}^1 = V$, the algebra $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}$ is generated by $\operatorname{gr}^1\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{gr} V$; (b) is proved. Now (c) follows by [KL, 6.5]. □

The flag $0 = V_0 \subsetneq V_1 \cdots \subsetneq V_d = V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ of Yetter-Drinfeld submodules is *complete* if it has no proper refinement. If $\dim V_i = \dim V_{i-1} + 1$ for all i, i.e. it is flag of vector spaces, then it is *absolutely complete*. If the flag is absolutely complete, then $V^{\text{diag}} := \operatorname{gr} V$ is of diagonal type. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a pre-Nichols algebra of V, then $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}} := \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}$ is a pre-Nichols algebra of V^{diag}.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\epsilon \in \Bbbk^\times$, $\ell \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a pre-Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)$, then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}) \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$ is the braided vector space of diagonal type with matrix $(q_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{I}}$, $q_{ij} = \epsilon$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)$ has a complete flag given by $V_k = \langle x_i : i \in \mathbb{I}_k\rangle$, $k \in \mathbb{I}_\ell$. Since $c(x_i \otimes x_j) = \epsilon x_j \otimes x_i + V_{i-1} \otimes x_j$, for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\ell$, Lemma 3.7 applies. □

3.5. **Proof of Theorem 3.2.**

Step 1. If $\epsilon \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)) = T(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell))$.

This was claimed without proof in [AS1, 3.20 (a)].

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell))$; then $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$ is a pre-Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$ as in Lemma 3.8. Now $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}) \simeq T(\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}})$ by [FG, 5.2 (b)], hence $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}} \simeq T(\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}})$ and the claim follows, say by looking at the Hilbert series. □

Step 2. If $\epsilon \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N \geq 4$, then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, \ell)) = \infty$ for all $\ell \geq 2$.

Proof. We may assume that $\ell = 2$. By Lemma 3.8, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}) = \infty$. Now $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$ is of Cartan type with Cartan matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2-N \\ 2-N & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus Theorem 1.6 applies. □

Step 3. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{G}'_3$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $V = \mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$. We determine first $\dim\mathcal{B}^n(V)$ for $n \leq 4$. To do so, we compute inductively for $n \leq 4$

$$J(n) := \ker\partial_2 : T^n(V) \to \mathcal{B}^{n-1}(V),$$
$$\text{and} \qquad I(n) := J(n) \cap \big(\ker\partial_1 : T^n(V) \to \mathcal{B}^{n-1}(V)\big),$$

so that $\mathcal{B}^n(V) = T^n(V)/I(n)$, $n \leq 4$. Now $\ker\partial_2$ is a subalgebra of $T(V)$, since ∂_2 is a skew-derivation, and it is invariant under the action of g since

$$g^{-1}\partial_2 g = \epsilon\partial_2.$$

Clearly $J(1) = \Bbbk x_1$ and $I(1) = 0$. So let $n = 2$. Note that $x_1^2 \in J(2)$. Further, $\epsilon^2 + \epsilon + 1 = 0$ by assumption. Let $x_{21} = x_2x_1 - \epsilon x_1x_2$. Since

$$\partial_2(x_1x_2) = x_1, \qquad \partial_2(x_2x_1) = \epsilon x_1, \qquad \partial_2(x_2^2) = -\epsilon^2 x_2 + x_1,$$

we conclude that $J(2) = \Bbbk x_1^2 + \Bbbk x_{21}$. We record that

$$g \cdot x_{21} = \epsilon^2 x_{21} + (\epsilon - \epsilon^2) x_1^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Further,

$$\partial_1(x_1^2) = -\epsilon^2 x_1, \quad \partial_1(x_{21}) = (1-\epsilon^2)x_2 - \epsilon x_1$$

and hence $I(2) = 0$.

Now let $n = 3$. Then $x_1^3, x_1x_{21}, x_{21}x_1 \in J(3)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(x_1^2x_2) &= x_1^2, \\
\partial_2(x_2^2x_1) &= -x_2x_1 + \epsilon x_1^2, \\
\partial_2(x_2x_1x_2) &= x_2x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_1x_2 + \epsilon x_1^2, \\
\partial_2(x_1x_2^2) &= -\epsilon^2 x_1x_2 + x_1^2, \\
\partial_2(x_2^3) &= -\epsilon^2 x_2x_1 + \epsilon x_1x_2 + x_1^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 &= x_2^2x_1 + x_2x_1x_2 + x_1x_2^2 + (\epsilon^2 - \epsilon)x_1^2x_2, \\
z_2 &= x_2^3 - \epsilon^2 x_2^2x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_1x_2^2 - \epsilon^2 x_1^2x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $J(3) = \Bbbk x_1^3 + \Bbbk x_1x_{21} + \Bbbk x_{21}x_1 + \Bbbk z_1 + \Bbbk z_2$. We record that

$$\begin{aligned}
g \cdot z_1 &= z_1 + 2\epsilon^2(x_2x_1^2 + x_1x_2x_1 + x_1^2x_2) + (4\epsilon - 1)x_1^3, \\
g \cdot z_2 &= z_2 + \epsilon^2 z_1 + \epsilon x_1x_{21} + (1-\epsilon)x_1^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_1(x_1^3) &= 0, \\
\partial_1(x_1x_{21}) &= \epsilon^2 x_2x_1 - \epsilon^2 x_1x_2 - \epsilon^2 x_1^2, \\
\partial_1(x_{21}x_1) &= \epsilon x_2x_1 - \epsilon x_1x_2 - \epsilon^2 x_1^2, \\
\partial_1(z_1) &= -\epsilon^2 x_2x_1 + (2\epsilon - \epsilon^2)x_1x_2 + (2-\epsilon)x_1^2, \\
\partial_1(z_2) &= (\epsilon - \epsilon^2)x_2^2 + x_2x_1 - \epsilon x_1x_2 - x_1^2
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $I(3) = \Bbbk x_1^3$. At this place we used that $\operatorname{char} \Bbbk \neq 2$.

Finally, let us assume that $n = 4$. Since $\ker \partial_2$ is an algebra, we conclude first that

$$x_1^4, x_1^2x_{21}, x_1x_{21}x_1, x_{21}x_1^2, x_{21}^2, x_1z_1, x_1z_2, z_1x_1, z_2x_1 \in J(4).$$

Moreover, for $\partial_2 : T^4(V) \to \mathcal{B}^3(V)$ we also obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(x_1^3x_2) &= 0, \\
\partial_2(x_1^2x_2^2) &= -\epsilon^2 x_1^2x_2, \\
\partial_2(x_1x_2x_1x_2) &= x_1x_2x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_1^2x_2, \\
\partial_2(x_2x_1x_1x_2) &= x_2x_1^2 + x_1^2x_2, \\
\partial_2(x_2x_1x_2x_2) &= -\epsilon^2 x_2x_1x_2 + x_1x_2^2 + x_2x_1^2 + \epsilon^2 x_1x_2x_1 + \epsilon^2 x_1^2x_2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_2(x_2x_2x_1x_2) = x_2^2x_1 - \epsilon x_2x_1x_2 - x_2x_1^2 + \epsilon^2x_1^2x_2,$$
$$\partial_2(x_2x_2x_2x_2) = x_2^3 - x_2x_1x_2 + \epsilon^2x_1x_2^2 - \epsilon^2x_2x_1^2 + \epsilon x_1x_2x_1 + \epsilon x_1^2x_2.$$

These formulas immediately imply that the elements

$$x_1^4, x_1^2x_{21}, x_1x_{21}x_1, x_{21}x_1^2, x_{21}^2, x_1z_1, x_1z_2, z_1x_1, z_2x_1, x_1^3x_2$$

form a basis of $J(4)$. Since $I(V) = \oplus_{n\geq 2} I(n)$ is a two-sided ideal and since $x_1^3 \in I(3)$, it is clear that $x_1^4, x_1^3x_2, x_2x_1^3 \in I(4)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_1(x_1^2x_{21}) &= -\epsilon x_1x_2x_1 + x_1^2x_2,\\
\partial_1(x_1x_{21}x_1) &= x_2x_1^2 - \epsilon x_1^2x_2,\\
\partial_1(x_{21}^2) &= (\epsilon^2-\epsilon)x_2^2x_1 + (1-\epsilon)x_1x_2^2\\
&\quad + 2\epsilon x_2x_1^2 + (\epsilon^2-1)x_1x_2x_1 + \epsilon x_1^2x_2,\\
\partial_1(x_1z_1) &= x_2^2x_1 + x_2x_1x_2 + x_1x_2^2\\
&\quad + 2\epsilon^2x_2x_1^2 + \epsilon^2x_1x_2x_1 + (\epsilon^2-1)x_1^2x_2,\\
\partial_1(z_1x_1) &= x_2^2x_1 + x_2x_1x_2 + x_1x_2^2\\
&\quad - x_2x_1^2 + (2\epsilon^2-1)x_1x_2x_1 + (\epsilon^2-\epsilon)x_1^2x_2,\\
\partial_1(x_1z_2) &= x_2^3 + \epsilon^2x_2x_1x_2 - x_1x_2^2 - \epsilon^2x_1x_2x_1 + (\epsilon-\epsilon^2)x_1^2x_2,\\
\partial_1(z_2x_1) &= x_2^3 - x_2^2x_1 + \epsilon^2x_1x_2^2 + \epsilon x_2x_1^2 - \epsilon^2x_1x_2x_1 - \epsilon^2x_1^2x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$z_3 = z_1x_1 - x_1z_1 + (\epsilon^2-\epsilon)x_1x_{21}x_1 + (\epsilon-\epsilon^2)x_1^2x_{21} \in T^4(V).$$

The above formulas imply that $x_1^4, x_1^3x_2, x_2x_1^3, z_3$ form a basis of $I(4)$.

Consider now the $\mathbb{N}_0^2$-graded algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. The elements x_2^3 and

$$w := x_1x_2^3 - x_2^3x_1$$

are primitive and non-zero in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$, since $I(3)$ has no non-zero element of $\mathbb{N}_0^2$-degree $3\alpha_2$ and $I(4)$ has no non-zero element of $\mathbb{N}_0^2$-degree $\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ be the braided Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ generated by x_1, x_2, w. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ be the graded braided Hopf algebra associated to the natural Hopf algebra filtration of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$, where the generators x_1, x_2, w have degree one. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3$ be the Nichols algebra quotient of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Then

$$\operatorname{GKdim}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V).$$

On the other hand, the Dynkin diagram of the degree one part of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3$ is a triangle with vertices labeled by ϵ and edges labeled by ϵ^{-1}. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3$ is of Cartan type with Cartan matrix of (affine) type $\tilde{A}_2$. Thus

$$\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \operatorname{GKdim}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3 = \infty$$

by Theorem 1.6. □

4. Yetter-Drinfeld modules of dimension 3

4.1. **The setting.** Let Γ be an abelian group. In this Section we consider $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V = 3$, such that the corresponding braided vector space is not of diagonal type. So, V is not semisimple and we have three possibilities that we discuss in §4.1.1, 4.1.2 and 4.1.3.

4.1.1. *A block and a point.* Here $V = \mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta) \oplus \Bbbk^{\chi_2}_{g_2}$, where $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$, $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\eta : \Gamma \to \Bbbk$ is a (χ_1, χ_1)-derivation. Here $\mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta) \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ is indecomposable with basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_2}$ and action given by (3.1); while $\Bbbk^{\chi_2}_{g_2} \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ is irreducible with base (x_3). Also $\eta(g_1) \neq 0$, otherwise this is discussed in §4.1.2, and then we may suppose that $\eta(g_1) = 1$ by normalizing x_1. Let

$$q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i), \qquad i, j \in \mathbb{I}_2; \qquad \epsilon = q_{11}; \qquad a = q_{21}^{-1}\eta(g_2).$$

Then the braiding is given in the basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_3}$ by

$$(c(x_i \otimes x_j))_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_1 & (\epsilon x_2 + x_1) \otimes x_1 & q_{12}x_3 \otimes x_1 \\ \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_2 & (\epsilon x_2 + x_1) \otimes x_2 & q_{12}x_3 \otimes x_2 \\ q_{21}x_1 \otimes x_3 & q_{21}(x_2 + ax_1) \otimes x_3 & q_{22}x_3 \otimes x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $V_1 = \mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta)$, $V_2 = \Bbbk^{\chi_2}_{g_2}$. If $\epsilon^2 = 1$, then

$$c^2_{|V_1 \otimes V_2} = \mathrm{id} \iff q_{12}q_{21} = 1 \text{ and } a = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

The scalar $q_{12}q_{21}$ will be called the *interaction* between the block and the point. The interaction is

$$\text{weak if } q_{12}q_{21} = 1, \quad \text{mild if } q_{12}q_{21} = -1, \quad \text{strong if } q_{12}q_{21} \notin \{\pm 1\}.$$

So $c^2_{|V_1 \otimes V_2}$ is determined by the interaction and the (somewhat hidden) parameter a. We introduce a normalized version of a, called the *ghost*:

$$\mathscr{G} = \begin{cases} -2a, & \epsilon = 1, \\ a, & \epsilon = -1. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

If $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}$, then we say that the ghost is *discrete*.

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be a braided vector space with braiding* (4.1)*. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$.
(2) V is as in Table 5.

Scheme of the proof. First, $\epsilon^2 = 1$ and the interaction is not strong, by Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 4.5. If $a = 0$ and $q_{12}q_{21} = 1$, then $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 1)) \underline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\Bbbk x_3)$ has either $\operatorname{GKdim} = 2$ if $q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N > 1$, or 3 otherwise. For the rest, Theorems 4.11 and 4.28 apply. □

TABLE 5. Nichols algebras of a block and a point with finite GKdim

interaction	ϵ	q_{22}	$\mathscr{G}$	$\mathcal{B}(V)$, §	GKdim
weak	± 1	1 or $\notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$	0	$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon,1))\underline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(\Bbbk x_3)$	3
		$\in \mathbb{G}_\infty - \{1\}$			2
	1	1	discrete	$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$, 4.3.1	$\mathscr{G}+3$
		-1	discrete	$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$, 4.3.2	2
		$\in \mathbb{G}_3'$	1	$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega,\mathscr{G}))$, 4.3.5	2
	-1	1	discrete	$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$, 4.3.3	$\mathscr{G}+3$
		-1	discrete	$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(-1,\mathscr{G}))$, 4.3.4	$\mathscr{G}+2$
mild	-1	-1	1	$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$, 4.4.1	2

4.1.2. *A pale block and a point.* Here again $V = \mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1,\eta)\oplus \Bbbk^{\chi_2}_{g_2}$, where $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$, $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\eta : \Gamma \to \Bbbk$ is a (χ_1,χ_1)-derivation, as in §4.1.1 but $\eta(g_1) = 0$. Then $\eta(g_2) \neq 0$ because V is assumed not of diagonal type. Let $q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i)$, $i,j \in \mathbb{I}_2$; $\epsilon = q_{11}$; by normalizing x_1, we assume that $q_{21}^{-1}\eta(g_2) = 1$. Then the braiding is given in the basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_3}$ by

$$(c(x_i\otimes x_j))_{i,j\in\mathbb{I}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon x_1\otimes x_1 & \epsilon x_2\otimes x_1 & q_{12}x_3\otimes x_1 \\ \epsilon x_1\otimes x_2 & \epsilon x_2\otimes x_2 & q_{12}x_3\otimes x_2 \\ q_{21}x_1\otimes x_3 & q_{21}(x_2+x_1)\otimes x_3 & q_{22}x_3\otimes x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$ is of diagonal Cartan type, we may assume that $\epsilon \in \mathbb{G}_2\cup\mathbb{G}_3$. This case is solved in §8.1, see Theorem 8.3.

4.1.3. *Indecomposable of dimension 3.* Assume that V is indecomposable but not of diagonal type. Then $V = V_g$ is homogeneous of degree $g \in \Gamma$ where the action of g in some basis is given by $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_1 & \eta \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \Bbbk^\times$ and $\eta \in \Bbbk$. If $\eta = 0$, then the braided vector space V is as in 4.1.1. If $\eta \neq 0$, then $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 =: \epsilon$ so that V is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 3)$ as braided vector space. We may assume that $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, by Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.2. *If $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon,\ell)) = \infty$ *for all $\ell \geq 3$.*

The proof is given in §4.2.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. We next summarize Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, and the discussion above.

Corollary 4.3. *Let Γ be an abelian group, $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V = 3$, not of diagonal type. If* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$*, then V is as listed in Table 5.*

4.1.4. We fix the notation for the rest of this Section. Let (V,c) be a braided vector space of dimension 3, with a basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_3}$ and braiding given by (4.1), for some $q_{ij} \in \mathbf{k}^\times$, $i,j \in \mathbb{I}_2$, $a \in \Bbbk$; and $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$. The braided subspace spanned by x_1, x_2 is $\simeq \mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$ and we may use the material from §3.1, 3.2, 3.3, e. g. $x_{21} = x_2x_1 - \epsilon x_1x_2$. We realize (V,c) as a Yetter-Drinfeld module $\mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1,\eta)\oplus\Bbbk^{\chi_2}_{g_2}$ over some abelian group Γ with suitable g_1, g_2, χ_1, χ_2 and

η; for instance $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ would do. Here $V_1 = \mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta)$ is spanned by x_1 and x_2, while $V_2 = \Bbbk_{g_2}^{\chi_2}$ is spanned by x_3.

In order to analyze the structure of $\mathcal{B}(V)$, we consider $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(V_1)}$, see §4.2.3, 4.4. By the general theory, see [HS, Proposition 8.6], and also [AHS, Lemma 3.2], $K = \oplus_{n\geq 0} K^n$ inherits the grading of $\mathcal{B}(V)$; $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ and K is the Nichols algebra of

$$K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_1)(V_2). \tag{4.5}$$

Now $K^1 \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ with the adjoint action and the coaction given by

$$\delta = (\pi_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma} \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta_{\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}. \tag{4.6}$$

For further use, we introduce

$$z_n := (\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)^n x_3, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 4.4. Assume that $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_1) < \infty$ (hence, it is 2) and that the action of $\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ on K is locally finite; then by Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3,

$$\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \operatorname{GKdim}(K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)) = \operatorname{GKdim} K + 2.$$

4.1.5. *Strong interaction.* The following lemma simplifies significantly our problem.

Lemma 4.5. *If the interaction is strong, then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. By assumption, $q_{12}^2 q_{21}^2 \neq 1$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ be the braided Hopf algebra of diagonal type associated to the natural flag of Yetter-Drinfeld submodules of V, see §3.4. The class of $x_{21} \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ is non-zero and primitive since

$$\Delta(x_{21}) = x_{21} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_{21} - \epsilon x_1 \otimes x_1$$

in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Consider the filtration of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$, where x_1, x_2, x_3 and x_{21} have degree one. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be the associated graded braided Hopf algebra; $\widetilde{V} := \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^1$, generated by x_1, x_2, x_3, and x_{21}, is of diagonal type, with Dynkin diagram consisting of four vertices. Since $g_1^2 \cdot x_{21} = x_{21}$, the vertex of x_{21} has label 1. Moreover, this vertex is connected by an edge with the vertex of x_3, which is labeled by $q_{12}^2 q_{21}^2$, since

$$g_1^2 \cdot x_3 = q_{12}^2 x_3, \qquad g_2 \cdot x_{21} = q_{21}^2 x_{21}.$$

Since $q_{12}^2 q_{21}^2 \neq 1$, $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{V}) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8. The Lemma follows because $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\widetilde{V}) \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$. □

4.2. **Weak interaction.** *We assume that* $q_{12}q_{21} = 1$.

4.2.1. We establish first a series of useful formulae.

Lemma 4.6. *The following hold in* $\mathcal{B}(V)$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$*:*

$$g_1 \cdot z_n = \epsilon^n q_{12} z_n, \qquad x_1 z_n = \epsilon^n q_{12} z_n x_1, \quad x_{21} z_n = q_{12}^2 z_n x_{21}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$x_{21}^n x_2 = (n\epsilon x_1 + x_2)x_{21}^n, \quad g_2 \cdot z_n = q_{21}^n q_{22} z_n. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. (4.8): the case $n = 0$ is $g_1 \cdot x_3 = q_{12}x_3$, by hypothesis, $x_1x_3 = q_{12}x_3x_1$, because the interaction is weak, and $x_{21}x_3 = q_{12}^2 x_3x_{21}$; this last equality can be checked with derivations, for instance $\partial_3(x_{21}x_3 - q_{12}^2x_3x_{21}) = a(\epsilon - 1)x_1^2$. Now suppose that (4.8) holds for n. Then

$$x_2z_n = \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2 + z_{n+1}. \tag{4.10}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot z_{n+1} &\overset{\star}{=} g_1 \cdot (x_2z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2) \overset{\star}{=} (\epsilon x_2 + x_1)\epsilon^n q_{12}z_n - \epsilon^{2n}q_{12}^2 z_n(\epsilon x_2 + x_1) \\ &= \epsilon^{n+1}q_{12}\left[(x_2z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2) + (x_1z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_1)\right] \overset{\star}{=} \epsilon^{n+1}q_{12}z_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where we applied in $\star$ either of the equalities in (4.8) for n. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1z_{n+1} &= x_1(x_2z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2) = \epsilon(-x_{21} + x_2x_1)z_n - \epsilon^{2n}q_{12}^2 z_nx_1x_2 \\ &= -\epsilon q_{12}^2 z_nx_{21} + \epsilon^{n+1}q_{12}x_2z_nx_1 - q_{12}^2 z_nx_1x_2 \\ &= \epsilon^{n+1}q_{12}x_2z_nx_1 - q_{12}^2 z_n(\epsilon x_{21} + x_1x_2) \\ &= \epsilon^{n+1}q_{12}x_2z_nx_1 - \epsilon q_{12}^2 z_nx_2x_1 \\ &= \epsilon^{n+1}q_{12}(x_2z_nx_1 - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2)x_1 = \epsilon^{n+1}q_{12}z_{n+1}x_1. \end{aligned}$$

Also $x_{21}x_2 = (\epsilon x_1 + x_2)x_{12}$ by (3.6) and (3.8). Hence the first equation in (4.9) follows by induction; and also

$$\begin{aligned} x_{21}z_{n+1} &= x_{21}(x_2z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2) = (\epsilon x_1 + x_2)x_{21}z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}^3 z_nx_{21}x_2 \\ &= q_{12}^2(\epsilon x_1 + x_2)z_nx_{21} - \epsilon^n q_{12}^3 z_n(\epsilon x_1 + x_2)x_{21} \\ &= q_{12}^2(x_2z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2)x_{21} = q_{12}^2 z_{n+1}x_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second equation in (4.9), we compute using (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 \cdot z_{n+1} &= g_2 \cdot (x_2z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2) \\ &= q_{21}(x_2 + ax_1)q_{21}^n q_{22}z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}q_{21}^{n+1}q_{22}z_n(x_2 + ax_1) \\ &= q_{21}^{n+1}q_{22}(x_2z_n - \epsilon^n q_{12}z_nx_2) = q_{21}^{n+1}q_{22}z_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We define recursively a family $(\mu_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ by

$$\mu_0 = 1, \quad \mu_{2k+1} = -(a + k\epsilon)\mu_{2k}, \quad \mu_{2k} = (a + k + \epsilon(a + k - 1))\mu_{2k-1}. \tag{4.11}$$

Thus

$$\mu_{n+1} = \begin{cases} (2a+n)\mu_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\frac{2a+n}{2}\mu_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad \text{when} \qquad \epsilon = 1;$$

$$\mu_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \mu_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -(a - \frac{n}{2})\mu_n & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \qquad \text{when} \qquad \epsilon = -1.$$

Therefore, if $\mathscr{G}$ is discrete, then $\mu_n = 0 \iff n > |2a|$, while

$$\mathscr{G} \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \implies \mu_n \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{4.12}$$

Lemma 4.7. *For all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\partial_1(z_k) = \partial_2(z_k) = 0$,*

$$\partial_3(z_{2k}) = \mu_{2k} x_{21}^k, \qquad \partial_3(z_{2k+1}) = \mu_{2k+1} x_1 x_{21}^k. \tag{4.13}$$

Therefore, if $\mathscr{G}$ is discrete, then $z_n = 0 \iff n > |2a|$.

Proof. The claims for $k = 0$ follow at once because $\partial_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Arguing recursively,

$$\partial_1(z_{k+1}) \overset{(4.10)}{=} \partial_1(x_2 z_k - \epsilon^k q_{12} z_k x_2) = 0,$$
$$\partial_2(z_{k+1}) \overset{(4.10)}{=} \partial_2(x_2 z_k - \epsilon^k q_{12} z_k x_2) = g_2 \cdot z_k - \epsilon^k q_{12} z_k \overset{(4.8)}{=} 0$$

Also

$$\partial_3(z_{2k+1}) = \partial_3(x_2 z_{2k} - q_{12} z_{2k} x_2) = \mu_{2k} \left(x_2 x_{21}^k - q_{12} q_{21}\, x_{21}^k (x_2 + a x_1) \right)$$
$$\overset{(4.9)}{=} \mu_{2k} \left(x_2 x_{21}^k - (k\epsilon x_1 + x_2) x_{21}^k - a x_{21}^k x_1 \right) = -(a + k\epsilon) \mu_{2k}\, x_1 x_{21}^k;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_3(z_{2k}) &= \partial_3(x_2 z_{2k-1} - q_{12}\epsilon\, z_{2k-1} x_2) \\
&= \mu_{2k-1} \left(x_2 x_1 x_{21}^{k-1} - \epsilon\, x_1 x_{21}^{k-1} (x_2 + a x_1) \right) \\
&= \mu_{2k-1} \left(x_2 x_1 x_{21}^{k-1} - \epsilon\, x_1 ((k-1)\epsilon x_1 + x_2) x_{21}^{k-1} - \epsilon a\, x_1^2 x_{21}^{k-1} \right) \\
&= \mu_{2k-1} \left(x_{21}^k - (k - 1 + \epsilon a) x_1^2 x_{21}^{k-1} \right) \\
&= \mu_{2k-1} \left(1 + (k - 1 + \epsilon a)(1 + \epsilon) \right) x_{21}^k \\
&= (a + k + \epsilon(a + k - 1))\, \mu_{2k-1} x_{21}^k
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $x_{21} x_1 = x_1 x_{12}$, by (3.6) and (3.10), and $x_1^2 = -(1+\epsilon) x_{12}$. □

If $\epsilon = 1$, then (4.13) says that

$$\partial_3(z_{2k}) = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k} \mu_{2k} x_1^{2k}, \qquad \partial_3(z_{2k+1}) = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k} \mu_{2k+1} x_1^{2k+1}. \tag{4.14}$$

Lemma 4.8. *If the ghost is not discrete, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. By hypothesis and (4.12), $\deg z_n = n + 1$. We claim that the set

$$S = \{ z_{2n_1} \cdots z_{2n_k} : k, n_i \in \mathbb{N}, n_1 < \cdots < n_k \}$$

is linearly independent. Otherwise, there exists a non-trivial linear combination of elements of S, that we assume it is of minimal degree. By Lemmata 4.6 and 4.7, and (3.11) when $\epsilon = -1$,

$$\partial_1^{n_k} \partial_3(z_{2n_1} \dots z_{2n_k}) = n_k!\, \mu_{2n_k} z_{2n_1} \dots z_{2n_{k-1}}, \qquad \epsilon = -1,$$
$$\partial_1^{2n_k} \partial_3(z_{2n_1} \dots z_{2n_k}) = \frac{(-1)^{n_k}}{2^{n_k}} (2n_k)!\, \mu_{2n_k} z_{2n_1} \dots z_{2n_{k-1}}, \qquad \epsilon = 1.$$

Hence the coefficient of z_{2n_k}, where n_k is maximal, of the linear combination is again a linear dependence by (4.12), a contradiction; the claim follows. Since $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is finitely generated, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.5. □

4.2.2. *Proof of Theorem 4.2.* It is enough to assume that $\ell = 3$. The ideal I generated by x_1 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 3))$ is a coideal since x_1 is primitive, and a Yetter-Drinfeld submodule since $\Bbbk x_1$ is stable by the $\mathbb{Z}$-action. Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 3))/I$ is a $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded Hopf algebra in ${}^{\Bbbk\mathbb{Z}}_{\Bbbk\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{YD}$, generated by (the images of) x_2, x_3. Let

$$w = \begin{cases} x_3x_2 - x_2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathbf{g}^2}, & \epsilon = 1, \\ (\operatorname{ad}_c x_3)^2(x_2) - x_2(\operatorname{ad}_c x_3)(x_2) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{\mathbf{g}^3}, & \epsilon = -1. \end{cases}$$

We claim that $w \neq 0$. Indeed, $(\operatorname{ad}_c x_3)^2 x_2 - x_2(\operatorname{ad}_c x_3)(x_2) \notin I$ by direct computation of of the component of degree 3 of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(-1, 3))$, and similarly for $\epsilon = 1$. Now w is a primitive element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, hence the Nichols algebra of the span V of the linearly independent primitive elements x_2, x_3 and w is a quotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. But V is as in (4.1) for

$$\begin{aligned} &q_{12} = q_{21} = q_{22} = 1, && a = 2, && \text{if } \epsilon = 1, \\ &q_{12} = q_{21} = q_{22} = -1, && a = -1, && \text{if } \epsilon = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) \leq \operatorname{GKdim} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \leq \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 3))$, Lemma 4.8 implies that $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 3)) = \infty$. □

4.2.3. Recall $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(V_1)} \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1)$, $K^1 = \operatorname{ad}\mathcal{B}(V_1)(V_2)$, cf. §4.1.4.

Remark 4.9. If $\mathscr{G}$ is discrete, then the family $(z_n)_{0 \leq n \leq |2a|}$ is a basis of K^1.

Indeed, $(z_n)_{0 \leq n \leq |2a|}$ is linearly independent, because the z_n's are homogeneous of distinct degrees, and are $\neq 0$ by (4.13). We have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(z_n) = x_1z_n - g_1 \cdot z_n x_1 \overset{(4.8)}{=} \epsilon^n q_{12} z_n x_1 - \epsilon^n q_{12} z_n x_1 = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_{21}(z_n) = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2 \operatorname{ad}_c x_1(z_n) - \epsilon \operatorname{ad}_c x_1 \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(z_n) = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Then K^1 is generated by z_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and the Remark follows.

If $\epsilon = 1$, then we define recursively $\nu_{k,n}$ as follows: $\nu_{n,n} = 1$,

$$\nu_{0,n+1} = -\big(\frac{n}{2} + a\big)\nu_{0,n}, \quad \nu_{k,n+1} = \nu_{k-1,n} - \left(\frac{n+k}{2} + a\right)\nu_{k,n}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Lemma 4.10. *The coaction* (4.6) *on* z_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *is given by* (4.17), *when* $\epsilon = 1$, *and by* (4.18), (4.19), *when* $\epsilon = -1$*:*

$$\delta(z_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \nu_{k,n}\, x_1^{n-k} g_1^k g_2 \otimes z_k. \tag{4.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z_{2n}) = &\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n}\, x_1 x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k-1} g_2 \otimes z_{2k-1} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n} x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k} g_2 \otimes z_{2k}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

$$\delta(z_{2n+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n+1}\, x_1 x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k} g_2 \otimes z_{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k+1,n+1} x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k+1} g_2 \otimes z_{2k+1}. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. Since $\delta(z_0) = g_2 \otimes z_0$, the claim is clear for $n = 0$. Assume that $\epsilon = 1$ and that (4.17) holds for n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(z_{n+1}) &\overset{(4.10)}{=} (x_2 \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_2)\delta(z_n) - q_{12}\delta(z_n)(x_2 \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_2) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \nu_{k,n}\big(x_2 x_1^{n-k} g_1^k g_2 \otimes z_k + x_1^{n-k} g_1^{k+1} g_2 \otimes x_2 z_k \\
&\qquad - q_{12} x_1^{n-k} g_1^k g_2 x_2 \otimes z_k - q_{12} x_1^{n-k} g_1^{k+1} g_2 \otimes z_k x_2\big) \\
&\overset{\star}{=} -\sum_{k=0}^{n} \big(\frac{n+k}{2} + a\big)\nu_{k,n} x_1^{n+1-k} g_1^k g_2 \otimes z_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \nu_{k-1,n}\, x_1^{n+1-k} g_1^k g_2 \otimes z_k \\
&= -\big(\frac{n}{2} + a\big)\nu_{0,n} x_1^{n+1} \otimes z_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\nu_{k-1,n} - \big(\frac{n+k}{2} + a\big)\nu_{k,n}\right] x_1^{n+1-k} g_1^k g_2 \otimes z_k \\
&\qquad + \nu_{n,n} g_1^{n+1} g_2 \otimes z_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we use (3.5) and the identity $x_2 g_1^k = g_1^k x_2 - k x_1 g_1^k$ in $\star$. But this is (4.17) for $n+1$. Assume next that $\epsilon = -1$ and that (4.18) holds for n. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(z_{2n+1}) &\overset{(4.10)}{=} (x_2 \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_2)\delta(z_{2n}) - q_{12}\delta(z_{2n})(x_2 \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_2) \\
&= \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n}\, x_2 x_1 x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k-1} g_2 \otimes z_{2k-1}}_{A} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n} x_2 x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k} g_2 \otimes z_{2k}}_{B} \\
&\quad \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n} g_1 x_1 x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k-1} g_2 \otimes x_2 z_{2k-1}}_{C} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n} g_1 x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k} g_2 \otimes x_2 z_{2k}}_{D} \\
&\qquad - q_{12}\Big(\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n}\, x_1 x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k-1} g_2 x_2 \otimes z_{2k-1}}_{E} \\
&\qquad + \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n} x_{21}^{n-k} g_1^{2k} g_2 x_2 \otimes z_{2k}}_{F}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k-1}g_2g_1\otimes z_{2k-1}x_2}_{G}$$
$$+\underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k}g_2g_1\otimes z_{2k}x_2}_{H}\Big).$$

Now $A-q_{12}E=\sum_{k=1}^{n}k\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}\,I\otimes z_{2k-1}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}I &\overset{(3.3),(4.1)}{=} x_{21}^{n+1-k}g_1^{2k-1}g_2-x_1x_2x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k-1}g_2-x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k-1}(x_2+ax_1)g_2\\ &\overset{\star}{=}\left(x_{21}^{n+1-k}-x_1x_2x_{21}^{n-k}-x_1x_{21}^{n-k}\left(-x_2+(2k-1-a)x_1\right)\right)g_1^{2k-1}g_2\\ &\overset{(3.10),(4.9)}{=}\left(x_{21}^{n+1-k}-x_1x_2x_{21}^{n-k}+x_1(x_2+(k-n)x_1)x_{21}^{n-k}\right)g_1^{2k-1}g_2\\ &\overset{(3.7)}{=} x_{21}^{n+1-k}g_1^{2k-1}g_2.\end{aligned}$$

Here and below, we use in $\star$ the identity $g_1^jx_2=(-1)^j(x_2-jx_1)g_1^j$.

Now $B-q_{12}F=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}J\otimes z_{2k}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}J &= x_2x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k}g_2-x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k}(x_2+ax_1)g_2\\ &\overset{\star}{=}\left(x_2x_{21}^{n-k}-x_{21}^{n-k}\left(x_2-(2k-a)x_1\right)\right)g_1^{2k}g_2\\ &\overset{(3.10),(4.9)}{=}(n+k-a)x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k}g_2.\end{aligned}$$

Also $C-q_{12}G=\sum_{k=1}^{n}k\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}K$ where

$$\begin{aligned}K &= g_1x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k-1}g_2\otimes x_2z_{2k-1}-q_{12}x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k-1}g_2g_1\otimes z_{2k-1}x_2\\ &= -x_1g_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k-1}g_2\otimes(z_{2k}-q_{12}z_{2k-1}x_2)-q_{12}x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k}g_2\otimes z_{2k-1}x_2\\ &\overset{*}{=} -x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k}g_2\otimes z_{2k},\end{aligned}$$

where in $*$ we use $g_1x_{21}=x_{21}g_1$. Next $D-q_{12}H=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}L$, where

$$\begin{aligned}L &= x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k+1}g_2\otimes(z_{2k+1}+q_{12}z_{2k}x_2)-q_{12}x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k+1}g_2\otimes z_{2k}x_2\\ &= x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k+1}g_2\otimes z_{2k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Putting together the previous computations,

$$(B+C)-q_{12}(F+G)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}(n-a)x_1x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k}g_2\otimes z_{2k};$$

$$(A+D)-q_{12}(E+H)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(k+1)\binom{n}{k+1}\mu_{k+1,n}\,x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k+1}g_2\otimes z_{2k+1}$$
$$+\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}x_{21}^{n-k}g_1^{2k+1}g_2\otimes z_{2k+1}$$
$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(k+1)\binom{n}{k+1}\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k+1,n+1}$$

because

$$(k+1)\binom{n}{k+1}\mu_{k+1,n}+\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k,n}=\tfrac{n!\mu_{k+1,n}}{k!(n-k-1)!}\left(1+\tfrac{k-a}{n-k}\right)=\binom{n}{k}\mu_{k+1,n+1}.$$

This gives (4.19). The proof of (4.18) for $\delta(z_{2n+2})$ is similar. □

We are ready for the main result of this Subsection. Recall that the interaction is weak. We also assume $\mathscr{G}\neq 0$, otherwise $\mathcal{B}(V)\simeq\mathcal{B}(V_1)\underline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(V_2)$.

Theorem 4.11. $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$ *is finite if and only if* ϵ, q_{22} *and* $\mathscr{G}$ *are as in Table 6; in such case,* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)=\operatorname{GKdim}K+2$.

TABLE 6. Nichols algebras with finite GKdim, weak interaction

ϵ	q_{22}	$\mathscr{G}$	$\operatorname{GKdim}K$
1	1	discrete	$\mathscr{G}+1$
	-1	discrete	0
	$\in\mathbb{G}'_3$	1	0
-1	1	discrete	$\mathscr{G}+1$
	-1	discrete	$\mathscr{G}$

Proof. By Lemma 4.8, we may assume that the ghost is discrete. We claim that the braided vector space K^1 is of diagonal type with braiding matrix

$$(p_{ij})_{0\le i,j\le 2|a|}=(\epsilon^{ij}q_{12}^iq_{21}^jq_{22})_{0\le i,j\le 2|a|}.$$

Hence, the corresponding generalized Dynkin diagram has labels

$$p_{ii}=\epsilon^iq_{22},\qquad p_{ij}p_{ji}=q_{22}^2,\qquad i\neq j\in\{0\}\cup\mathbb{I}_{2|a|}.$$

Indeed, by Remark 4.9 it is enough to compute

$$c(z_i\otimes z_j)=g_1^ig_2\cdot z_j\otimes z_i=\epsilon^{ij}q_{12}^iq_{21}^jq_{22}z_j\otimes z_i,$$

by Lemmata 4.10 and 4.6, (4.15) and (4.16). We proceed then case by case.

Case 1. $q_{22}^2=1$.

Here the Dynkin diagram of K^1 is totally disconnected with vertices $i\in\mathbb{I}_{2|a|}$ labeled with ϵ^iq_{22}. The vertices with label 1, respectively -1, contribute with 1, respectively 0, to $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(K^1)$.

Case 2. $\epsilon = 1$, $q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $\mathscr{G} = 1$.

The Dynkin diagram is of Cartan type A_2, so $\mathcal{B}(K^1)$ is finite-dimensional.

Case 3. $\epsilon = 1$, $q_{22}^2 \neq 1$, $q_{22} \notin \mathbb{G}'_3$.

Since $\mathscr{G} \geq 1$, the Dynkin diagram has at least 2 vertices. The Dynkin subdiagram corresponding to the vertices 0 and 1 has labels q_{22} on the vertices and q_{22}^2 on the edge. If $q_{22} \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$, then K^1 does not admit all reflections; hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \infty$. If $q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_M$ with $M \geq 4$, then K^1 is of Cartan type with Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2-M \\ 2-M & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.

Case 4. $\epsilon = 1$, $q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $\mathscr{G} > 1$.

The Dynkin subdiagram of K^1 corresponding to the vertices 0, 1 and 2 has labels q_{22} on the vertices, respectively, and q_{22}^{-1} on the edge between them. This Dynkin diagram is of Cartan type with affine Cartan matrix $A_2^{(1)}$, and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.

Case 5. $\epsilon = -1$, $q_{22}^2 \neq 1$.

Since $a \neq 0$, the Dynkin diagram of K^1 has at least 3 vertices. The Dynkin subdiagram corresponding to the vertices 0 and 2 has labels q_{22} on the vertices and q_{22}^2 on the edge. If q_{22} is not a root of 1, then K^1 does not admit all reflections and then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \infty$. If $q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_N$ with $N \geq 4$, then K^1 is of Cartan type with Cartan matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2-N \\ 2-N & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6. Finally, if $q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_3$ then the Dynkin subdiagram of K^1 corresponding to the vertices 0 and 1 has labels q_{22} and $-q_{22}$ on the vertices, respectively, and q_{22}^{-1} on the edge between them. This Dynkin diagram is of Cartan type with affine Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.

The last claim follows from the decomposition $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \# \mathcal{B}(V_1)$, Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.4, see Remark 4.4. □

4.3. **The Nichols algebras with finite** GKdim**.** Here we describe a presentation by generators and relations and exhibit an explicit PBW basis of the Nichols algebras in Theorem 4.11. We denote the braided vector space with braiding (4.1) by

$\mathfrak{L}(q_{22}, \mathscr{G})$,	if the interaction is weak,	$\epsilon = 1$;
$\mathfrak{L}_-(q_{22}, \mathscr{G})$,	if the interaction is weak,	$\epsilon = -1$;
$\mathfrak{C}_1$,	if the interaction is mild,	$\epsilon = q_{22} = -1, \quad \mathscr{G} = 1$.

Recall the relations of the Jordan and super Jordan planes:

$$x_2x_1 - x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1^2, \tag{3.4}$$

$$x_1^2, \tag{3.7}$$

$$x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21}. \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 4.12. Assume that the interaction is weak. Let

$$y_{2k} = x_{21}^k, \qquad y_{2k+1} = x_1x_{21}^k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

By Lemma 4.6

$$\partial_3(z_t) = \mu_t y_t, \qquad z_t y_n = \epsilon^{nt} q_{21}^n y_n z_t, \qquad t, n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{4.20}$$

Lemma 4.13. *Assume that $\epsilon^2 = q_{22}^2 = 1$. In $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(q_{22}, \mathscr{G}))$, or correspondingly $\mathcal{B}_-(\mathfrak{L}(q_{22}, \mathscr{G}))$*

$$z_{|2a|+1} = 0, \tag{4.21}$$

$$z_t z_{t+1} = q_{21}q_{22}z_{t+1}z_t \qquad t \in \mathbb{N}_0, t < |2a|, \tag{4.22}$$

$$z_t^2 = 0 \qquad t \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ \epsilon^t q_{22} = -1. \tag{4.23}$$

$$\partial_3(z_t^{n+1}) = \mu_t q_{21}^{nt} q_{22}^n n\, y_t z_t^n, \qquad n, t \in \mathbb{N}_0, \epsilon^t q_{22} = 1. \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. Lemma 4.7 contains (4.21). Using Lemmata 4.6, 4.7, and Remark 4.12, we compute

$$\partial_3(z_k z_{k+1} - q_{21}q_{22}z_{k+1}z_k) = \mu_k y_k (g_2 \cdot z_{k+1}) + \mu_{k+1} z_k y_{k+1}$$
$$- q_{21}q_{22}\big(\mu_{k+1}y_{k+1}(g_2 \cdot z_k) + \mu_k z_{k+1}y_k\big) = \mu_{k+1}q_{21}^{k+1}(1 - q_{22}^2)y_{k+1}z_k = 0,$$

so (4.22) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by the same result.

Now assume that $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is such that $\epsilon^t q_{22} = -1$.

$$\partial_3(z_t^2) = \mu_t y_t (g_2 \cdot z_t) + \mu_t z_t y_t = \mu_t q_{21}^t (q_{22} + \epsilon^t) y_t z_t = 0,$$

so (4.23) also follows.

Assume that $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is such that $\epsilon^t q_{22} = 1$. To prove (4.24) we use induction on n. The case $n = 0$ follows by Lemma 4.7. Now assume it holds for n and compute

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(z_t^{n+2}) &= \mu_t q_{21}^{nt} q_{22}^n n\, y_t z_t^n (g_2 \cdot z_t) + \mu_t z_t^{n+1} y_t \\ &= \mu_t q_{21}^{(n+1)t} q_{22}^{n+1} (n + \epsilon^{t(n+1)} q_{22}^{n+1})\, y_t z_t^n.\end{aligned}$$

Then (4.24) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that $x_1x_3 = q_{12}x_3x_1$, and either*

(a) (3.4)*, or else*
(b) (3.8)*, $x_{21}x_3 = q_{12}^2 x_3 x_{21}$*

hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$x_1 z_n = \epsilon^n q_{12} z_n x_1 \tag{4.25}$$

$$x_{21} z_n = q_{12}^2 z_n x_{21}. \tag{4.26}$$

Proof. By hypothesis, $x_1 z_0 = q_{12} z_0 x_1$ since $z_0 = x_3$. Now $x_{21} z_0 = q_{12}^2 z_0 x_{21}$ holds in *(a)* by the previous relation and (3.4), while it holds in *(b)* by hypothesis. The inductive step follows as in the proof of Lemma 4.6. □

Lemma 4.15. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$, $\epsilon^2 = q_{22}^2 = 1$.*

(i) *Assume that* (4.22) *and* (4.23) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then for $0 \leq t < k \leq 2|a|$,*

$$z_t z_k = \epsilon^{tk} q_{21}^{k-t} q_{22} z_k z_t. \tag{4.27}$$

(ii) *Assume that $z_t^2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$ for $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\epsilon^t q_{22} = -1$. Then $z_t z_{t+1} = q_{21} q_{22} z_{t+1} z_t$ in $\mathcal{B}$.*

In other words, **(ii)** says that (4.23) for a specific t implies (4.22) for t.

Proof. **(i)** We argue by induction on $n = t + k$. For $n = 1$, we have $t = 0$, $k = 1$, and $z_0 z_1 = q_{21} q_{22} z_1 z_0$ by hypothesis. Now assume (4.27) holds for $n \geq 1$. If n is even and $k - 1 = t = \frac{n}{2}$, then $z_t z_{t+1} = q_{21} q_{22} z_{t+1} z_t$ by hypothesis. If n is odd and $t = k - 2 = \frac{n-1}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \operatorname{ad}_c x_2 \left(z_t z_{t+1} - q_{21} q_{22} z_{t+1} z_t\right) = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2 (z_t z_{t+1} - q_{21} q_{22} z_{t+1} z_t) \\ &= z_{t+1}^2 + \epsilon^t q_{12} z_t z_{t+2} - q_{21} q_{22} (z_{t+2} z_t + \epsilon^{t+1} q_{12} z_{t+1}^2) \\ &= (1 - \epsilon^{t+1} q_{22}) z_{t+1}^2 + \epsilon^{t+1} q_{12} (z_t z_{t+2} - \epsilon^t q_{21}^2 q_{22} z_{t+2} z_t). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $z_t z_{t+2} - \epsilon^t q_{21}^2 q_{22} z_{t+2} z_t = q_{21} (q_{22} - \epsilon^{t+1}) z_{t+1}^2$. If $\epsilon^{t+1} q_{22} = -1$, then z_{t+1}^2 by hypothesis; otherwise $\epsilon^{t+1} = q_{22}$. Hence $z_t z_{t+2} = \epsilon^t q_{21}^2 q_{22} z_{t+2} z_t$.

Finally, if $k - t > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2 \left(z_t z_k - \epsilon^{tk} q_{21}^{k-t} q_{22} z_k z_t\right) \\ &= z_{t+1} z_k - \epsilon^{(t+1)k} q_{21}^{k-t-1} q_{22} z_k z_{t+1} + \epsilon^t q_{12} \left(z_t z_{k+1} - \epsilon^{t(k+1)} q_{21}^{k+1-t} q_{22} z_{k+1} z_t\right), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof follows recursively.

(ii) Using the definition of z_{t+1},

$$\begin{aligned} z_t z_{t+1} - q_{21} q_{22} z_{t+1} z_t &= z_t (x_2 z_t - \epsilon^t q_{12} z_t x_2) - q_{21} q_{22} (x_2 z_t - \epsilon^t q_{12} z_t x_2) z_t \\ &= (1 + \epsilon^t q_{22}) z_t x_2 z_t = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3.1. *The Nichols algebra* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$. Recall that $z_n = (ad_c x_2)^n x_3$.

Proposition 4.16. *Let* $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}$. *The algebra* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$ *is presented by generators* x_1, x_2, x_3 *and relations* (3.4),

$$x_1x_3 = q_{12}\, x_3x_1, \tag{4.28}$$

$$z_{1+\mathscr{G}} = 0, \tag{4.29}$$

$$z_t z_{t+1} = q_{12}^{-1}\, z_{t+1}z_t, \qquad 0 \leq t < \mathscr{G}. \tag{4.30}$$

$\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$ *has a PBW-basis*

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}z_{\mathscr{G}}^{n_{\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0} : m_i, n_j \in \mathbb{N}_0\};$$

hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G})) = 3 + \mathscr{G}$.

Proof. Relations (4.28), (4.29) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$ being annihilated by ∂_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and (4.30) holds by Lemma 4.13. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by (3.4), (4.28), (4.29) and (4.30) projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$. Then (4.27) holds in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed,

- $Ix_1 \subseteq I$ follows by Lemma 4.14,
- $Ix_2 \subseteq I$ since $z_tx_2 = \epsilon^t q_{21}(x_2z_t - z_{t+1})$, so we use (4.29), (4.27),

and $Ix_3 \subseteq I$ by definition. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B.

To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$. For, suppose that there is a non-trivial linear combination $\mathtt{S}$ of elements of B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$, say of minimal degree. Now

$$\partial_1(x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}z_{\mathscr{G}}^{n_{\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}) = m_1\, q_{12}^{\sum n_i}\, x_1^{m_1-1}x_2^{m_2}z_{\mathscr{G}}^{n_{\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0},$$

$$\partial_2(x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}z_{\mathscr{G}}^{n_{\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}) = m_2\, q_{12}^{\sum n_i}\, x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2-1}z_{\mathscr{G}}^{n_{\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0},$$

since ∂_1, ∂_2 are skew derivations, so we apply Lemma 4.6 and $\partial_2(z_t) = 0$. Then such linear combination does not have terms with m_1 or m_2 greater than 0. Let k be maximal such that $z_k^{n_k} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}$ has non-zero coefficient in $\mathtt{S}$ for some $k \geq 1$, and for such k fix the maximal n_k. By (4.24), $y_k z_k^{n_k-1} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}$ has non-zero coefficient in $\partial_3(\mathtt{S})$, and $\partial_3(\mathtt{S})$ is also a non-trivial linear combination of elements of B, a contradiction. Then B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

Proposition 4.17. *Let* $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}$. *The algebra* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$ *is a domain.*

Proof. Consider the algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ generated by $(X_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_3}$, $(Z_t)_{t\in\mathbb{I}_{\mathscr{G}}}$ with the following relations (where $Z_0 = X_3$ for convenience): (3.4), (4.28), (4.30) (with X_i in the place of x_i and Z_t in the place of z_t), $X_2Z_t - q_{12}Z_tX_2 = Z_{t+1}$, $0 \leq t < \mathscr{G}$, $X_2Z_{\mathscr{G}} - q_{12}Z_{\mathscr{G}}X_2 = 0$. Clearly the assignments $X_i \leftrightarrow x_i$ and $Z_t \leftrightarrow z_t$ provide an algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$; in particular (4.25) and (4.27) hold in $\mathfrak{B}$. Consider the filtration of $\mathfrak{B}$ where $\deg X_1 = 0$

and all the other defining generators having degree 1. We claim that $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{B}$ is presented by $(\overline{X}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_3}$, $(\overline{Z}_t)_{t\in\mathbb{I}_{\mathscr{G}}}$ with the relations

$$\overline{X}_2\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_1\overline{X}_2 = 0, \tag{4.31}$$

$$\overline{X}_1\overline{Z}_t - q_{12}\overline{Z}_t\overline{X}_1 = 0, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq \mathscr{G}, \tag{4.32}$$

$$\overline{X}_2\overline{Z}_t - q_{12}\overline{Z}_t\overline{X}_2 = 0, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq \mathscr{G}, \tag{4.33}$$

$$\overline{Z}_t\overline{Z}_k - q_{21}^{k-t}\overline{Z}_k\overline{Z}_t = 0, \qquad 0 \leq t < k \leq \mathscr{G}, \tag{4.34}$$

where $\overline{Z}_0 = \overline{X}_3$ for convenience. Indeed, the algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ with the mentioned presentation admits a surjective algebra homomorphism onto $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{B}$. But $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a quantum polynomial ring, hence it has a PBW-basis analogous to B above and the claim follows. Now $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a domain, hence so is $\mathfrak{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1,\mathscr{G}))$. □

4.3.2. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$.*

Proposition 4.18. *Let $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$ is presented by generators x_1, x_2, x_3 and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (4.29) *and*

$$z_t^2 = 0, \qquad 0 \leq t \leq \mathscr{G}. \tag{4.35}$$

The set

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}z_{\mathscr{G}}^{n_{\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0} : n_i \in \{0,1\}, m_j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$ and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G})) = 2$.

Proof. Relations (4.28), (4.29) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$ being annihilated by ∂_i, $i = 1,2,3$, and (4.35) holds by Lemma 4.13. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by (3.4), (4.28), (4.29) and (4.35) projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$. Then Lemma 4.15 **(ii)** holds, so also (4.27) holds in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by Lemma 4.15**(i)** .

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $Ix_1 \subseteq I$ follows by Lemma 4.14, $Ix_2 \subseteq I$ by (4.29), (4.27) and (4.35), and $Ix_3 \subseteq I$ by definition. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B.

To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$. For, suppose that there is a non-trivial linear combination $\mathtt{S}$ of elements of B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$, say of minimal degree. Then such linear combination does not have terms with m_1 or m_2 greater than 0 as in Proposition 4.16. Let k be maximal such that $z_k^{n_k}\dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}$ has non-zero coefficient in $\mathtt{S}$ for some $k \geq 1$, and for such k fix the maximal n_k. By (4.24), $y_k z_k^{n_k-1}\dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}$ has non-zero coefficient in $\partial_3(\mathtt{S})$, and $\partial_3(\mathtt{S})$ is also a non-trivial linear combination of elements of B, a contradiction. Then B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

4.3.3. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$.*

Proposition 4.19. *Let $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$ is presented by generators x_1, x_2, x_3 and relations* (3.7), (3.8), (4.28) *and*

$$z_{1+2\mathscr{G}} = 0, \tag{4.36}$$

$$x_{21}z_0 = q_{12}^2\, z_0x_{21}, \tag{4.37}$$

$$z_{2k+1}^2 = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k < \mathscr{G}, \tag{4.38}$$

$$z_{2k}z_{2k+1} = q_{12}^{-1}\, z_{2k+1}z_{2k}, \qquad 0 \leq k < \mathscr{G}. \tag{4.39}$$

The set

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1}x_{21}^{m_2}x_2^{m_3}z_{2\mathscr{G}}^{n_{2\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0} : m_1, n_{2k+1} \in \{0,1\}, m_2, m_3, n_{2k} \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$ *and* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G})) = \mathscr{G}+3$.

Proof. Relations (3.7), (3.8), (4.28) and (4.36) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1,\mathscr{G}))$ being annihilated by ∂_i, $i = 1,2,3$, and (4.37), (4.38), (4.39) hold by Lemma 4.13. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$. Then $z_tz_{t+1} = q_{21}q_{22}\, z_{t+1}z_t$ for all t by Lemma 4.15 **(ii)** , so (4.27) holds in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by Lemma 4.15**(i)** .

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $Ix_1 \subseteq I$ follows by Lemma 4.14, $Ix_2 \subseteq I$ by (4.36), (4.27) and (4.38), and $Ix_3 \subseteq I$ by definition. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B.

To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$. For, suppose that there is a non-trivial linear combination $\mathtt{S}$ of elements of B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$, say of minimal degree. As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, each vector $x_1^{m_1}x_{21}^{m_2}x_2^{m_3}z_{2\mathscr{G}}^{n_{2\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}$ in $\mathtt{S}$ with non-trivial coefficient satisfies $m_i = 0$, $i = 1,2,3$. Let k be maximal such that $z_k^{n_k} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}$ has non-zero coefficient in $\mathtt{S}$ for some $k \geq 1$, and for such k fix the maximal n_k. By (4.24) and (4.20), $y_kz_k^{n_k-1} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0}$ has non-zero coefficient in $\partial_3(\mathtt{S})$, and $\partial_3(\mathtt{S})$ is also a non-trivial linear combination of elements of B, a contradiction. Then B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(1,\mathscr{G}))$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

4.3.4. *The Nichols algebra* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(-1,\mathscr{G}))$.

Proposition 4.20. *Let* $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}$. *The algebra* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(-1,\mathscr{G}))$ *is presented by generators* x_1, x_2, x_3 *and relations* (3.7), (3.8), (4.28), (4.36), (4.37) *and*

$$z_{2k}^2 = 0, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq \mathscr{G}, \tag{4.40}$$

$$z_{2k-1}z_{2k} = -q_{12}^{-1}z_{2k}z_{2k-1}, \qquad 0 < k \leq \mathscr{G}. \tag{4.41}$$

The set

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1}x_{21}^{m_2}x_2^{m_3}z_{2\mathscr{G}}^{n_{2\mathscr{G}}} \dots z_1^{n_1}z_0^{n_0} : m_1, n_{2k} \in \{0,1\}, m_2, m_3, n_{2k-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(-1,\mathscr{G}))$ *and* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(-1,\mathscr{G})) = \mathscr{G}+2$.

Proof. Analogous to Proposition 4.16. □

4.3.5. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$.*

Remark 4.21. As in the previous cases, (4.28) and

$$z_2 = 0 \tag{4.42}$$

hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$. As $q_{22} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'$ we also have

$$z_0^3 = 0. \tag{4.43}$$

Let $z_{1,0} := z_1 z_0 - q_{12} q_{22} z_0 z_1$.

Remark 4.22. The following equations hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$ by Lemma 4.6

$$g_1 \cdot z_{1,0} = q_{12}^2 z_{1,0}, \qquad g_2 \cdot z_{1,0} = q_{21} q_{22}^2 z_{1,0}, \tag{4.44}$$

$$\partial_1(z_{1,0}) = \partial_2(z_{1,0}) = 0, \qquad \partial_3(z_{1,0}) = (1 - q_{22}^2) z_{1,0}. \tag{4.45}$$

Lemma 4.23. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (4.28), (4.42) *and* (4.43) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then the following relations also hold:*

$$z_1 z_{1,0} = q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1, \qquad z_{1,0} z_0 = q_{12}\omega^2 z_0 z_{1,0}, \tag{4.46}$$

$$x_2 z_{1,0} = q_{12}^2 z_{1,0} x_2 + q_{12}(1-\omega) z_1^2, \qquad x_1 z_{1,0} = q_{12}^2 z_{1,0} x_1. \tag{4.47}$$

Proof. The second equation in (4.46) follows because

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1,0} z_0 - q_{12}\omega^2 z_0 z_{1,0} &= (z_1 x_3 - q_{12}\omega x_3 z_1) x_3 - q_{12}\omega x_3 (z_1 x_3 - q_{12}\omega x_3 z_1) \\ &= x_2 x_3^3 - q_{12}(1 + \omega + \omega^2)\left(x_3 x_2 x_3^2 + q_{12} x_3^2 x_2 x_3\right) - q_{12}^3 x_3^3 x_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $x_2 z_1 = q_{12} z_1 x_2$ by (4.42), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 z_{1,0} - q_{12}^2 z_{1,0} x_2 &= x_2 (z_1 x_3 - q_{12}\omega x_3 z_1) - q_{12}^2 (z_1 x_3 - q_{12}\omega x_3 z_1) x_2 \\ &= q_{12} z_1 x_2 x_3 - q_{12}\omega (z_1 + q_{12} x_3 x_2) z_1 - q_{12}^2 z_1 x_3 x_2 + q_{12}^2 \omega x_3 x_2 z_1 \\ &= q_{12}(1-\omega) z_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

so the first equation in (4.47) holds. Using it and (4.46) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 z_{1,0} - q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1 &= (x_2 x_3 - q_{12} x_3 x_2) z_{1,0} - q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1 \\ &= q_{21}\omega x_2 z_{1,0} x_3 - q_{12} x_3 \left(q_{12}^2 z_{1,0} x_2 + q_{12}(1-\omega) z_1^2\right) - q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1 \\ &= q_{21}\omega \left(q_{12}^2 z_{1,0} x_2 + q_{12}(1-\omega) z_1^2\right) x_3 - q_{12}^2 \omega z_{1,0} x_3 x_2 \\ &\quad - q_{12}^2 (1-\omega) x_3 z_1^2 - q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1 \\ &= q_{12}\omega z_{1,0} z_1 + \omega(1-\omega)\left(z_1 z_{1,0} + q_{12} q_{22} z_{1,0} z_1 + q_{12}^2 q_{22}^2\right) \\ &\quad - q_{12}^2 (1-\omega) x_3 z_1^2 - q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1 = \omega(1-\omega)\left(z_1 z_{1,0} - q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the first equation in (4.46) holds. Finally notice that $x_1 z_i = q_{12} z_i x_1$, $i = 0, 1$, as in Lemma 4.14, giving the second equation in (4.47). □

Lemma 4.24. *In $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$,*

$$z_1^3 = z_{1,0}^3 = 0. \tag{4.48}$$

Proof. As $\partial_i(z_1^3) = \partial_i(z_{1,0}^3) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, it remains to prove that ∂_3 annihilates them. For the first relation, we use Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(z_1^3) &= \mu_1 x_1(g_2 \cdot z_1^2) + \mu_1 z_1 x_1(g_2 \cdot z_1) + \mu_1 z_1^2 x_1 \\ &= \mu_1 q_{21}^2(1 + \omega + \omega^2) x_1 z_1^2 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.23, $z_1 z_{1,0} = q_{12}\omega^2 z_{1,0} z_1$, and using (4.45),

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(z_{1,0}^3) &= (1-\omega^2) z_1(g_2 \cdot z_{1,0}^2) + (1-\omega^2) z_{1,0} z_1(g_2 \cdot z_{1,0}) + (1-\omega^2) z_{1,0}^2 z_1 \\ &= (1-\omega^2) q_{21}^2(1 + \omega + \omega^2) z_1 z_{1,0}^2 = 0;\end{aligned}$$

so $z_{1,0}^3 = 0$. □

Proposition 4.25. *Let $\omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'$. The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$ is presented by generators x_1, x_2, x_3 and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (4.42), (4.43) *and* (4.48). *The set*

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} z_1^{n_1} z_{1,0}^{n_2} z_0^{n_3} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \le n_j \le 2\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$ and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1)) = 2$.

Proof. Relations (3.4), (4.28), (4.42), (4.43) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(1, \mathscr{G}))$ being annihilated by ∂_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and (4.48) holds by Lemma 4.24. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by (3.4), (4.28), (4.42), (4.43) and (4.48) projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$. We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $Ix_3 \subseteq I$ by definition and $Ix_1 \subseteq I$, $Ix_2 \subseteq I$ follow by Lemma 4.23. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B.

To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$. For, suppose that there is a non-trivial linear combination $\mathtt{S}$ of elements of B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$, say of minimal degree. As in the proof of Proposition 4.16, each vector $x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} z_1^{n_1} z^{n_2} z_0^{n_3}$ in $\mathtt{S}$ with non-trivial coefficient satisfies $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. As

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(z_1^{n_1} z^{n_2} z_0^{n_3}) = (n_3)_\omega\, z_1^{n_1} z^{n_2} z_0^{n_3 - 1} + q_{21}^{n_2 - 1}(1-\omega^2)\omega^{n_3}(n_2)_\omega z_1^{n_1+1} z^{n_2-1} z_0^{n_3} \\ + \mu_1 q_{21}^{n_1+n_2-1}\omega^{2n_2+n_3}(n_1)_\omega x_1 z_1^{n_1-1} z^{n_2} z_0^{n_3},\end{aligned}$$

$\partial_3(\mathtt{S})$ is also a non-trivial linear combination of elements of B, a contradiction. Then B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

4.4. **Mild interaction.** *We assume in this Subsection that $q_{12}q_{21} = -1$.* Then we may assume that $\epsilon = -1$. For, if $\epsilon = 1$, then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8, since x_1, x_3 span a braided vector subspace of diagonal type with braiding matrix $(q_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$.

We keep the notation in §4.1.4; so, $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, $K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1)$, $K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_1)(V_2)$. We first describe K^1 in detail. Let z_n as in (4.7) and

$$f_n = \operatorname{ad}_c x_1(z_n), \qquad\qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Lemma 4.26. *The following hold in $\mathcal{B}(V)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:*

$$K^1 = \langle z_m, f_m : m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle, \qquad \operatorname{ad}_c x_{21}(K^1) = 0, \tag{4.49}$$

$$g_1 \cdot z_{2n} = q_{12} z_{2n}, \qquad g_1 \cdot z_{2n+1} = -q_{12} z_{2n+1} + q_{12} f_{2n}, \tag{4.50}$$

$$g_2 \cdot z_{2n} = q_{21}^{2n} q_{22} z_{2n}, \qquad g_2 \cdot z_{2n+1} = q_{21}^{2n+1} q_{22}(z_{2n+1} + a f_{2n}), \tag{4.51}$$

$$g_1 \cdot f_n = (-1)^{n+1} q_{12} f_n, \qquad g_2 \cdot f_n = q_{21}^{n+1} q_{22} f_n, \tag{4.52}$$

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(f_n) = 0, \qquad \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(f_n) = -f_{n+1}. \tag{4.53}$$

Proof. The claims on $g_1 \cdot z_0$, $g_2 \cdot z_0$ follow by definition. We record that

$$g_1 \cdot z_1 = g_1 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(x_3) = \operatorname{ad}_c(-x_2 + x_1) q_{12} x_3 = -q_{12} z_1 + q_{12} f_0,$$
$$g_1 \cdot f_0 = g_1 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_1(x_3) = \operatorname{ad}_c(-x_1) q_{12} x_3 = -q_{12} f_0.$$

We start by proving that $\operatorname{ad}_c x_{21}(x_3) = 0$. By definition,

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_{21}(x_3) = \operatorname{ad}_c x_1(z_1) + \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(f_0).$$

If $i \in \mathbb{I}_2$, then $\partial_i(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{21}(x_3)) = 0$ because $\partial_i(x_3) = \partial_i(z_1) = \partial_i(f_0) = 0$. Moreover,

$$\partial_3(z_1) = x_2 - q_{12} g_2 \cdot x_2 = x_2 - q_{12} q_{21}(x_2 + a x_1) = 2x_2 + a x_1, \tag{4.54}$$

$$\partial_3(f_0) = x_1 - q_{12} g_2 \cdot x_1 = 2x_1; \tag{4.55}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(z_1)) &= x_1 \partial_3(z_1) - q_{12} \partial_3(-z_1 + f_0) g_2 \cdot x_1 \\ &= x_1(2x_2 + a x_1) + (-2x_2 - a x_1 + 2x_1) x_1 = 2x_1 x_2 - 2x_2 x_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(\operatorname{ad}_c x_2(f_0)) &= x_2 \partial_3(f_0) - \partial_3(-q_{12} f_0) g_2 \cdot x_2 \\ &= x_2 \cdot 2x_1 + q_{12} 2x_1 q_{21}(x_2 + a x_1) = 2x_2 x_1 - 2x_1 x_2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\operatorname{ad}_c x_{21}(x_3) = 0$.

Since $K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_1)(x_3)$ and $x_1^a x_2^b x_{21}^c$, $a \in \{0, 1\}$, $b, c \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, one has $K^1 = \langle z_n, f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle$. Also, $x_{21}\mathcal{B}(V_1) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(V_1) x_{21}$; hence

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_{21}(K^1) = \operatorname{ad}_c(x_{21}\mathcal{B}(V_1))(x_3) \subseteq (\operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_1) x_{21})(x_3) = 0$$

which proves (4.49). We proceed with (4.53). Since $x_1^2 = 0$, we have

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(f_n) = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)^2(z_n) = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1^2)(z_n) = 0, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Moreover, because of (4.49) and the definitions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(f_n) &= \operatorname{ad}_c(x_2 x_1)(z_n) \\ &= \operatorname{ad}_c(x_{21} - x_1 x_2)(z_n) = -\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(z_{n+1}) = -f_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove (4.50), (4.51) and (4.52). We proceed by induction on n. Assume that $g_1 \cdot z_{2n}$ and $g_2 \cdot z_{2n}$ are known for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$g_1 \cdot f_{2n} = g_1 \cdot (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)(z_{2n}) = (\operatorname{ad}_c(-x_1))(q_{12} z_{2n}) = -q_{12} f_{2n};$$
$$g_2 \cdot f_{2n} = g_2 \cdot (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)(z_{2n}) = (\operatorname{ad}_c(q_{21} x_1))(q_{21}^{2n} q_{22} z_{2n}) = q_{21}^{2n+1} q_{22} f_{2n}.$$

Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot z_{2n+1} &= g_1 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(z_{2n}) = \operatorname{ad}_c(-x_2 + x_1)(q_{12} z_{2n}) = -q_{12} z_{2n+1} + q_{12} f_{2n}; \\ g_2 \cdot z_{2n+1} &= g_2 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(z_{2n}) = \operatorname{ad}_c q_{21}(x_2 + a x_1)(q_{21}^{2n} q_{22} z_{2n}) \\ &= q_{21}^{2n+1} q_{22}(z_{2n+1} + a f_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

The inductive step for the action on f_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, is similar; use (4.53). □

Lemma 4.27. *If $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then*

$$\partial_3(f_{2n}) = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} (i - a) x_{21}^n x_1,$$

$$\partial_3(f_{2n+1}) = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} (i - a)(2 x_1 x_{21}^n x_2 - x_{21}^{n+1})$$

In particular, if $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a \notin \mathbb{I}_N$ then $f_n \neq 0$ for all n, $0 \leq n \leq 2N + 1$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. For $n = 0$ the claim holds by (4.55). Let $n \geq 0$. Then $g_1 \cdot f_n = (-1)^{n+1} q_{12} f_n$ by (4.52) and $f_{n+1} = -(\operatorname{ad}_c x_2) f_n$ by (4.53). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(f_{n+1}) &= \partial_3(-x_2 f_n + (-1)^{n+1} q_{12} f_n x_2) \\ &= -x_2 \partial_3(f_n) + (-1)^{n+1} q_{12} q_{21} \partial_3(f_n)(x_2 + a x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let now $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that the claim holds for $\partial_3(f_{2n})$. Then (3.8) and (3.10) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} -x_2 x_{21}^n x_1 + x_{21}^n x_1 (x_2 + a x_1) &= -(x_{21}^n x_2 + n x_1 x_{21}^n) x_1 + x_1 x_{21}^n x_2 \\ &= -x_{21}^n (x_{21} - x_1 x_2) + x_1 x_{21}^n x_2 \\ &= 2 x_1 x_{21}^n x_2 - x_{21}^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the claim for $\partial_3(f_{2n+1})$ holds. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &-x_2(2 x_1 x_{21}^n x_2 - x_{21}^{n+1}) - (2 x_1 x_{21}^n x_2 - x_{21}^{n+1})(x_2 + a x_1) \\ &\quad = -2(x_{21} - x_1 x_2) x_{21}^n x_2 + (x_{21}^{n+1} x_2 + (n+1) x_1 x_{21}^{n+1}) \\ &\qquad - 2 x_1 x_{21}^n x_2^2 + x_{21}^{n+1} x_2 - 2a x_1 x_{21}^n (x_{21} - x_1 x_2) + a x_1 x_{21}^{n+1} \\ &\quad = -2 x_{21}^{n+1} x_2 + 2 x_1 x_{21}^n x_2^2 + x_{21}^{n+1} x_2 + (n+1) x_1 x_{21}^{n+1} \\ &\qquad - 2 x_1 x_{21}^n x_2^2 + x_{21}^{n+1} x_2 - a x_1 x_{21}^{n+1} \\ &\quad = (n + 1 - a) x_1 x_{21}^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

From this the claim for $\partial_3(f_{2n+2})$ follows. The rest is clear. □

Here is the main result of this Subsection; recall that $q_{12} q_{21} = -1$.

Theorem 4.28. $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V)$ *is finite if and only if $a = 1$ and $q_{22} = -1$; in this case* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = 2$.

The proof is split in several Lemmata. By Lemma 2.8, $q_{22} \neq 1$. A combination of Lemma 4.27 with Lemma 2.5 leads to the first step.

Lemma 4.29. *If $a \notin \mathbb{N}$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that if $a \notin \mathbb{I}_N$, then the elements

$$f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_k}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \qquad 0 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq 2N+1$$

are linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Indeed, this follows from Lemma 4.27; use that $\partial_1(f_n) = \partial_2(f_n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

$$x_1 f_n = (-1)^{n+1} q_{12} f_n x_1, \qquad x_{21} f_n = q_{12}^2 f_n x_{21},$$

cf. Lemma 4.26. Since $f_i \in \mathcal{B}^{i+2}(V)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, Lemma 2.5 applies. □

Now the braided vector space K^1 is not of diagonal type, as the next Lemma shows. But for our further analysis of K^1, again the quotient Hopf algebra $B = \mathcal{B}(V_1)/(x_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ turns out to be very useful.

Lemma 4.30. *Let $\delta' : K^1 \to B \otimes K^1$ be the coaction $\delta' = (\pi \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta_{\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}$, where $\pi_{12} : \mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk\Gamma \to B$ is the canonical algebra projection with $\pi(x_3) = \pi(x_1) = 0$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\delta'(f_{2n}) = g_1^{2n+1} g_2 \otimes f_{2n},$$
$$\delta'(f_{2n+1}) = g_1^{2n+2} g_2 \otimes f_{2n+1} - 2x_2 g_1^{2n+1} g_2 \otimes f_{2n}.$$

Proof. Induction on n using (4.52) and (4.53). □

Lemma 4.31. *If $a \neq 1$, and $q_{22} \notin \mathbb{G}'_2 \cup \mathbb{G}'_3$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. Lemma 4.27 and the assumption $a \neq 1$ imply that $f_0, f_2 \neq 0$. Since $\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(f_n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, Lemma 4.30 and equations

$$g_1 g_2 \cdot f_0 = q_{22} f_0, \qquad g_1 g_2 \cdot f_2 = q_{21}^2 q_{22} f_2,$$
$$g_1^3 g_2 \cdot f_0 = q_{12}^2 q_{22} f_0, \qquad g_1^3 g_2 \cdot f_2 = q_{22} f_2$$

imply that $W = \Bbbk f_0 + \Bbbk f_2$ is a braided subspace of K^1 of diagonal type with braiding matrix $(p_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_2}$ with respect to the basis f_0, f_2, where $p_{11} = p_{22} = q_{22}$ and $p_{12}p_{21} = q_{22}^2$. Thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$ by the assumptions on q_{22}. Indeed, if $q_{22} \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$, then W does not admit all reflections; while if $\operatorname{ord} q_{22} = N > 3$, then W is of Cartan type with Cartan matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2-N \\ 2-N & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus Theorem 1.6 applies. Finally, if $q_{22} = 1$, then $U := \Bbbk x_1 + \Bbbk x_3$ is a braided vector space of diagonal type with braiding matrix $(p_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_2}$, where $p_{11} = -1 = p_{12}p_{21}$, $p_{22} = 1$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8. □

Another approach to the calculation of $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V)$ excludes further possibilities. Recall the braided Hopf algebra $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$, cf. §3.4.

Lemma 4.32. *If $q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, where $N \geq 3$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. Assume first that N is odd. Here $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ is a braided graded Hopf algebra of diagonal type generated by $U := \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Bbbk x_i$. The braiding matrix $(p_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{I}_3}$ of U satisfies

$$p_{11} = p_{13}p_{31} = p_{22} = p_{23}p_{32} = -1, \quad p_{12}p_{21} = 1, \quad p_{33} = q_{22}.$$

Therefore the reflection $R_3(U)$ is well-defined and has a braiding matrix $(p'_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{I}_3}$ such that $p'_{ij} = -q_{22}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Since $-q_{22} \in \mathbb{G}'_{2N}$ and $2N \geq 6$, we conclude that $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(R_3(U)) = \infty$, by Theorem 1.6. Hence

$$\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) \geq \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} \geq \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(R_3(U)) = \infty.$$

Assume next that $N = 2M$ is even. Then U as in the proof above has generalized Dynkin diagram

$$\overset{-1}{\underset{1}{\circ}} \quad \overset{-1}{\text{———}} \quad \overset{q}{\underset{3}{\circ}} \quad \overset{-1}{\text{———}} \quad \overset{-1}{\underset{2}{\circ}}, \qquad q \in \mathbb{G}'_{2M}. \tag{4.56}$$

This is of Cartan type, with Cartan matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -M & -M & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. If $M = 2$, then A is of affine type and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6. Similarly, if $M > 3$, then A contains a rank 2 submatrix of affine or indefinite type, so $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6. If $M = 3$, then A is of hyperbolic type we conclude that $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$, from the Hypothesis 1.7. □

Lemma 4.33. *If $q_{22} = -1$ and $a \neq 1$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. First, $\partial_3(z_0) = 1$; $\partial_3(z_1) = 2x_2 + ax_1$, (4.54); and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_3(z_2) &= x_2\partial_3(z_1) - \partial_3(-q_{12}z_1 + q_{12}f_0)q_{21}(x_2 + ax_1) \\ &= x_2(2x_2 + ax_1) + (2x_1 - 2x_2 - ax_1)(x_2 + ax_1) \\ &= (2 - a)x_1x_2 - ax_2x_1 \end{aligned}$$

by (4.50) and (4.55) for any values of q_{22} and a. Therefore

$$\partial_3(z_2) = 2x_1x_2 - ax_{21} \tag{4.57}$$

and hence $z_2 \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Assume that $a \neq 1$ and that $\operatorname{ad}_c x_2^2(x_3) = 0$ in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Since $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ via the multiplication map and since $z_2 \in K = \ker \partial_1 \cap \ker \partial_2$, (4.49) implies that there exists $\lambda \in \Bbbk^\times$ such that $z_2 = \lambda f_1$ in K. Then $\partial_3(f_1)$ is a multiple of $2x_1x_2 - ax_{21}$, a contradiction to $a \neq 1$ and Lemma 4.27.

Now $z_2 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2^2(x_3)$ is a non-zero primitive element in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ of Γ-degree $g_1^2g_2$. Consider the canonical Hopf algebra filtration of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ with generators x_1, x_2, x_3 and z_2 of degree 1 and let $\tilde{B}_1$ be the associated braided graded Hopf algebra. It is generated in degree 1. Let $\tilde{B}_2$ be the Nichols algebra quotient of $\tilde{B}_1$. The Dynkin diagram of $\tilde{B}_2$ is a cycle where all vertices and all edges have label -1 since $q_{22} = -1$. Thus $\tilde{B}_2$ is of Cartan type with an affine Cartan matrix. Thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \tilde{B}_2 = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6. This implies the claim. □

4.4.1. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$.* To prove Theorem 4.28, it remains the case $a = 1$, $q_{22} = -1$. Recall that the corresponding braided vector space is denoted $\mathfrak{C}_1$, cf. page 33. Recall the relations of the super Jordan plane:

$$x_1^2, \tag{3.7}$$

$$x_2x_{21} - x_{21}x_2 - x_1x_{21}. \tag{3.8}$$

Lemma 4.34. *The following hold in $\mathcal{B}(V)$:*

$$x_2f_0 + q_{12}f_0x_2 = -f_1 \tag{4.58}$$

$$x_2z_1 + q_{12}z_1x_2 = \frac{1}{2}f_1 + q_{12}f_0x_2, \tag{4.59}$$

$$x_2f_1 = q_{12}f_1x_2, \tag{4.60}$$

$$z_0^2 = 0, \qquad f_0^2 = 0, \tag{4.61}$$

$$z_1^2 = 0, \qquad f_1^2 = 0. \tag{4.62}$$

Proof. Note that (4.58) follows by (4.53) for $n = 0$. Now $z_2, f_1 \in K$ and $\partial_3(z_2) = 2x_1x_2 - x_{21}$ by (4.57). Thus $2z_2 = f_1$ by Lemma 4.27; i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2}f_1 = z_2 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(z_1) = x_2z_1 + q_{12}(z_1 - f_0)x_2 \tag{4.63}$$

by (4.50), and (4.59) follows.

Next we claim that (4.60) holds. Since $\partial_i(f_2) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}_2$, Lemma 4.27 implies that $f_2 = 0$. By (4.53) and (4.52), $0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(f_1) = x_2f_1 - q_{12}f_1x_2$.

Now we prove that (4.61) holds. As $q_{22} = -1$, $z_0^2 = x_3^2 = 0$. Therefore

$$f_0^2 = (x_1x_3 - q_{12}x_3x_1)^2 = x_1x_3x_1x_3 + q_{12}^2x_3x_1x_3x_1.$$

Moreover, $\partial_1(f_0^2) = \partial_2(f_0^2) = 0$ since $f_0 \in K$. Further,

$$\partial_3(f_0^2) = x_1x_3x_1 + x_1g_2 \cdot (x_1x_3) + q_{12}^2g_2 \cdot (x_1x_3x_1) + q_{12}^2x_3x_1g_2 \cdot x_1 = 0$$

since $g_2 \cdot x_1 = q_{21}x_1$, $x_1^2 = 0$, $q_{12}q_{21} = -1$, and $g_2 \cdot x_3 = -x_3$.

Finally we claim that (4.62) holds. First we prove that $\partial_3(z_1^2) = 0$. To do so we need the following formula, for which we use (4.58) and (4.59):

$$\begin{aligned}(2x_2 + x_1)(g_2 \cdot z_1) &= (2x_2 + x_1)(-q_{21})(z_1 + f_0)\\ &= -q_{21}\Big(2(\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)(z_1 + f_0) + (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)(z_1 + f_0)\\ &\qquad + (g_1 \cdot (z_1 + f_0))(2x_2 + x_1)\Big)\\ &= -q_{21}\Big(f_1 - 2f_1 + f_1 + 0 + (-q_{12}z_1)(2x_2 + x_1)\Big)\\ &= -z_1(2x_2 + x_1).\end{aligned}$$

Now we conclude from (4.54) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(z_1^2) &= \partial_3(z_1)(g_2 \cdot z_1) + z_1\partial_3(z_1)\\ &= (2x_2 + x_1)(g_2 \cdot z_1) + z_1(2x_2 + x_1) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This in turn implies that $z_1^2 = 0$. Similarly, since $g_2 \cdot f_1 = -q_{21}^2 f_1$ and $\partial_3(f_1) = 2(x_1x_2 - x_2x_1)$ by (the proof of) Lemma 4.26, we obtain from (4.60) and the first equation in (4.53) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(f_1^2) &= \partial_3(f_1)(g_2 \cdot f_1) + f_1\partial_3(f_1)\\ &= 2(x_1x_2 - x_2x_1)(-q_{21}^2 f_1) + 2f_1(x_1x_2 - x_2x_1)\\ &= 2(-q_{21}^2)q_{12}^2 f_1(x_1x_2 - x_2x_1) + 2f_1(x_1x_2 - x_2x_1) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and then $f_1^2 = 0$. □

Remark 4.35. By definition of f_0, f_1, z_1, we have the identities

$$x_1z_0 = f_0 + q_{12}z_0x_1, \quad x_1z_1 = f_1 - q_{12}z_1x_1 + q_{12}f_0x_1, \quad x_2z_0 = z_1 + q_{12}z_0x_2.$$

Lemma 4.36. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (3.7), (3.8), (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), (4.61) *and* (4.62) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then the following relations also hold:*

$$x_1f_0 = -q_{12}f_0x_1, \qquad x_1f_1 = q_{12}f_1x_1, \tag{4.64}$$

$$x_{21}z_1 = q_{12}^2z_1x_{21} + 2x_2f_1 - x_1f_1 - 2x_{21}f_0, \qquad x_{21}z_0 = q_{12}^2z_0x_{21}, \tag{4.65}$$

$$x_{21}f_0 = q_{12}^2f_0x_{21}, \qquad x_{21}f_1 = q_{12}^2f_1x_{21}, \tag{4.66}$$

$$z_1z_0 = -q_{12}z_1z_0, \qquad f_0z_0 = -q_{12}z_0f_0, \tag{4.67}$$

$$f_1z_0 = -q_{12}^2z_0f_1 - 2q_{12}f_0z_1, \qquad f_0z_1 = -z_1f_0, \tag{4.68}$$

$$f_1z_1 = q_{12}z_1f_1 - q_{12}f_0f_1, \qquad f_1f_0 = q_{12}f_0f_1, \tag{4.69}$$

In particular these relations hold in $\mathcal{B}(V)$.

Proof. Note that (4.64) follows from (3.7). For (4.65) and (4.66),

$$\begin{aligned}x_{21}z_0 &= (x_1x_2 + x_2x_1)z_0 = x_1(z_1 + q_{12}z_0x_2) + x_2(f_0 + q_{12}z_0x_1)\\ &= f_1 - q_{12}z_1x_1 + q_{12}f_0x_1 + q_{12}(f_0 + q_{12}z_0x_1)x_2 - (q_{12}f_0x_2 + f_1)\\ &\quad + q_{12}(z_1 + q_{12}z_0x_2)x_1 = q_{12}^2z_0x_{21},\\ x_{21}z_1 &= x_1\Big(-q_{12}z_1x_2 + q_{12}f_0x_2 + \frac{1}{2}f_1\Big) + x_2(f_1 + q_{12}f_0x_1 - q_{12}z_1x_1)\\ &= -q_{12}(f_1 + q_{12}f_0x_1 - q_{12}z_1x_1)x_2 - q_{12}^2f_0x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}q_{12}f_1x_1 - q_{12}f_1x_2\\ &\quad + q_{12}(-q_{12}f_0x_2 - f_1)x_1 - q_{12}\Big(-q_{12}z_1x_2 + q_{12}f_0x_2 + \frac{1}{2}f_1\Big)x_1\\ &= q_{12}^2z_1x_{21} - 2x_{21}f_0 + 2x_2f_1 - x_1f_1,\\ x_{21}f_0 &= (x_1x_2 + x_2x_1)f_0 = x_1(-q_{12}f_0x_2 - f_1) - q_{12}x_2f_0x_1\\ &= q_{12}^2f_0x_1x_2 - q_{12}f_1x_1 - q_{12}(-q_{12}f_0x_2 - f_1)x_1 = q_{12}^2f_0x_1,\\ x_{21}f_1 &= (x_1x_2 + x_2x_1)f_1 = -q_{12}x_1f_1x_2 + q_{12}x_2f_1x_1 = q_{12}^2f_1x_{21},\end{aligned}$$

where we use (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), (4.64) and Remark 4.35.

We prove (4.67) from the first equality of (4.61) since

$$0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_1(x_3^2) = f_0 z_0 + q_{12} z_0 f_0, \tag{4.70}$$

$$0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(x_3^2) = z_1 z_0 + q_{12} z_0 z_1. \tag{4.71}$$

From (4.70) and (4.58),

$$0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_2(f_0 z_0 + q_{12} z_0 f_0) = -f_1 z_0 - q_{12} f_0 z_1 + q_{12} z_1 f_0 - q_{12}^2 z_0 f_1. \tag{4.72}$$

From (4.71) and (4.62) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)(z_1 z_0 + q_{12} z_0 z_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} f_1 z_0 + q_{12}(-z_1 + f_0) z_1 + q_{12} z_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} q_{12}^2 z_0 f_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(f_1 z_0 + 2 q_{12} f_0 z_1 + q_{12}^2 z_0 f_1). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.72), the last equation implies that (4.68) holds. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)(f_0 z_1 + z_1 f_0) \\ &= -f_1 z_1 - q_{12} \frac{1}{2} f_0 f_1 + \frac{1}{2} f_1 f_0 + q_{12}(-z_1 + f_0)(-f_1) \\ &= -f_1 z_1 + q_{12} z_1 f_1 - q_{12} f_0 f_1. \end{aligned}$$

From the second equality of (4.61) we conclude that

$$0 = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)(f_0^2) = -f_1 f_0 + q_{12} f_0 f_1,$$

so (4.69) holds. The last statement follows by Lemma 4.34. □

Lemma 4.37. *The set* $B = \{f_1^{n_1} f_0^{n_2} z_1^{n_3} z_0^{n_4} : 0 \le n_i \le 1,\ i \in \mathbb{I}_4\}$ *is a basis of* K. *In particular,* $\dim K = 16$.

Proof. First we claim that K is spanned by the monomials $f_1^{n_1} f_0^{n_2} z_1^{n_3} z_0^{n_4}$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By (4.49), K is generated as an algebra by the z_i's and the f_i's; as $f_2 = 0$ and by (4.63) $2z_2 = f_1$, it is enough to consider z_0, z_1, f_0, f_1. Thus the claim follows since (4.67), (4.68), (4.69) hold in $K \subset \mathcal{B}(V)$. Thus K is spanned by B since the monomials with some $n_i \ge 2$ are 0 by (4.61) and (4.62). We claim now that B is linearly independent. Recall that $\partial_i(f_j) = \partial_i(z_j) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, $j = 0, 1$, and

$$\partial_3(z_0) = 1, \quad \partial_3(z_1) = 2x_2 + x_1, \quad \partial_3(f_0) = 2x_1, \quad \partial_3(f_1) = 2x_1 x_2 - 2x_2 x_1.$$

Assume that $\sum_{0 \le n_i \le 1} a_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4} f_1^{n_1} f_0^{n_2} z_1^{n_3} z_0^{n_4} = 0$ for some $a_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4}$. As

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \partial_2 \partial_3(f_1 f_0 z_1 z_0) &= 4 g_1^2 g_2 \cdot (f_0 z_1 z_0) = -4 q_{12}^2 f_0 z_1 z_0, \\ \partial_3 \partial_1 \partial_3(f_0 z_1 z_0) &= \partial_3(2 q_{12} z_1 z_0 - q_{12} f_0 z_0) = -4 x_2^2 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have $f_1 f_0 z_1 z_0 \neq 0$. Thus $a_{1111} = 0$ since $f_1 f_0 z_1 z_0$ has degree 8 in $\mathcal{B}(V)$ and the other elements have degree ≤ 7. As $f_1 f_0 z_1 \neq 0$ is the unique element in degree 7, $a_{1110} = 0$. In degree 6, $a_{1101} f_1 f_0 z_0 + a_{1011} f_1 z_1 z_0 = 0$. But

$$0 = f_0(a_{1101} f_1 f_0 z_0 + a_{1011} f_1 z_1 z_0) = -q_{21} a_{1011} f_1 f_0 z_1 z_0,$$

$$0 = z_1(a_{1101}\, f_1 f_0 z_0 + a_{1011}\, f_1 z_1 z_0) = -q_{21}(a_{1011} + a_{1101})\, f_1 f_0 z_1 z_0,$$

by (4.69), (4.61) and (4.62), so $a_{1011} = a_{1101} = 0$. By a similar argument all the other $a_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4}$ are also zero, so B is a basis of K. □

Theorem 4.28 is now proved.

Remark 4.38. The Lemma allows us to understand the structure of K–and hence of $\mathcal{B}(V)$–from a different perspective. We observed that $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$ is a braided Hopf algebra of diagonal type. More precisely, it is of Cartan type with Dynkin diagram of type A_3, where all labels are -1. Thus the corresponding Nichols algebra B has dimension 2^6. The subalgebra B' of B generated by $\Bbbk x_1 + \Bbbk x_2$ has dimension 4, and hence the right coinvariants of B with respect to B' form a subalgebra of dimension $2^4 = 16$. The proposition implies that K has the same dimension as $B^{\text{co}\, B'}$, and hence the graded object associated with the filtration on K induced by the one on $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is isomorphic as a braided Hopf algebra to $B^{\text{co}\, B'}$.

We close this Subsection giving the presentation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$.

Proposition 4.39. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ is presented by generators x_1, x_2, x_3 and relations* (3.7), (3.8), (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), (4.61) *and* (4.62). *The set*

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1} x_{21}^{m_2} x_2^{m_3} f_1^{n_1} f_0^{n_2} z_1^{n_3} z_0^{n_4} : m_1, n_i \in \{0,1\}, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1) = 2$.

Proof. Relations (3.7), (3.8), (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), (4.61) and (4.62) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$, see Lemma 4.34. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$. We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $Ix_3 \subseteq I$ by definition while $Ix_1 \subseteq I$, $Ix_2 \subseteq I$ follow by Lemma 4.36 and Remark 4.35. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B.

To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$. For, suppose that there is a non-trivial linear combination $\mathtt{S}$ of elements of B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$, say of minimal degree. As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, each vector $x_1^{m_1} x_{21}^{m_2} x_2^{m_3} f_1^{n_1} f_0^{n_2} z_1^{n_3} z_0^{n_4}$ in $\mathtt{S}$ with non-trivial coefficient satisfies $m_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. But then we obtain a contradiction with Lemma 4.37. Thus B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

5. One block and several points

5.1. **The setting.** Let Γ be an abelian group. In this Section we consider $V \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V > 3$ as in (1.2) and (1.3), with one block and several points. We seek to determine when $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$. Recall that by Theorem 1.2, the block is assumed of the form $\mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$, with $\epsilon^2 = 1$.

For a more suggestive presentation, we introduce the notation

$$\mathbb{I}_{2,\theta} = \mathbb{I}_\theta - \{1\}, \qquad \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger = \mathbb{I}_\theta \cup \{\frac{3}{2}\}, \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $g_1, \dots, g_\theta \in \Gamma$, $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_\theta \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\eta : \Gamma \to \Bbbk$ a (χ_1, χ_1)-derivation. Let $\mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta) \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ be the indecomposable with basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_1^\dagger}$ and action given by (3.1)– but with $\frac{3}{2}$ instead of 2; while $\Bbbk^{\chi_j}_{g_j} \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ is irreducible with basis (x_j), $j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$. Let

$$V = \mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta) \oplus \Bbbk^{\chi_2}_{g_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Bbbk^{\chi_\theta}_{g_\theta}.$$

Thus $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger}$ is a basis of V. We suppose that V is not of diagonal type, hence $\eta(g_1) \neq 0$; we may assume that $\eta(g_1) = 1$ by normalizing x_1. Let

$$q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i), \qquad i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta; \qquad a_j = q_{j1}^{-1}\eta(g_j), \qquad j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta.$$

Let $\lfloor i \rfloor$ be the largest integer $\leq i$. Then the braiding in the basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger}$ is

$$c(x_i \otimes x_j) = \begin{cases} q_{\lfloor i \rfloor j} x_j \otimes x_i, & i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger,\ j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta; \\ q_{\lfloor i \rfloor 1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{\lfloor i \rfloor} x_1) \otimes x_i, & i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger,\ j = \frac{3}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\epsilon := q_{11}$. Notice that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta) \oplus \Bbbk^{\chi_j}_{g_j}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$, thus we may apply the results from §4. By Theorem 1.2, we may assume that $\epsilon^2 = 1$, thus $a_1 = \epsilon$. The *interaction* and the *ghost* between the block and the points are the vectors $\mathscr{I} = (\mathscr{I}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}}$, $\mathscr{G} = (\mathscr{G}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}}$ given by

$$\mathscr{I}_j = q_{1j}q_{j1}, \qquad \mathscr{G}_j = \begin{cases} -2(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}}, & \epsilon = 1, \\ (a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}}, & \epsilon = -1, \end{cases} \qquad j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}. \tag{5.2}$$

The interaction is *strong* if there exists $h \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$ such that $\mathscr{I}_h \notin \{\pm 1\}$; when it is not strong, it is

$$\textit{weak} \text{ if } \mathscr{I}_h = 1, \qquad \forall h \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}; \qquad \textit{mild}, \text{ otherwise.}$$

We say that the ghost is *discrete* if $\mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}} - \{0\}$.

We can present our main object of interest in the language of braided vector spaces. Given $(q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta}$, with $q_{11}^2 = 1$, and $\mathscr{G} \in \Bbbk^{\mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}}$, we set $a_1 = \epsilon = q_{11}$ and consider the braided vector space (V, c) of dimension $\theta + 1$, with a basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger}$ and braiding given by (5.1). This braided vector space (V, c) can be realized as a Yetter-Drinfeld module $\mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta) \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta} \Bbbk^{\chi_j}_{g_j}$ over some abelian group Γ as described above; for instance $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^\theta$ would do. Such a realization will be called *principal*.

The braided subspace V_1 spanned by $x_1, x_{\frac{3}{2}}$ is $\simeq \mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$, while V_{diag} spanned by $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}}$ is of diagonal type. Obviously,

$$V = V_1 \oplus V_{\text{diag}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of connected components of the generalized Dynkin diagram of the matrix $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}}$. If $J \in \mathcal{X}$, then we set $J' = \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta} - J$,

$$V_J = \sum_{j \in J} \Bbbk^{\chi_j}_{g_j}, \qquad \mathscr{G}_J = (\mathscr{G}_j)_{j \in J}, \qquad \mathscr{I}_J = (q_{1h}q_{h1})_{h \in J}.$$

As before, J could have weak, mild or strong interaction $\mathscr{I}_J$.

TABLE 7. A block and several points, finite GKdim, weak interaction, $\epsilon = 1$; here $\omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$ and $\mathfrak{d}_J = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J)$. The meaning of K_J is explained in §5.2.1 below.

V_J	type	$\mathscr{G}_J$	K_J	$\mathfrak{d}_J$
$\overset{1}{\circ}$	A_1	discrete	$(A_1)^{\mathscr{G}_J+1}$	$\mathscr{G}_J + 1$
$\overset{-1}{\circ}$	A_1	discrete	$(A_1)^{\mathscr{G}_J+1}$	0
$\overset{\omega}{\circ}$	A_1	1	A_2	0
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}\ \dots\ \overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}$	$A_{\theta-1}$	$(1, 0, \dots, 0)$	A_3, $\theta = 3$ D_θ, $\theta > 3$	0
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}$	A_2	$(2, 0)$	D_4	0
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}$	super A	$(1, 0)$	$\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$	0
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega^2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega}{\circ}$	super A	$(1, 0)$	super A	0
		$(0, 1)$	$\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$	0
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega^2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega^2}{\circ}$	super A	$(1, 0, 0)$	$\mathfrak{g}(3, 3)$	0
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega^2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega^2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{\omega}{\circ}$	super $\mathfrak{osp}$	$(1, 0, 0)$	$\mathfrak{g}(3, 3)$	0
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{r^{-1}}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{r}{\circ}$, $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$	super A	$(1, 0)$	super A	2
$\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{r^{-1}}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{r}{\circ}$, $r \in \mathbb{G}'_N, N > 3$	super A	$(1, 0)$	super A	0

Here are the main results of this Section.

Theorem 5.1. *Let V be a braided vector space with braiding* (5.1). *Assume that $\epsilon = 1$. Then the interaction is weak and the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$.

(ii) *For every $J \in \mathcal{X}$, either $\mathscr{G}_J = 0$, or else V_J is as in Table 7.*

Furthermore, if (ii) *holds, then*

$$\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = 2 + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{X}} \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J). \tag{5.4}$$

After some preliminaries in this Subsection, we prove Theorem 5.1 in §5.2.

Theorem 5.2. *Let V be a braided vector space with braiding* (5.1). *Assume that $\epsilon = -1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$.

(ii) *For $J \in \mathcal{X}$, either of the following holds:*

(a) *The interaction of J is weak and $\mathscr{G}_J = 0$.*

(b) *The interaction of J is weak, $J = \{i\}$, $\mathscr{G}_i$ discrete and $q_{ii} = \pm 1$.*

(c) *The interaction of J is mild, $J = \{i\}$, $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$ and $q_{ii} = -1$.*

(d) *The interaction of J is mild, $J = \{i, j\}$ has Dynkin diagram* $\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{\circ}$, *i.e. is of type A_2, $(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathscr{G}_j) = (1, 0)$ and $(\mathscr{I}_i, \mathscr{I}_j) = (-1, 1)$.*

Furthermore, if there is one component J with mild interaction, i.e. of type (c) *or* (d)*, and no components disconnected from the block, i.e. of type* (a)*, then the diagram is connected, i.e. $J = \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$.*

If (ii) *holds, then*

$$\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = 2 + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{X}} \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J). \tag{5.5}$$

We prove Theorem 5.2 in §5.4.

To start with the proofs of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, we infer from Theorem 4.1, since $\mathcal{V}_{g_1}(\chi_1, \eta) \oplus \Bbbk_{g_j}^{\chi_j} \hookrightarrow V$, $j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$, that the interaction is not strong, $q_{ii} \in \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$ whenever $\mathscr{G}_i \neq 0$ (according to Table 5) and the ghost $\mathscr{G}$ is either 0 or discrete. On the other hand, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_{\text{diag}}) < \infty$. If $q_{ii} = 1$, then $q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$, $j \neq i$ by Lemma 2.8, so that the connected component containing i is the singleton $\{i\}$.

Now, if $J \in \mathcal{X}$ is a point, then the result follows from Theorem 4.1. Thus we need to analyze those J with $|J| \geq 2$.

Next, if $J \in \mathcal{X}$ has weak interaction and $\mathscr{G}_J = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_{J'}) \underline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(V_J),$$

hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_{J'}) + \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_J)$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore, we consider those $J \in \mathcal{X}$ with weak interaction only when $\mathscr{G}_J \neq 0$.

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 5.1 ($\epsilon = 1$).** After some preliminaries on the algebra K, we reduce to connected components in Corollary 5.5, and then deal with the case $|J| = 2$ in §5.2.2, and with $|J| > 2$ in §5.2.3.

5.2.1. *Weak interaction and the algebra K.* Here we assume that the interaction is weak, but ϵ could be ± 1. We shall use the results and notations from the preceding Sections, but with a caveat: $\frac{3}{2}$ replaces 2 when appropriate, e. g. $x_{\frac{3}{2}1} = x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 - \epsilon x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}}$. As in §4, let $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(V_1)}$; again

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K \# \mathcal{B}(V_1); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_1)(V_{\text{diag}}) \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD},$$

with the coaction (4.6) and the adjoint action. We introduce

$$z_{j,n} := (ad_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^n x_j, \qquad j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{5.6}$$

We have that

$$g_1 \cdot z_{j,n} = \epsilon^n q_{1j} z_{j,n}, \qquad \text{(by Lemma 4.6)} \tag{5.7}$$

$$g_i \cdot z_{j,n} = q_{i1}^n q_{ij} z_{j,n}, \tag{5.8}$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Indeed, $g_i \cdot z_{j,0} = g_i \cdot x_j = q_{ij}x_j$; and

$$g_i \cdot z_{j,n+1} = g_i \cdot (x_{\frac{3}{2}} z_{j,n} - \epsilon^n q_{1j} z_{j,n} x_{\frac{3}{2}})$$

$$= q_{i1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_i x_1) q_{i1}^n q_{ij} z_{j,n} - \epsilon^n q_{1j} q_{i1}^{n+1} q_{ij} z_{j,n} (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_i x_1)$$
$$\overset{(4.8)}{=} q_{i1}^{n+1} q_{ij} (x_{\frac{3}{2}} z_{j,n} - \epsilon^n q_{1j} z_{j,n} x_{\frac{3}{2}}) = q_{i1}^{n+1} q_{ij} z_{j,n+1}.$$

Remark 5.3. As in Remark 4.4, if $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_1) < \infty$ and the action of $\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ on K is locally finite, then by Lemma 2.2, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \operatorname{GKdim} K + 2$.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that the interaction is weak and that $\mathscr{G}$ is discrete. Then the braided vector space K^1 is of diagonal type with respect to the basis*

$$(z_{j,n})_{j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}, 0 \le n \le |2a_j|} \tag{5.9}$$

with braiding matrix

$$(p_{im,jn})_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}, \\ 0 \le m \le |2a_i|, 0 \le n \le 2|a_j|}} = (\epsilon^{nm} q_{i1}^n q_{1j}^m q_{ij})_{\substack{i,j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}, \\ 0 \le m \le |2a_i|, 0 \le n \le 2|a_j|}}.$$

Hence, the corresponding generalized Dynkin diagram has labels

$$p_{im,im} = \epsilon^m q_{ii}, \qquad p_{im,jn} p_{jn,im} = q_{ij} q_{ji}, \qquad (i,m) \neq (j,n).$$

Proof. We have $\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} z_{j,n} = z_{j,n+1}$ by definition and $\operatorname{ad}_c x_1 z_{j,n} = 0$ by (4.15) for all j, n; hence K^1 is generated by the family (5.9); and this family is linearly independent, because the $z_{j,n}$'s are homogeneous of distinct degrees, and are $\neq 0$ by (4.13). By Lemma 4.10 the coaction (4.6) on $z_{j,n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is given by (5.10), when $\epsilon = 1$, and by (5.11), (5.12), when $\epsilon = -1$:

$$\delta(z_{j,n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \nu_{k,n}\, x_1^{n-k} g_1^k g_j \otimes z_{j,k}. \tag{5.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z_{j,2n}) = {} & \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n}\, x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^{n-k} g_1^{2k-1} g_j \otimes z_{j,2k-1} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n} x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^{n-k} g_1^{2k} g_j \otimes z_{j,2k}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z_{j,2n+1}) = {} & \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k,n+1}\, x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^{n-k} g_1^{2k} g_j \otimes z_{j,2k} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \mu_{k+1,n+1} x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^{n-k} g_1^{2k+1} g_j \otimes z_{j,2k+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We compute

$$c(z_{i,m} \otimes z_{j,n}) = g_1^m g_i \cdot z_{j,n} \otimes z_{i,m} = \epsilon^{nm} q_{i1}^n q_{1j}^m q_{ij}\, z_{j,n} \otimes z_{i,m},$$

by Lemmata 4.10 and 4.6, (4.15) and (4.16). □

Let K_J be the braided vector subspace of K^1 spanned by $(z_{j,n})_{\substack{j \in J, \\ 0 \le n \le |2a_j|}}$.

Corollary 5.5. *The braided subspaces corresponding to the connected components of the Dynkin diagram of K^1 are K_J, $J \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence*

$$\operatorname{GKdim} K = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{X}} \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J). \quad \square \tag{5.13}$$

Observe that if $\mathscr{G}_J = 0$, then $K_J = V_J$.

5.2.2. $|J| = 2$. Recall that $\mathscr{G}_J \neq 0$. Below we denote $\imath = \sqrt{-1}$.

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that $|J| = 2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J)$ is finite if and only if it appears in Table 7.*

Proof. Say $J = \{i, j\}$; we set $q := q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$ for brevity. Recall that $\epsilon = 1$. There are several possibilities:

(a) $q_{ii} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $q_{jj} = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_j > 0$.

Let U be the braided vector subspace of K_J spanned by $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{j,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, i. e. the central diagram in (5.14). We apply the reflections at various vertices as described below:

(5.14)



$*$: only for $q \neq \omega^2$; $\#$: only when $q = \pm\imath$ or $q = \pm\imath\,\omega^2$.

Then the following possibilities are excluded since $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$:

- $q \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. By Theorem 1.6 since $\overset{\omega}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\overset{q}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\overset{-1}{\circ}$ has an infinite root system.
- $-\omega q^2 = 1$, that is $q = \pm\imath\,\omega$. By Lemma 2.8 applied to $\mathcal{R}^1(U)$.
- $-\omega q^2 = -1$, that is $q = \pm\omega$. By Lemma 2.8 applied to $\mathcal{R}^2\mathcal{R}^3(U)$.
- $-\omega q^2 \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N > 3$, but $q \neq \omega^2$. By Theorem 1.6 applied to $\mathcal{R}^1(U)$, since the subdiagram spanned by 2 and 3 is of Cartan type but not finite.

- $-\omega q^2 \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, that is $q = \pm\imath$ or $q = \pm\imath\,\omega^2$. By Theorem 1.6 applied to $\mathcal{R}^1\mathcal{R}^2\mathcal{R}^3(U)$, since the subdiagram spanned by 2 and 3 is of Cartan type but not finite.

It remains the following case:

- $q = \omega^2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{B}(U) < \infty$ by [H2, Table 2, row 8], type super A.

We argue according to $\mathscr{G}_j$. If $\mathscr{G}_j = 1$ and $\mathscr{G}_i = 0$, then $U = K_J$. There are two more cases: (α) $\mathscr{G}_j > 1$: then K_J contains a braided vector subspace U' spanned by $z_{i,0}$, $z_{j,0}$, $z_{j,1}$, $z_{j,2}$: (β) $\mathscr{G}_j = 1 = \mathscr{G}_i$, then K_J is spanned by $z_{i,0}$, $z_{i,1}$, $z_{j,0}$, $z_{j,1}$. The respective diagrams are

(5.15) (α)



(β)



Hence $\mathrm{GKdim}\,\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$ in these cases by Hypothesis 1.7.

(b) $q_{ii} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $q_{jj} = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$ and thus $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$.

Then K_J is spanned by the vertices $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, and its diagram is the left-hand side in (5.16). We apply the reflection at 3, that is

(5.16)



Then the following possibilities are excluded looking at $\mathcal{R}^3(K_J)$:

- $-\omega q = 1$, i. e. $q = -\omega^2$. Then $\mathrm{GKdim}\,\mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8.
- $-\omega q \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N > 3$. Then $\mathrm{GKdim}\,\mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $-\omega q \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. Then $\mathrm{GKdim}\,\mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- Either $-\omega q = \omega$, i. e. $q = -1$; or else $-\omega q = \omega^2$, i. e. $q = -\omega$. Here $\mathrm{GKdim}\,\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7 because the braiding matrices are

(5.17)

The last possibility gives a positive answer:

- $-\omega q = -1$, i. e. $q = \omega^2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{B}(K_J) < \infty$ by [H2, Table 2, row 15].

(c) $q_{ii} = q_{jj} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$.

We consider the braided vector subspace U of K_J corresponding to the subdiagram spanned by the vertices $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, that is the central diagram in (5.18). We apply the reflections as described below:

(5.18)



#: only for $q \notin \mathbb{G}'_3$. Many possibilities are excluded as we discuss now:

- $\omega^2 q^2 = 1$, i. e. $q = -\omega^2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8.
- $\omega^2 q^2 = -1$, i. e. $q = \pm\imath\omega^2$. Then $\mathcal{R}^3(U)$ has diagram



  that appeared in (5.14); hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by case (a).
- $\omega^2 q^2 = \omega$, i. e. $q = -\omega$ (the possibility $q = \omega$ is discussed separately). Then $\mathcal{R}^1(U)$ contains a subdiagram of type $A_1^{(1)}$, since its diagram is



  Hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $\omega^2 q^2 \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N > 3$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $\omega^2 q^2 \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- If $q = \omega$, respectively $q = \omega^2$, then U is of type $A_2^{(1)}$, respectively U has a subdiagram of type $A_1^{(1)}$, hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $\omega^2 q^2 = \omega^2$, i. e. $q = -1$ (we assumed $q \neq 1$). The braiding matrix is

(5.19)



The subdiagram $\overset{\omega}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{-1}{-}\!\!\overset{\omega}{\circ}$ has infinite root system, hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.

(d) $q_{ii} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $q_{jj} = \omega^2$, $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$.

We consider the braided vector subspace U corresponding to the subdiagram spanned by the vertices $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, that is the left-hand diagram in (5.20). We apply the reflections as described below:

(5.20)



#: only for $q \notin \mathbb{G}'_3$. Many possibilities are excluded as we discuss now:

- $q = -1$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8.
- $q^2 \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N > 3$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $q^2 \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $q^2 = -1$. Then $\mathcal{R}^3(U)$ has diagram



that appeared in (5.14); hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by case (a).

- $q = \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2$. The braiding matrices are respectively

(5.21)

If $q \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, then these matrices are of indefinite Cartan type; by Hypothesis 1.7, $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$. If $-q \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, then the subdiagram $\overset{\omega}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\overset{q}{-}\!\!\overset{\omega^2}{\circ}$ has infinite root system, hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.

(e) $q_{ii} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $q_{jj} \notin \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$, thus $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$ and $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$.

We set $r = q_{jj}$. We consider the braided vector subspace U corresponding to the subdiagram spanned by the vertices $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$. The braiding matrix is

(5.22)



- Either $q \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. Here $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6. Indeed, if $r \neq q^{-1}$, then we consider the subdiagram 

; while for $r = q^{-1}$, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^1(U)) = \infty$, cf. the subdiagram 

:

(5.23)



- $q, r \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$, $r \notin \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$. Here $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7.

(f) $q_{ii} = -1$, $q_{jj} \neq 1$. We omit $q_{jj} \in \mathbb{G}_3$ because this was considered before. If $q_{jj} \neq -1$, $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$ hence $\mathscr{G}_i \geq 1$. So, we can assume always $\mathscr{G}_i \geq 1$ without loss of generality.

We set $r = q_{jj}$. We consider the braided vector subspace U corresponding to the subdiagram spanned by the vertices $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, that is the central diagram in (5.24). We apply the reflections as described below:

(5.24)



#: only for $r \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $q \notin r^{\mathbb{Z}}$. There are some positive answers:

- $q = r = -1$, $(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathscr{G}_j) = (1, 0)$ or $(2, 0)$. Then K_J is of Cartan type A_3 or D_4 respectively, hence $\dim \mathcal{B}(K_J) < \infty$.
- $q \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $r = -1$, $(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathscr{G}_j) = (1, 0)$; $\dim \mathcal{B}(K_J) < \infty$ by [H2, Table 2, row 15]. It can be shown that the root system of this Nichols algebra is isomorphic to that of the modular Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(2, 3)$.

- $r \notin \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$, $q = r^{-1}$. Then $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$. If $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$, then K_J is of super type A, hence $\dim \mathcal{B}(K_J) < \infty$ when $r \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$, while $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = 2$ when $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$, see [H2, Table 2, row 9].

- $q = r = -1$, $(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathscr{G}_j) \notin \{(1,0),(2,0)\}$. Then K_J has a subdiagram of affine Cartan type $A_3^{(1)}$ or $D_4^{(1)}$, thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $q \in \mathbb{G}_3'$, $r = -1$, $(\mathscr{G}_i, \mathscr{G}_j) \neq (1,0)$. Then K_J contains a braided subspace U' with diagram of either of the following types:



  In the first case, $\mathcal{R}^1(U')$ contains a subdiagram of affine Cartan type $A_2^{(1)}$, hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_J) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6. In the second, the diagonal of $\mathcal{R}^1\mathcal{R}^3(U')$ has infinite GKdim by Theorem 1.6.

- $q \notin \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$, $r = -1$; then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $r \notin \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$, $q = r^{-1}$, $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$, $\mathscr{G}_i \geq 1$. Then K_J contains a braided subspace U' with diagram of the following type:



  Erasing the vertex 1 of $\mathcal{R}^1(U')$ we are in the previous case, therefore $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$.

- $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$, $q \neq r^{-1}$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6 (applied to $\mathcal{R}^1(U)$ when $q \in r^{-\mathbb{N}}$) or Theorem 1.6.
- $r \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$ and $q \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6 applied to $\mathcal{R}^1(U)$.

• $q, r \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$, $r \notin \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$, $r \neq q^{-1}$. The braiding matrix is

(5.25)



Here $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7. □

5.2.3. $|J| > 2$. We now conclude the consideration of $J \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\mathscr{G}_J \neq 0$. Recall that $\epsilon = 1$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$.

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that $|J| > 2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J)$ is finite if and only if it appears in Table 7.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|J|$.

Step 1. $|J| = 3$. Say $J = \{i, j, k\}$; set $q := q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$, $r := q_{jk}q_{kj} \neq 1$, $u = q_{ik}q_{ki}$.

By Lemma 5.6 for each pair of connected vertices, at most one of them has positive ghost, while the other has ghost 0. Also every connected Dynkin subdiagram of rank 2 with non-zero ghost, appears in Table 7.

a. $\mathscr{G}_j > 0$. Thus $\mathscr{G}_j \in \{1, 2\}$, $\mathscr{G}_i = \mathscr{G}_k = 0$.

Let U be the braided vector subspace spanned by $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{j,0}$, $3 = z_{j,1}$, $4 = z_{k,0}$. Let $t = q_{jj}^2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7, since there is no square in the list in [H2] and the Dynkin diagram of U is



This case excluded, we may assume that $\mathscr{G}_i > 0$ (otherwise $\mathscr{G}_k > 0$ but then we exchange i and k) and $u = 1$ (otherwise we exchange i and j and reduce to case a).

b. $q_{ii} = \omega$. Thus $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$, $q = \omega^2$, $q_{jj} = -1$.
Set $s = q_{kk}$. Let U be the braided vector subspace spanned by $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, $4 = z_{k,0}$. Its generalized Dynkin diagram is

(5.26)



By Hypothesis 1.7, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$, since no diagram with 4 points like this appears in the list in [H2].

So, we may assume that $\mathscr{G}_i > 0$, $u = 1$ and $q_{ii} = -1$.

c. $\mathscr{G}_k > 0$. By case b we may assume $q_{kk} = -1$.

Let U be the braided vector subspace spanned by $z_{i,0}$, $z_{i,1}$, $z_{j,0}$, $z_{k,0}$, $z_{k,1}$. Its generalized Dynkin diagram is



By Hypothesis 1.7, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$, since no diagram with 5 points like this appears in the list in [H2].

d. So, we may assume that $\mathscr{G}_i > 0$, $\mathscr{G}_j = \mathscr{G}_k = 0$, $u = 1$, $q_{ii} = -1$.

Let U be the braided vector subspace spanned by $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, $4 = z_{k,0}$. There are some cases with $\dim \mathcal{B}(K_J) < \infty$:

- $q = q_{jj} = r = s = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$. Then K_J is of Cartan type D_4.
- $q = q_{jj}^{-1} \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, $r = s^{-1} = q^{\pm 1}$, $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$; this is [H2, Table 3, row 18].

The remaining possibilities are excluded as we discuss now:

- $q = q_{jj} = r = s = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_i = 2$. Then K_J is of Cartan type $D_4^{(1)}$, hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $q \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. We may assume $q_{jj} = q^{-1}$ by Lemma 5.6 and $r = q^{-m}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by Theorem 1.6. Then $\mathcal{R}^1 U$ and $\mathcal{R}^3\mathcal{R}^1 U$ have diagrams



Thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3\mathcal{R}^1(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.

- $q_{jj} \neq -1$, either $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $s \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. We may assume $q \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$ by the previous step; moreover, $q_{jj} = q^{-1}$ by Lemma 5.6, and $q = \omega$, $rs = 1$ by Theorem 1.6. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3\mathcal{R}^1(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $q_{jj} = -1$, $q = \omega$, either $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $s \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. As $\mathcal{R}^1 U$ has the vertex 3 labeled with ω, we may assume $rs = 1$ by Theorem 1.6. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.
- $q_{jj} = q = -1$, either $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $s \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. We may assume $r = s^{-n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Theorem 1.6. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^1\mathcal{R}^3(U)) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6.

- Either $q = q_{jj}^{-1}, r, s \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$, or else $q = \omega$, $q_{jj} = -1$, $r, s \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$.

(5.27)



By Hypothesis 1.7, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$.

Step 2. $|J| = 4$. Say $J = \{i, j, k, \ell\}$.

Assume $\mathscr{G}_i > 0$ and $q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$. By the previous Step, $\mathscr{G}_i = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$, $q_{ik}q_{ki} = q_{i\ell}q_{\ell i} = 1$, $q_{ii} = -1$ and $q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{jj}^{-1} \in \{-1, \omega\}$. We may assume $q_{jk}q_{kj} \neq 1$ since J is connected, so the subdiagram corresponding to i, j, k appears in Table 7; in particular $\mathscr{G}_k = 0$. Let U be the subspace of K_J spanned by the vertices $1 = z_{i,0}$, $2 = z_{i,1}$, $3 = z_{j,0}$, $4 = z_{k,0}$, $5 = z_{\ell,0}$.

(a) $q_{j\ell}q_{\ell j} \neq 1$. Thus U has a diagram of the following shape:



where $r \neq 1$, $s \neq 1$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7, since there is no diagram in [H2, Table 4] like this.

(b) $q_{j\ell}q_{\ell j} = 1$. Thus $q_{k\ell}q_{\ell k} \neq 1$.

There is one case with $\dim \mathcal{B}(K_J) < \infty$:

- $q_{jj} = q_{kk} = q_{\ell\ell} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{jk}q_{kj} = q_{k\ell}q_{\ell k} = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_\ell = 0$. Then $U = K_J$ is of Cartan type D_5.

In the remaining cases $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$.

- $q_{jj} = q_{kk} = q_{\ell\ell} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{jk}q_{kj} = q_{k\ell}q_{\ell k} = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_\ell = 1$. Then K_J is of Cartan type $D_5^{(1)}$, so Theorem 1.6 applies.
- $q_{jj} = q_{kk} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{jk}q_{kj} = -1$, either $q_{k\ell}q_{\ell k} \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $q_{\ell\ell} \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. If $q_{k\ell}q_{\ell k} \neq q_{\ell\ell}^{-1}, q_{\ell\ell}^{-2}$, then apply Theorem 1.6; otherwise *ditto* to $\mathcal{R}^4(U)$.
- $q_{jj} = \omega^2$, $q_{ij}q_{ji} = \omega$, $q_{jk}q_{kj} = q_{kk}^{-1} = \omega^{\pm 1}$, either $q_{k\ell}q_{\ell k} \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $q_{\ell\ell} \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. The argument is similar to the previous case.

Set $q = q_{k\ell}q_{\ell k}$, $r = q_{\ell\ell}$.

- $q_{jj} = q_{kk} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = q_{jk}q_{kj} = -1$, $q, r \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$; or
- $q_{jj} = \omega^2$, $q_{ij}q_{ji} = \omega$, $q_{jk}q_{kj} = q_{kk}^{-1} = \omega^{\pm 1}$, $q, r \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$. The Dynkin diagrams are

(5.28)



By Hypothesis 1.7, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$.

Step 3. $|J| = m > 4$. Say $J = \{2, \dots, m+1\}$, $\mathscr{G}_2 > 0$.

We argue recursively. Since the Dynkin diagram spanned by J is connected, there is a connected subdiagram $\widetilde{J}$ with $|J| = m-1$ and $2 \in \widetilde{J}$; we may assume that $\widetilde{J} = \{2, \dots, m\}$; thus this subdiagram appears in Table 7, and up to renumbering $q_{jj} = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$, $q_{jk}q_{kj} = 1$ if $|k-j| \geq 2$, $q_{jk}q_{kj} = -1$ if $|k-j| = 1$, $j, k \in \mathbb{I}_{2,m}$. By the recursive hypothesis, $m+1$ is connected with no $j < m-1$. Hence either $m+1$ is connected only with m, or else $q_{m-1\,m+1}q_{m+1\,m-1} = q_{m+1\,m+1} = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_{m+1} = 0$. In one case we have that $\dim \mathcal{B}(K_J) < \infty$:

- $q_{m\,m+1}q_{m+1\,m} = q_{m+1\,m+1} = -1$, $q_{m-1\,m+1}q_{m+1\,m-1} = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_{m+1} = 0$. Then K_J is of Cartan type D_m.

In all other cases $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$. Set $q = q_{m\,m+1}q_{m+1\,m}$, $r = q_{m+1\,m+1}$, $s = q_{m-1\,m+1}q_{m+1\,m-1}$. By Theorem 1.6, we discard:

- $q = r = -1$, $s = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_{m+1} = 1$. Then K_J is of type $D^{(1)}_{m+1}$.
- $s = r = -1$, $q = 1$. Then K_J is of type $D^{(1)}_m$.
- $s = 1$, either $q \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or else $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ (if $q = r^{-1}$, or r^{-2}, consider $\mathcal{R}^{m+1}(K_J)$).

Hypothesis 1.7 covers the remaining cases, see [H2, Table 4]. □

5.3. **The Nichols algebras with finite** GKdim**,** V_{diag} **connected.** Let $V = V_1 \oplus V_{\text{diag}}$ be as discussed before (5.3). We assume that the Dynkin diagram of V_{diag} is connected, i.e. $\mathcal{X} = \{J\}$ and $V_{\text{diag}} = V_J$, where $J = \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$, and that $|J| > 1$, as the case $|J| = 1$ was treated in §4. We provide a presentation by generators and relations and exhibit an explicit PBW basis of $\mathcal{B}(V)$, for all V as in Theorem 5.1, see Table 7. We give names to these braided vector spaces in Table 8. The subspace $V_1 \oplus \Bbbk x_2$ is a braided vector subspace of type

- $\mathfrak{L}(-1, 2)$ when V is of type $\mathfrak{L}(A_2, 2)$,
- $\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1)$ when V is of type $\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_3; \omega)$, or

TABLE 8. $V = \mathcal{V}(1,2) \oplus V_J$, $|J| > 1$

V_J	V
$\overset{-1}{\circ} \overset{r^{-1}}{\text{———}} \overset{r}{\circ}$; $r \in \Bbbk^\times$	$\mathfrak{L}(A(1\|0)_1; r)$
$\overset{-1}{\circ} \overset{\omega}{\text{———}} \overset{-1}{\circ}$; $\omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'$	$\mathfrak{L}(A(1\|0)_2; \omega)$
$\overset{\omega}{\circ} \overset{\omega^2}{\text{———}} \overset{-1}{\circ}$; $\omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'$	$\mathfrak{L}(A(1\|0)_3; \omega)$
$\overset{-1}{\circ} \overset{\omega}{\text{———}} \overset{\omega^2}{\circ} \overset{\omega}{\text{———}} \overset{\omega^2}{\circ}$; $\omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'$	$\mathfrak{L}(A(2\|0)_1; \omega)$
$\overset{-1}{\circ} \overset{\omega}{\text{———}} \overset{\omega^2}{\circ} \overset{\omega^2}{\text{———}} \overset{\omega}{\circ}$; $\omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'$	$\mathfrak{L}(D(2\|1); \omega)$
$\overset{-1}{\circ} \overset{-1}{\text{———}} \overset{-1}{\circ}$, $\mathscr{G} = (2,0)$	$\mathfrak{L}(A_2, 2)$
$\overset{-1}{\circ} \overset{-1}{\text{———}} \overset{-1}{\circ} \ \dots \ \overset{-1}{\circ} \overset{-1}{\text{———}} \overset{-1}{\circ}$	$\mathfrak{L}(A_{\theta-1})$, $\theta > 2$

- $\mathfrak{L}(-1, 1)$ for all the other cases.

Thus the subalgebra generated by $V_1 \oplus \Bbbk x_2$ is a Nichols algebra of the corresponding type. We recall next the relations of each of them. For this, remember the change of index with respect to §4; the 2 and 3 there are now $\frac{3}{2}$ and 2. As in (2.2), we set $x_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_M} = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{i_1}\, x_{i_2 \dots i_M}$.

First, the defining relations of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1, 2))$ are

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}} x_1 - x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} x_1^2, \tag{3.4}$$

$$x_1 x_2 - q_{12}\, x_2 x_1, \tag{4.28}$$

$$(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^3 x_2, \tag{5.29}$$

$$x_2^2,\ x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2,\ x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}^2. \tag{5.30}$$

Here (5.29) and (5.30) are the specializations of (4.29) and (4.35) at $\mathscr{G} = 2$, respectively.

Second, the defining relations of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\omega, 1))$ are (3.4), (4.28),

$$(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^2 x_2, \tag{5.31}$$

$$x_2^3,\ x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^3,\ [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_2]_c^3. \tag{4.43,4.48}$$

Third, the defining relations of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(-1, 1))$ are (3.4), (4.28), (5.31) and

$$x_2^2,\ x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2. \tag{5.32}$$

Here (5.31) and (5.32) are the specializations of (4.29) and (4.35) at $\mathscr{G} = 1$, respectively.

Remark 5.8. We have

$$x_1 x_j = q_{1j} x_j x_1, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}} x_j = q_{1j} x_j x_{\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad j \in \mathbb{I}_{3,\theta}, \tag{5.33}$$

since $q_{1j} q_{j1} = 1$ and $\mathscr{G}_j = 0$.

5.3.1. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$, $r \in \mathbb{G}'_N$, $N \geq 3$.* The subalgebra generated by x_2, x_3 is a Nichols algebra of type $A(1|0)_1$. Thus,

$$(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_3)^2 x_2 = 0, \qquad x_3^N = 0. \tag{5.34}$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram $\overset{-1}{\circ}\ \overset{r^{-1}}{\text{———}}\ \overset{r}{\circ}\ \overset{r^{-1}}{\text{———}}\ \overset{-1}{\circ}$. By [An2, Theorem 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_1, y_2, y_3 and relations

$$(\mathrm{ad}_c\, y_2)^2 y_1, \quad (\mathrm{ad}_c\, y_2)^2 y_3, \quad (\mathrm{ad}_c\, y_1) y_3, \quad y_1^2, \quad y_3^2, \quad y_2^N, \quad y_{123}^N. \tag{5.35}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$:

$$B_W = \{y_1^{n_1} y_{12}^{n_{12}} y_{123}^{n_{123}} y_2^{n_2} y_{23}^{n_{23}} y_3^{n_3} : n_1, n_{12}, n_{23}, n_3 \in \{0,1\}, 0 \leq n_2, n_{123} < N\}.$$

Remark 5.9. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_2) = x_3$, $\psi(y_3) = x_2$. Let

$$\text{ц}_{12} = x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = \psi(y_{12}), \qquad \text{ц}_{123} = [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{23}]_c = \psi(y_{123}). \tag{5.36}$$

Thus, the following identity holds in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$:

$$\text{ц}_{123}^N = 0, \tag{5.37}$$

and the following set is a basis of K:

$$B_K = \{x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \text{ц}_{12}^{n_2} \text{ц}_{123}^{n_3} x_3^{n_4} x_{23}^{n_5} x_2^{n_6} : 0 \leq n_1, n_2, n_5, n_6 < 2,\ 0 \leq n_3, n_4 < N\}.$$

Lemma 5.10. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.34), (5.37) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an algebra map $\phi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2},2}$, $\phi(y_2) = x_3$, $\phi(y_3) = x_2$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi : T(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ be the algebra map defined as ϕ on the y_i's. We claim that Φ annihilates all the relations in (5.35), and the Lemma follows. The second and the sixth relations are annihilated by (5.34) while the last is (5.37). The fourth and the fifth relations are annihilated because of (4.35), and for the third relation we apply Lemma 4.15 (ii). Finally,

$$\Phi\left((\mathrm{ad}_c\, y_2)^2 y_1\right) = (\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_3)^2 x_{\frac{3}{2},2} = q_{31}^2 (\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_{\frac{3}{2}})(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_3)^2 x_2 = 0,$$

where we use (5.33) and (5.34). □

Proposition 5.11. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.34), (5.37). *The set*

$$B = \big\{x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \text{ц}_{12}^{n_2} \text{ц}_{123}^{n_3} x_3^{n_4} x_{23}^{n_5} x_2^{n_6} : \\ 0 \leq n_1, n_2, n_5, n_6 < 2,\ 0 \leq n_3, n_4 < N,\ 0 \leq m_1, m_2\big\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$ and $\mathrm{GKdim}\, \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r)) = 2$.

Proof. We first prove that B is a basis of $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$: since $\mathcal{B} \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, see §5.2.1, the claim follows from Remark 5.9 and Proposition 3.5. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B} = 2$ by computing the Hilbert series.

Relations (3.4), (4.28), (4.29), (4.35), (5.33), (5.34), (5.37) hold in $\mathcal{B}$ as we have discussed at the beginning of the subsection. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $x_1 I \subseteq I$ by definition, and $x_{\frac{3}{2}} I \subseteq I$ by (3.4). By Lemma 5.10,

$$x_3\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_2 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W), \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2}\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_1 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W)$$
$$x_2\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_3 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W).$$

As $I = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \phi(B_W)$, we have that

$$x_3 I = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_3 x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \phi(B_W) = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} x_3\phi(B_W) \subset I,$$
$$x_2 I = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_2 x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \phi(B_W)$$
$$= \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} x_2\phi(B_W) + \Bbbk\, x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2-1} x_{\frac{3}{2}2}\phi(B_W) \subset I,$$

by (5.33), (4.35). Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}$ since B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}$. □

5.3.2. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$, $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$.* The subalgebra generated by x_2, x_3 is a Nichols algebra of type $A(1|0)_1$. Thus,

$$x_{332} = 0. \tag{5.38}$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram $\overset{-1}{\circ}\ \overset{r^{-1}}{\text{———}}\ \overset{r}{\circ}\ \overset{r^{-1}}{\text{———}}\ \overset{-1}{\circ}$. By [An2, Theorem 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_1, y_2, y_3 and relations

$$(\operatorname{ad}_c y_2)^2 y_1, \qquad (\operatorname{ad}_c y_2)^2 y_3, \qquad (\operatorname{ad}_c y_1) y_3, \qquad y_1^2, \qquad y_3^2. \tag{5.39}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$:

$$B_W = \{y_1^{n_1} y_{12}^{n_{12}} y_{123}^{n_{123}} y_2^{n_2} y_{23}^{n_{23}} y_3^{n_3} : 0 \le n_1, n_2, n_5, n_6 < 2,\ 0 \le n_3, n_4\}.$$

Remark 5.12. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_2) = x_3$, $\psi(y_3) = x_2$. Let ц_{12}, ц_{123} be as in (5.36). Thus, the following set is a basis of K:

$$B_K = \{x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \text{ц}_{12}^{n_2} \text{ц}_{123}^{n_3} x_3^{n_4} x_{23}^{n_5} x_2^{n_6} : 0 \le n_1, n_2, n_5, n_6 < 2,\ 0 \le n_3, n_4\}.$$

Proposition 5.13. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.38). *The set* $B = \{x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \text{ц}_{12}^{n_2} \text{ц}_{123}^{n_3} x_3^{n_4} x_{23}^{n_5} x_2^{n_6} : 0 \le n_1, n_2, n_5, n_6 < 2,\ 0 \le m_1, m_2, n_3, n_4\}$ *is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r))$ and* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_1; r)) = 4$.

Proof. Analogous to Proposition 5.11. □

5.3.3. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_2; \omega))$.* The subalgebra generated by x_2, x_3 is a Nichols algebra of type $A(1|0)_2$. Thus,

$$x_2^2 = 0, \qquad x_3^2 = 0, \qquad x_{23}^3 = 0. \tag{5.40}$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram $\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!\!\underset{\omega}{\qquad}\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!\!\underset{\omega}{\qquad}\overset{-1}{\circ}$. By [An2, Theorem 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_1, y_2, y_3 and relations

$$(\operatorname{ad}_c y_1) y_3, \qquad y_1^2, \qquad y_2^2, \qquad y_3^2, \qquad [[y_{12}, y_{123}]_c, y_2]_c, \tag{5.41}$$

$$[y_{123}, y_2]_c^3, \qquad y_{12}^3, \qquad y_{123}^6, \qquad y_{23}^3, \qquad [[y_{123}, y_{23}]_c, y_2]_c. \tag{5.42}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$:

$$\big\{y_1^{n_1} y_{12}^{n_2} [y_{12}, [y_{12}, y_{123}]_c]_c^{n_3} [y_{12}, y_{123}]_c^{n_4} y_{123}^{n_5} [y_{123}, y_2]_c^{n_6} [y_{123}, y_{23}]_c^{n_7} y_2^{n_8} y_{23}^{n_9} y_3^{n_{10}} : \\ 0 \le n_1, n_3, n_4, n_7, n_8, n_{10} < 2,\ 0 \le n_2, n_6, n_9 < 3,\ 0 \le n_5 < 6\big\}.$$

Remark 5.14. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_2) = x_3$, $\psi(y_3) = x_2$. Let ц_{12}, ц_{123} be as in (5.36). Thus, the following identities hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_2; \omega))$:

$$\text{ц}_{12}^3 = 0, \qquad \text{ц}_{123}^6 = 0, \qquad [\text{ц}_{123}, x_3]_c^3 = 0, \tag{5.43}$$

and the following set is a basis of K: $B_K =$

$$\big\{x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \text{ц}_{12}^{n_2} [\text{ц}_{12}, [\text{ц}_{12}, \text{ц}_{123}]_c]_c^{n_3} [\text{ц}_{12}, \text{ц}_{123}]_c^{n_4} \text{ц}_{123}^{n_5} [\text{ц}_{123}, x_3]_c^{n_6} [\text{ц}_{123}, x_{32}]_c^{n_7} x_3^{n_8} x_{32}^{n_9} x_2^{n_{10}} : \\ 0 \le n_1, n_3, n_4, n_7, n_8, n_{10} < 2,\ 0 \le n_2, n_6, n_9 < 3,\ 0 \le n_5 < 6\big\}.$$

Lemma 5.15. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.40), (5.43) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an algebra map $\phi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2},2}$, $\phi(y_2) = x_3$, $\phi(y_3) = x_2$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi : T(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ be the algebra map defined as ϕ on the y_i's. We claim that Φ annihilates all the relations in (5.41) and (5.42), and the Lemma follows. For (5.41), Φ annihilates the first relation by Lemma 4.15 (ii), the second by (5.32), the third and the fourth relations by (5.40); for the last, notice that $[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_3]_c = 0$ since $x_3^2 = 0$, so

$$\Phi\left([[y_{12}, y_{123}]_c, y_2]_c\right) = [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c]_c, x_3]_c = [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{23}]_c]_c \\ = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^2 x_{23}^3 = 0,$$

c.f. (5.40). For (5.42), the first three relations are annihilated by (5.43), and the fourth by (5.40); for the last relation,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi\left([[y_{32}, y_{321}]_c, y_2]_c\right) &= [[[x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{23}]_c, x_{23}]_c, x_3]_c = -q_{23}[[[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c, x_{23}]_c, x_3]_c \\ &= q_{23}^2[[[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c, x_3]_c, x_{23}]_c = q_{23}^2[[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{23}]_c, x_{23}]_c = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where we use (5.33) and (5.40). □

Proposition 5.16. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_2;\omega))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.40), (5.43). *The set*

$$\begin{aligned}B = \big\{ & x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \text{ц}_{12}^{n_2} [\text{ц}_{12}, [\text{ц}_{12}, \text{ц}_{123}]_c]_c^{n_3} [\text{ц}_{12}, \text{ц}_{123}]_c^{n_4} \text{ц}_{123}^{n_5} \\ & [\text{ц}_{123}, x_3]_c^{n_6} [\text{ц}_{123}, x_{32}]_c^{n_7} x_3^{n_8} x_{32}^{n_9} x_2^{n_{10}} : 0 \le m_1, m_2, \\ & 0 \le n_2, n_6, n_9 < 3,\ 0 \le n_5 < 6,\ 0 \le n_1, n_3, n_4, n_7, n_8, n_{10} < 2 \big\}\end{aligned}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_2;\omega))$ and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_2;\omega)) = 2$.

Proof. We first prove that B is a basis of $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_2;\omega))$: since $\mathcal{B} \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, see §5.2.1, the claim follows from Remark 5.14 and Proposition 3.5. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B} = 2$ by computing the Hilbert series.

Relations (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.40), (5.43) hold in $\mathcal{B}$ as we have discussed at the beginning of the subsection. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $x_1 I \subseteq I$ by definition, and $x_{\frac{3}{2}} I \subseteq I$ by (3.4). By Lemma 5.15,

$$\begin{aligned}& x_3\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_2 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W), \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2}\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_1 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W) \\ & x_2\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_3 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W).\end{aligned}$$

As $I = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \phi(B_W)$ and (5.33), (4.35) hold in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, the same computation of Proposition 5.11 applies to prove that $x_3 I \subset I$, $x_2 I \subset I$. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}$ since B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}$. □

5.3.4. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_3;\omega))$.* The subalgebra generated by x_2, x_3 is a Nichols algebra of diagonal type $A(1|0)_3$. Thus,

$$x_2^3 = 0, \qquad x_3^2 = 0, \qquad x_{223} = 0. \tag{5.44}$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram



. By [An2, Theorem 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_1, y_2, y_3 and relations

$$y_{112}, \qquad y_{113}, \qquad y_2^2, \qquad y_3^3, \tag{5.45}$$

$$y_{331}, \qquad y_{332}, \qquad y_1^3, \qquad y_{13}^3, \tag{5.46}$$

$$y_{123} - q_{23}\omega[y_{13}, y_2]_c - q_{12}(1-\omega^2)y_2y_{13}, \qquad y_{123}^6. \tag{5.47}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$:

$$B_W = \{y_1^{a_1}y_{12}^{a_2}[y_{12}, y_{13}]_c^{a_3}y_{123}^{a_4}[y_{123}, y_{13}]_c^{a_5}[y_{13}, y_{23}]_c^{a_6}y_{13}^{a_7}y_2^{a_8}y_{23}^{a_9}y_3^{a_{10}} : \\ 0 \le n_2, n_3, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9 < 2,\ 0 \le n_1, n_7, n_{10} < 3,\ 0 \le n_4 < 6\}.$$

Remark 5.17. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_2) = x_3$, $\psi(y_3) = x_2$. Let $\mathrm{ц}_{12}$, $\mathrm{ц}_{123}$ be as in (5.36), $\mathrm{ц}_{13} = \psi(y_{13})$. Thus, the following identities hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_3; \omega))$:

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} + q_{13}q_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{ц}_{123}^6 = 0, \tag{5.48}$$

and the following set is a basis of K:

$$B_K = \{x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1}\mathrm{ц}_{12}^{n_2}[\mathrm{ц}_{12}, \mathrm{ц}_{13}]_c^{n_3}\mathrm{ц}_{123}^{n_4}[\mathrm{ц}_{123}, \mathrm{ц}_{13}]_c^{n_5}[\mathrm{ц}_{13}, x_{32}]_c^{n_6}\mathrm{ц}_{13}^{n_7}x_3^{n_8}x_{32}^{n_9}x_2^{n_{10}} : \\ 0 \le n_2, n_3, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9 < 2,\ 0 \le n_1, n_7, n_{10} < 3,\ 0 \le n_4 < 6\}.$$

Lemma 5.18. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (5.31), (4.43), (4.48), (5.33), (5.44), (5.48) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an algebra map $\phi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2},2}$, $\phi(y_2) = x_3$, $\phi(y_3) = x_2$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi : T(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ be the algebra map defined as ϕ on the y_i's. We claim that Φ annihilates all the relations in (5.45), (5.46) and (5.47), and the Lemma follows. For (5.45), Φ annihilates the third and the fourth relations by (5.44), the first by (5.48) and the second by (5.31), (4.43).

For (5.46), Φ annihilates the first relation by (4.43), the second by (5.44), while the third and the fourth relation follow by (4.48). Finally, for (5.47), Φ applies the first relation to $(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_{\frac{3}{2}})x_{223} = 0$, c.f. (5.44), and the second relation to $\mathrm{ц}_{123}^6 = 0$, c.f. (5.48). □

Proposition 5.19. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_3; \omega))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (4.43), (4.48), (5.33), (5.44), (5.48). *The set*

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1}x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1}\mathrm{ц}_{12}^{n_2}[\mathrm{ц}_{12}, \mathrm{ц}_{13}]_c^{n_3}\mathrm{ц}_{123}^{n_4}[\mathrm{ц}_{123}, \mathrm{ц}_{13}]_c^{n_5}[\mathrm{ц}_{13}, x_{32}]_c^{n_6}\mathrm{ц}_{13}^{n_7}x_3^{n_8}x_{32}^{n_9}x_2^{n_{10}} :$$

$$0 \le m_1, m_2,\ 0 \le n_2, n_3, n_5, n_6, n_8, n_9 < 2,\ 0 \le n_1, n_7, n_{10} < 3,\ 0 \le n_4 < 6\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_3; \omega))$ and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_3; \omega)) = 2$.

Proof. We first prove that B is a basis of $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(1|0)_3; \omega))$: since $\mathcal{B} \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, the claim follows from Remark 5.17 and Proposition 3.5. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B} = 2$ by computing the Hilbert series.

Relations (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (4.43), (4.48), (5.33), (5.44), (5.48) hold in $\mathcal{B}$ as we have discussed at the beginning of the subsection. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $x_1 I \subseteq I$ by definition, and $x_{\frac{3}{2}} I \subseteq I$ by (3.4). By Lemma 5.18,

$$x_3\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_2 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W), \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2}\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_1 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W)$$
$$x_2\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_3 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W).$$

As $I = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \phi(B_W)$ and (5.33), (4.35) hold in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, the same computation of Proposition 5.11 applies to prove that $x_3 I \subset I$, $x_2 I \subset I$. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}$ since B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}$. □

5.3.5. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(2|0)_1;\omega))$.* The subalgebra generated by x_2, x_3, x_4 is a Nichols algebra of type $A(2|0)_1$. Thus,

$$x_{24} = 0, \qquad x_{332} = 0, \qquad x_{334} = 0, \qquad x_{443} = 0, \tag{5.49}$$
$$x_2^2 = 0, \qquad x_3^3 = 0, \qquad x_{34}^3 = 0, \qquad x_4^3 = 0. \tag{5.50}$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram



By [An2, Thm. 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_4$, and relations

$$y_{13}, \quad y_{221}, \quad y_{224}, \quad y_{14}, \quad y_{442}, \quad y_{223}, \tag{5.51}$$
$$y_{34}, \quad y_1^2, \quad y_2^3, \quad y_{123}^3, \quad [y_{123}, y_{1234}]_c^3, \quad [y_{123}, [y_{1234}, y_2]_c]_c^3, \tag{5.52}$$
$$y_{24}^3, \quad y_3^2, \quad y_4^3, \quad y_{1234}^3, \quad [y_{1234}, y_2]_c^3, \quad [y_{1234}, [y_{1234}, y_2]_c]_c^3. \tag{5.53}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$:

$$B_W = \{y_1^{n_1} y_{12}^{n_2} [y_{12}, y_{1234}]_c^{n_3} y_{123}^{n_4} [y_{123}, y_{1234}]_c^{n_5} [y_{123}, [y_{1234}, y_2]_c]_c^{n_6} y_{1234}^{n_7}$$
$$[y_{1234}, [y_{1234}, y_2]_c]_c^{n_8} [y_{1234}, y_2]_c^{n_9} [y_{1234}, y_{23}]_c^{n_{10}} y_{124}^{n_{11}} y_2^{n_{12}} y_{23}^{n_{13}} y_{234}^{n_{14}} y_{24}^{n_{15}} y_3^{n_{16}} y_4^{n_{17}} :$$
$$0 \le n_1, n_2, n_3, n_{10}, n_{11}, n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15} < 2,$$
$$0 \le n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_8, n_9, n_{12}, n_{16}, n_{17} < 3\}.$$

Remark 5.20. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_2) = x_3$, $\psi(y_3) = x_2$, $\psi(y_4) = x_4$. Let u_{12}, u_{123} be as in (5.36),

$$\mathrm{u}_{1234} = \psi(y_{1234}) = [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c. \tag{5.54}$$

Thus, the following identities hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(2|0)_1;\omega))$:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c^3 &= 0, & [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c]_c^3 &= 0, \\ [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c^3 &= 0, & [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_2]_c]_c^3 &= 0, \\ [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_2]_c^3 &= 0, & [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_2]_c]_c^3 &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

and the following set is a basis of K:

$$B_K = \{x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \mathrm{ц}_{12}^{n_2} [\mathrm{ц}_{12}, \mathrm{ц}_{1234}]_c^{n_3} \mathrm{ц}_{123}^{n_4} [\mathrm{ц}_{123}, \mathrm{ц}_{1234}]_c^{n_5} [\mathrm{ц}_{123}, [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c]_c^{n_6} \mathrm{ц}_{1234}^{n_7}$$
$$[\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c]_c^{n_8} [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c^{n_9} [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_{32}]_c^{n_{10}} x_{\frac{3}{2}234}^{n_{11}} x_3^{n_{12}} x_{32}^{n_{13}} x_{324}^{n_{14}} x_{34}^{n_{15}} x_2^{n_{16}} x_4^{n_{17}} :$$
$$0 \le n_1, n_2, n_3, n_{10}, n_{11}, n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15} < 2,$$
$$0 \le n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_8, n_9, n_{12}, n_{16}, n_{17} < 3\}.$$

Lemma 5.21. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.49), (5.50), (5.55) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an algebra map $\phi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2},2}$, $\phi(y_2) = x_3$, $\phi(y_3) = x_2$, $\phi(y_4) = x_4$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi : T(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ be the algebra map defined as ϕ on the y_i's. We claim that Φ annihilates all the relations in (5.51), (5.52) and (5.52), and the Lemma follows. All the relations in (5.51) and the first relation in (5.52) are annihilated by Φ because of (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.49). For the remaining relations, we use (5.50), (5.55). □

Proposition 5.22. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(2|0)_1;\omega))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_4^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.49), (5.50), (5.55). *The set*

$$B = \big\{x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \mathrm{ц}_{12}^{n_2} [\mathrm{ц}_{12}, \mathrm{ц}_{1234}]_c^{n_3} \mathrm{ц}_{123}^{n_4} [\mathrm{ц}_{123}, \mathrm{ц}_{1234}]_c^{n_5} [\mathrm{ц}_{123}, [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c]_c^{n_6} \mathrm{ц}_{1234}^{n_7}$$
$$[\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c]_c^{n_8} [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c^{n_9} [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_{32}]_c^{n_{10}} x_{\frac{3}{2}234}^{n_{11}} x_3^{n_{12}} x_{32}^{n_{13}} x_{324}^{n_{14}} x_{34}^{n_{15}} x_2^{n_{16}} x_4^{n_{17}} :$$
$$0 \le m_1, m_2,\ 0 \le n_1, n_2, n_3, n_{10}, n_{11}, n_{13}, n_{14}, n_{15} < 2,$$
$$0 \le n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_8, n_9, n_{12}, n_{16}, n_{17} < 3\big\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(2|0)_1;\omega))$ and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(2|0)_1;\omega)) = 2$.

Proof. We first prove that B is a basis of $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A(2|0)_1;\omega))$: since $\mathcal{B} \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, the claim follows from Remark 5.20 and Proposition 3.5. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B} = 2$ by computing the Hilbert series.

Relations (3.4), (4.28),(5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.49), (5.50), (5.55) hold in $\mathcal{B}$ as we have discussed at the beginning of the subsection. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $x_1 I \subseteq I$ by definition, and $x_{\frac{3}{2}} I \subseteq I$ by (3.4). By Lemma 5.21,

$$x_3 \phi(B_W) = \phi(y_2 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W), \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2} \phi(B_W) = \phi(y_1 B_W) \subset \phi(B_W),$$

$$x_4\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_4B_W) \subset \phi(B_W), \qquad x_2\phi(B_W) = \phi(y_3B_W) \subset \phi(B_W).$$

As $I = \sum_{m_1,m_2} \Bbbk\, x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \phi(B_W)$ and (5.33), (4.35) hold in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, the same computation of Proposition 5.11 applies to prove that $x_4 I \subset I$, $x_3 I \subset I$, $x_2 I \subset I$. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}$ since B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}$. □

5.3.6. *The Nichols algebra* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(D(2|1);\omega))$. The subalgebra generated by x_2, x_3, x_4 is a Nichols algebra of type $D(2|1)$. Thus,

$$x_{24} = 0, \qquad x_{332} = 0, \qquad x_{443} = 0, \qquad [[x_{234}, x_3]_c, x_3]_c = 0, \tag{5.56}$$

$$x_2^2 = 0, \qquad x_3^3 = 0, \qquad x_{34}^3 = 0, \qquad x_{334}^3 = 0, \quad x_4^3 = 0. \tag{5.57}$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram



By [An2, Thm. 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_4$, and relations

$$y_1^2, \quad y_{13}, \quad y_{34}, \quad y_{221}, \quad [[y_{124}, y_2]_c, y_2]_c, \tag{5.58}$$

$$y_3^2, \quad y_{14}, \quad y_{442}, \quad y_{223}, \quad [[y_{324}, y_2]_c, y_2]_c, \tag{5.59}$$

$$y_2^3, \quad y_{2224}^3, \quad y_{123}^3, \quad [y_{1234}, y_2]_c^3, \quad [[y_{1234}, y_2]_c, y_2]_c^3, \tag{5.60}$$

$$y_4^3, \quad y_{24}^3, \quad y_{1234}^3, \quad [y_{1234}, y_{224}]_c^3. \tag{5.61}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$:

$$\begin{aligned} B_W = \{ & y_1^{n_1} y_{12}^{n_2} y_{123}^{n_3} y_{1234}^{n_4} [y_{1234}, y_2]_c^{n_5} [[y_{1234}, y_2]_c, y_2]_c^{n_6} [y_{1234}, y_{224}]_c^{n_7} \\ & y_{124}^{n_8} [y_{124}, y_2]_c^{n_9} y_2^{n_{10}} y_{23}^{n_{11}} y_{234}^{n_{12}} [y_{234}, y_2]_c^{n_{13}} y_{224}^{n_{14}} y_{24}^{n_{15}} y_3^{n_{16}} y_4^{n_{17}} : \\ & 0 \le n_1, n_2, n_8, n_9, n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{16} < 2, \\ & 0 \le n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_{10}, n_{14}, n_{15}, n_{17} < 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.23. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_2) = x_3$, $\psi(y_3) = x_2$, $\psi(y_4) = x_4$. Let $\mathrm{ц}_{12}$, $\mathrm{ц}_{123}$, $\mathrm{ц}_{1234}$ be as in (5.36), (5.54). Thus, the following identities hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(D(2|1);\omega))$:

$$[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c^3 = 0, \qquad [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_3]_c^3 = 0, \tag{5.62}$$

$$[[[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_3]_c, x_3]_c^3 = 0, \quad [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c^3 = 0, \quad [[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_{24}]_c, x_{334}]_c^3 = 0.$$

and the following set is a basis of K:

$$\begin{aligned} B_K = \{ & x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \mathrm{ц}_{12}^{n_2} \mathrm{ц}_{123}^{n_3} \mathrm{ц}_{1234}^{n_4} [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c^{n_5} [[\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_3]_c, x_3]_c^{n_6} [\mathrm{ц}_{1234}, x_{334}]_c^{n_7} \\ & x_{\frac{3}{2}234}^{n_8} [x_{\frac{3}{2}234}, x_3]_c^{n_9} x_3^{n_{10}} x_{32}^{n_{11}} x_{324}^{n_{12}} [x_{324}, x_3]_c^{n_{13}} x_{334}^{n_{14}} x_{34}^{n_{15}} x_2^{n_{16}} x_4^{n_{17}} : \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \le n_1, n_2, n_8, n_9, n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{16} < 2,$$
$$0 \le n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_{10}, n_{14}, n_{15}, n_{17} < 3\}.$$

Lemma 5.24. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.56), (5.57), (5.62) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an algebra map $\phi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2},2}$, $\phi(y_2) = x_3$, $\phi(y_3) = x_2$, $\phi(y_4) = x_4$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi : T(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ be the algebra map defined as ϕ on the y_i's. We claim that Φ annihilates all the relations in (5.58), (5.59), (5.60), (5.61), and the Lemma follows. For the last relation in (5.58),

$$\Phi\left([[y_{124}, y_2]_c, y_2]_c\right) = \Big[\Big[x_{\frac{3}{2}234}, x_3\Big]_c, x_3\Big]_c = (\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_{\frac{3}{2}})[[x_{234}, x_3]_c, x_3]_c = 0,$$

by (5.33) and the last relation in (5.56). All the other relations in (5.58) and all the relations in (5.59) follow directly by (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.56). For (5.60) and (5.61), we use (5.57), (5.62). □

Proposition 5.25. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(D(2|1);\omega))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_4^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.56), (5.57), (5.62). *The set*

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1} \text{ш}_{12}^{n_2} \text{ш}_{123}^{n_3} \text{ш}_{1234}^{n_4} [\text{ш}_{1234}, x_3]_c^{n_5} [[\text{ш}_{1234}, x_3]_c, x_3]_c^{n_6} [\text{ш}_{1234}, x_{334}]_c^{n_7}$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}234}^{n_8} [x_{\frac{3}{2}234}, x_3]_c^{n_9} x_3^{n_{10}} x_{32}^{n_{11}} x_{324}^{n_{12}} [x_{324}, x_3]_c^{n_{13}} x_{334}^{n_{14}} x_{34}^{n_{15}} x_2^{n_{16}} x_4^{n_{17}} :$$
$$0 \le m_1, m_2,\ 0 \le n_1, n_2, n_8, n_9, n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{13}, n_{16} < 2,$$
$$0 \le n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_{10}, n_{14}, n_{15}, n_{17} < 3\}.$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(D(2|1);\omega))$ and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(D(2|1);\omega)) = 2$.

Proof. Analogous to Proposition 5.22. □

5.3.7. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_2, 2))$.* The subalgebra generated by x_2, x_3 is a Nichols algebra of type A_2. Thus,

$$x_2^2 = 0, \qquad x_3^2 = 0, \qquad x_{32}^2 = 0. \tag{5.63}$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram



By [An2, Thm. 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_4$, and relations

$$[y_{123}, y_2]_c, \quad y_{13}, \quad y_1^2, \quad y_{12}^2, \quad y_{123}^2, \quad [y_{124}, y_3]_c^2, \tag{5.64}$$
$$[y_{124}, y_2]_c, \quad y_{34}, \quad [[y_{124}, y_3]_c, y_2]_c^2, \quad y_{124}^2, \quad y_2^2, \quad y_{23}^2, \tag{5.65}$$
$$[y_{324}, y_2]_c, \quad y_{14}, \quad [y_{24}, y_3]_c^2, \quad y_{24}^2, \quad y_3^2, \quad y_4^2. \tag{5.66}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$: $B_W =$

$$\{y_1^{n_1}y_{12}^{n_2}y_{123}^{n_3}y_{1234}^{n_4}[y_{1234},y_2]_c^{n_5}y_{124}^{n_6}y_2^{n_7}y_{23}^{n_8}y_{234}^{n_9}y_{24}^{n_{10}}y_3^{n_{11}}y_4^{n_{12}} : 0\leq n_i<2\}.$$

Remark 5.26. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi:\mathcal{B}(W)\to K$ such that $\psi(y_1)=x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_2)=x_3$, $\psi(y_3)=x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_4)=x_2$. Thus, the following identities hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_2,2))$:

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}^2=0,\quad [x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^2=0,\quad [x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^2=0, \tag{5.67}$$

$$[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c^2=0,\quad x_{3\frac{3}{2}2}^2=0,\quad [x_{32},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^2=0,\qquad [[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c,x_3]_c^2=0,$$

and the following set is a basis of K:

$$B_K=\{x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1}x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_2}[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^{n_3}[[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^{n_4}[[[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c,x_3]_c^{n_5}$$
$$[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c^{n_6}x_3^{n_7}x_{3\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_8}[x_{32},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]^{n_9}x_{32}^{n_{10}}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_{11}}x_2^{n_{12}} : 0\leq n_i<2\}.$$

Lemma 5.27. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (5.29), (5.30), (5.33), (5.63), (5.67) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an algebra map $\phi:\mathcal{B}(W)\to\mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(y_1)=x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\phi(y_2)=x_3$, $\phi(y_3)=x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\phi(y_4)=x_2$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi:T(W)\to\mathcal{B}$ be the algebra map defined as ϕ on the y_i's. We claim that Φ annihilates all the relations in (5.64), (5.65), (5.66), and the Lemma follows. By (5.33) and (5.67),

$$\Phi([y_{123},y_2]_c)=[[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c,x_3]_c=[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_{\frac{3}{2}23}]_c$$
$$=(x_\alpha x_{\frac{3}{2}23}+q_{12}q_{13}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_\alpha)x_{\frac{3}{2}23}-q_{12}q_{13}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}(x_\alpha x_{\frac{3}{2}23}+q_{12}q_{13}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_\alpha)=0,$$

since $x_{3\frac{3}{2}2}^2=0$ implies that $x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2=0$, and $x_3^2=0$. Now $[x_{\frac{3}{2}23},x_{23}]_c=0$ because $x_{23}^2=0$, and using again that $x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2=0$,

$$\Phi([y_{124},y_2]_c)=[[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_3]_c=[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_{23}]_c=-2q_{12}q_{13}\,x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2=0.$$

Similarly,

$$\Phi([y_{324},y_2]_c)=[[x_{\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_3]_c=[x_{\frac{3}{2}23},x_{23}]_c=0.$$

Finally, Φ applies the remaining relations to (5.29), (5.30), (5.33), (5.63), (5.67), and the claim follows. □

Proposition 5.28. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_2,2))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i\in\mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.29), (5.30), (5.33), (5.63), (5.67). *The set $B=$*

$$\{x_1^{m_1}x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2}x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_1}x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_2}[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^{n_3}[[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^{n_4}[[[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c,x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c,x_3]_c^{n_5}$$
$$[x_{\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c^{n_6}x_3^{n_7}x_{3\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_8}[x_{32},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]^{n_9}x_{32}^{n_{10}}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_{11}}x_2^{n_{12}} : 0\leq m_1,m_2,\ 0\leq n_i<2\}.$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_2,2))$ and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_2,2))=2$.

Proof. Analogous to Proposition 5.22. □

5.3.8. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_{\theta-1}))$.* The subalgebra generated by x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$, is a Nichols algebra of type $A_{\theta-1}$. Thus,

$$x_{ij}^2 = 0, \qquad 2 \le i \le j \le \theta, \tag{5.68}$$
$$[x_{k-1\,k\,k+1}, x_k] = 0, \qquad 3 \le k < \theta.$$

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram



Set as above y_{ij}, $i \le j$, and for $k < \ell \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$,

$$\text{ч}_{1\ell} = [y_1, y_{3\ell}]_c, \qquad \text{ч}_{k\ell} = [\text{ч}_{1\ell}, y_{2k}], \quad k > 1.$$

We order the letters y_{ij}, $\text{ч}_{k\ell}$ as follows:

- $y_{ij} < y_{k\ell}$, $\text{ч}_{ij} < \text{ч}_{k\ell}$ if either $i < k$ or else $i = k$, $j < \ell$,
- $y_{1j} < \text{ч}_{k\ell} < y_{mn}$ for all $j, k, \ell, m, n \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$, $m \ge 2$.

Given $\overline{a} = (a_n) \in \{0,1\}^{\theta(\theta-1)}$, let $\mathbf{y}^{\overline{a}}$ be the product of $y_{ij}^{a_n}$, $\text{ч}_{k\ell}^{a_n}$ with the previous order, where n is the position of the letter y_{ij}, $\text{ч}_{k\ell}$. By [An2, Thm. 3.1], $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators y_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$, and relations

$$y_{ij}^2, \qquad [y_{k-1\,k\,k+1}, y_k], \quad 3 \le k < \theta, \tag{5.69}$$
$$\text{ч}_{k\ell}^2, \qquad [y_{134}, y_3]. \tag{5.70}$$

Here is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$: $B_W = \{\mathbf{y}^{\overline{a}} : \overline{a} \in \{0,1\}^{\theta(\theta-1)}\}$.

Remark 5.29. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there exists an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\psi(y_j) = x_j$, $j \ge 2$. Set as above x_{ij}, $2 \le i \le j$, and

$$x_{1j} = \psi(y_{1j}) = [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, y_{2j}]_c, \qquad \text{я}_{k\ell} = \psi(\text{ч}_{k\ell}) = [\text{я}_{1\ell}, x_{2k}], \quad k > 1,$$
$$\text{я}_{1\ell} = \psi(\text{ч}_{1\ell}) = [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{3\ell}]_c, \qquad \mathbf{x}^{\overline{a}} = \psi(\mathbf{y}^{\overline{a}}), \quad \overline{a} \in \{0,1\}^{\theta(\theta-1)}.$$

Thus, the following identities hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_{\theta-1}))$:

$$x_{1j}^2, \qquad \text{я}_{k\ell}^2, \qquad j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{I}_\theta, k < \ell, \tag{5.71}$$

and $B_K = \{\mathbf{x}^{\overline{a}} : \overline{a} \in \{0,1\}^{\theta(\theta-1)}\}$ is a basis of K.

Lemma 5.30. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.68), (5.71) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then there exists an algebra map $\phi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\phi(y_1) = x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, $\phi(y_j) = x_j$, $j \ge 2$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi : T(W) \to \mathcal{B}$ be the algebra map defined as ϕ on the y_i's. We claim that Φ annihilates all the relations in (5.69), (5.70), and the Lemma follows. By (5.33) and (5.68),

$$\Phi([y_{134}, y_3]_c) = [[x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{34}]_c, x_3]_c = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})[x_{234}, x_3]_c = 0.$$

Finally, Φ applies the remaining relations to (5.33), (5.68), (5.71), and the claim follows. □

Proposition 5.31. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_{\theta-1}))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger$, and relations* (3.4), (4.28), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), (5.68), (5.71). *The set $B = \{x_1^{m_1} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \mathbf{x}^{\overline{a}} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0, \overline{a} \in \{0,1\}^{\theta(\theta-1)}\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_{\theta-1}))$ and* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(A_{\theta-1})) = 2$.

Proof. Analogous to Proposition 5.22. □

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 5.2 ($\epsilon = -1$).** Here $\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ is a super Jordan plane. Let $j \in \mathbb{I}_{2,\theta}$. If $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then we see from Table 5 the following possibilities:

TABLE 9.

interaction $\mathscr{I}_j = q_{1j}q_{j1}$	q_{jj}	$\mathscr{G}_j$
1	$\in \Bbbk^\times$	0
1	± 1	discrete
-1	-1	1

We start by a result that will be applied several times.

Lemma 5.32. *Let $W = V_1 \oplus U$ be a direct sum of braided vector spaces, where V_1 and U have dimension 2, V_1 is a -1-block with basis $x_1, x_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and U is of diagonal type with respect to a basis x_2, x_3. Assume that both x_2 and x_3 have mild interaction with V_1. Then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.28, $q_{22} = q_{33} = -1$. Set $q = q_{23}q_{32}$. We consider the flag of braided subspaces: $0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_2 = W$, where $\mathcal{V}_1$ is spanned by $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_3}$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}} := \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}(W)$, a pre-Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$, see §3.4. Thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$ since the Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$ is:



by Hypothesis 1.7 (or by Theorem 1.6 if $q = \pm 1$). □

Corollary 5.33. *If $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, then V_{diag} has at most one connected component with mild interaction.*

5.4.1. *Connected components of V_{diag}.* Let $J \in \mathcal{X}$. We assume that $\mathscr{G}_J \neq 0$. One of the implications in Theorem 5.2 follows from §4, so we assume that $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J) < \infty$.

Lemma 5.34. *If the interaction of J is weak, then $|J| = 1$.*

Proof. Let $i \in J$ such that $\mathscr{G}_i > 0$. Then $q_{ii} = \pm 1$ by Theorem 4.11. If $q_{ii} = 1$, then $J = \{i\}$ as already discussed, cf. page 50. If $q_{ii} = -1$ and $|J| > 1$, then there is $j \in J - \{i\}$ with $q := q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$. By Lemma 5.4, $p_{i1,i1} = 1$ and $p_{i1,j0} = q$; hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8. □

Assume from the rest of this Subsection that the interaction of J is mild.

In the next proof, we denote $x_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_M} = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{i_1}\, x_{i_2 \dots i_M}$, cf. (2.2).

Lemma 5.35. *If $|J| > 1$, then $|J| = 2$, say $J = \{2, 3\}$; and $q_{22} = q_{23}q_{32} = q_{33} = -1$, $\mathscr{I}_2 = -1$, $\mathscr{I}_3 = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_2 = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_3 = 0$.*

Proof. First we assume that $|J| = 2$, say $J = \{2, 3\}$. By Lemma 5.32, we may suppose that $\mathscr{I}_2 = -1$ (thus $q_{22} = -1$), $\mathscr{I}_3 = 1$. Set $q = q_{23}q_{32}$, $r = q_{33}$. We consider the flag of braided subspaces: $0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_2 = V_1 \oplus V_J$, where $\mathcal{V}_1$ is spanned by $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_3}$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}} := \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J)$, a pre-Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$, see §3.4. Now the Dynkin diagram of $U = \mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$ has vertices $\{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 3\}$ and edges as follows:



Step 1. $(q, r) \neq (-1, -1)$. We distinguish two cases:

- Either $q \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$ or $r \notin \mathbb{G}_\infty$. If $q \neq r^{-1}, r^{-2}$, then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6. For $q = r^{-1}$, respectively $q = r^{-2}$, we apply the reflections as described below:



Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^1\mathcal{R}^2(U)) = \infty$, respectively $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}^2(U)) = \infty$, by Theorem 1.6.

• $q, r \in \mathbb{G}_\infty$. Thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7.

Step 2. $(q, r) = (-1, -1)$, $\mathscr{G}_3 > 0$.

For simplicity of the proof of this Step, we consider a different flag of braided subspaces: $0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{V}_3 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_4 = V_1 \oplus V_J$, where $\mathcal{V}_1$ is spanned by $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_2}$ and $\mathcal{V}_2$ is spanned by $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_2^\dagger}$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} := \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J)$, a pre-Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{diag}}$, see §3.4, with the same Dynkin diagram:



As in §4, see (4.7), we set $z_n := (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^n x_3$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $z_1, z_2 \neq 0$ by Lemma 4.7, and $z_2 \in \mathcal{B}^3_5$. By direct computation,

$$\Delta(z_2) = z_2 \otimes 1 - a x_{\frac{3}{2}1} \otimes x_3 + a x_1 \otimes z_1 + 1 \otimes z_2.$$

Suppose that $z_2 \in \mathcal{B}^3_4$. Notice that $\mathcal{B}^3_4$ is spanned by the monomials in letters x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_2^\dagger$ with at most one $x_{\frac{3}{2}}$, since $T(V)^3_4$ is spanned by these monomials, cf. Remark 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. We check that all these monomials are written as a linear combination of $x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}1}$, $x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}} z_0$, $x_1 z_1$, $x_{\frac{3}{2}1} z_0$, $z_1 z_0$, where we use the defining relations of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}_-(-1, \mathscr{G}_3))$, cf. Proposition 4.20. Then z_2 is a linear combination of these elements and we get a contradiction with Proposition 4.20. Thus $z_2 \in \mathcal{B}^3_5 - \mathcal{B}^3_4$.

Let z be class of z_2 in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Then z is a non-zero primitive element in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ generated by $Z = \langle x_1, x_{\frac{3}{2}}, x_2, x_3, z \rangle$, and consider the algebra filtration of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$, such that the generators have degree one. This is a Hopf algebra filtration, hence the associated graded algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ is a braided Hopf algebra. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ is a subquotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(Z) \leq \operatorname{GKdim} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 \leq \operatorname{GKdim} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \leq \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J)$. The braided vector space Z is of Cartan type $D_4^{(1)}$, since it has diagram



so $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(Z) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6, and then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J) = \infty$.

Step 3. $|J| \geq 3$.

We assume that $|J| = 3$, say $J = \{2, 3, 4\}$, and obtain a contradiction. Then the general case follows. By Lemma 5.32, we may suppose that $\mathscr{I}_2 = -1$, $\mathscr{I}_3 = \mathscr{I}_4 = 1$, and by the previous steps $q_{22} = q_{23}q_{32} = q_{33} = -1$, $\mathscr{G}_3 = 0$. Set $q = q_{24}q_{42}$, $r = q_{44}$, $s = q_{34}q_{43}$. We consider the flag of braided subspaces: $0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_2 = V_1 \oplus V_J$, where $\mathcal{V}_1$ is spanned by $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_4}$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} := \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J)$, a pre-Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{diag}}$, see §3.4. Now the Dynkin diagram of $U = \mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ has vertices $\{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, 3, 4\}$ and edges as follows:



By Hypothesis 1.7, $q = 1$ (otherwise the vertex 2 would have valence 4, contradicting [H2]) and $r = s = -1$ (the only posible extension of D_4 in the list in [H2]). Thus $\mathcal{V}_1$ is of Cartan type A_4 and

$$B = \{x_1^{n_1}x_{12}^{n_2}x_{123}^{n_3}x_{1234}^{n_4}x_2^{n_5}x_{23}^{n_6}x_{234}^{n_7}x_3^{n_8}x_{34}^{n_9}x_4^{n_{10}} : 0 \le n_i \le 1\}$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1)$. Let B_4 be the subset of B of those elements of degree 4.

Notice that $\mathcal{B}_j^4 = 0$ for $j \le 3$ and $\mathcal{B}_4^4$ is spanned by the monomials in letters x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_4$, since $T(V)_j^4 = 0$ and $T(V)_4^4$ is spanned by these monomials, cf. Remark 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. The subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{V}_1$ is the Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}_1$; thus B_4 is a basis of $\mathcal{B}_5^5$.

We observe that $(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_{\frac{3}{2}})x_3 = 0$ because $\mathscr{I}_3 = 1$ and $\mathscr{G}_3 = 0$. We next claim that $\partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = 0$. Indeed, $\partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_3 - q_{13}q_{23}x_3x_{\frac{3}{2}2}) \overset{(4.54)}{=} (2x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)q_{23}x_3 - q_{13}q_{23}x_3(2x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\\
&= q_{23}\left(2(x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_3 - q_{13}x_3x_{\frac{3}{2}}) + (x_1x_3 - q_{13}x_3x_1)\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We next introduce $u = [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c$. We observe that $\partial_1(u) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(u) &= \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_2 + q_{12}q_{32}x_2x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = x_{\frac{3}{2}23} + q_{12}q_{32}\, g_2 \cdot x_{\frac{3}{2}23}\\
&= x_{\frac{3}{2}23} + q_{12}q_{32}q_{21}q_{22}q_{23}(x_{\frac{3}{2}23} + x_{123}) = -x_{123},\\
\partial_3(u) &= \partial_3(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_2 + q_{12}q_{32}x_2x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = 2q_{32}(x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_2 + q_{12}x_2x_{\frac{3}{2}2}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (4.67) for the last equality. Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{B}_4^4$; i.e. u is a linear combination of the elements of B_4. As $\partial_4(x_{1234}) = 2x_{123}$, $\partial_4(x_{234}) = 2x_{23}$, $\partial_4(x_{34}) = 2x_3$, $\partial_4(x_4) = 1$, and ∂_4 annihilates u and the remaining PBW generators, the elements of B_4 containing x_{1234}, x_{234}, x_{34}, x_4 have coefficient 0. For the elements of the shape $x_1y \in B_4$, $\partial_1(x_1y)$ gives y up to a non-zero scalar. As ∂_1 annihilates u and the remaining elements of B_4, the

elements $x_1 y \in B_4$ also have coefficient 0. Thus

$$u = b_1\, x_{12}x_{23} + b_2\, x_{12}x_2x_3 + b_3\, x_{123}x_2 + b_4\, x_{123}x_3 + b_5\, x_2x_{23}x_3, \tag{5.72}$$

for some $b_i \in \Bbbk$. Applying ∂_2 to this equality gives

$$-x_{123} = q_{23}(b_2 - 2b_1)\, x_1x_{23} - 2b_2q_{23}\, x_1x_2x_3 + b_3\, x_{123} - b_5q_{23}^2\, x_{23}x_3,$$

so $b_1 = b_2 = b_5 = 0$, $b_3 = -1$. Applying ∂_3 to (5.72),

$$0 = -2q_{32}\, x_{12}x_2 - 2b_4\, x_{12}x_3 + b_4\, x_{123},$$

so we have a contradiction. Thus $u \in \mathcal{B}_5^4 - \mathcal{B}_4^4$. Next we compute

$$\Delta(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = x_{\frac{3}{2}23} \otimes 1 + 2x_{\frac{3}{2}2} \otimes x_3 + (2x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1) \otimes x_{23} + 1 \otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$\Delta(u) = u \otimes 1 - 2x_{21} \otimes x_3x_2 + 1 \otimes u.$$

Let $\overline{u}$ be the class of u in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Thus $\overline{u}$ is a non-zero primitive element in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ generated by $Z = \langle x_4, \overline{u}\rangle$, and consider the algebra filtration of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$, such that the generators $\overline{u}$ and x_4 have degree one. This is a Hopf algebra filtration, hence the associated graded algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ is a braided Hopf algebra, and $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ is a subquotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J)$. The braided vector space Z has diagram $\overset{-1}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\overset{1}{\circ}$, so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8, and then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_1 \oplus V_J) = \infty$. □

5.4.2. *The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$.* Assume that $|J| = 2$, say $J = \{2, 3\}$; and $q_{22} = q_{23}q_{32} = q_{33} = -1$, $\mathscr{I}_2 = -1$, $\mathscr{I}_3 = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_2 = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_3 = 0$. Recall that the corresponding braided vector space is denoted $\mathfrak{C}_2$. Recall the relations of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$, with the change of index with respect to §4 (the 2 and 3 there are now $\frac{3}{2}$ and 2):

$$x_1^2, \tag{3.7}$$

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}1} - x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{\frac{3}{2}} - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}1}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{12} + q_{12}x_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} \tag{4.58}$$

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} + q_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} - q_{12}x_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{4.59}$$

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} - q_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{4.60}$$

$$x_2^2, \qquad x_{12}^2, \tag{4.61}$$

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2, \qquad x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^2. \tag{4.62}$$

Remark 5.36. We have

$$x_1x_3 = q_{13}x_3x_1, \qquad\qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_3 = q_{13}x_3x_{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{5.73}$$

since $q_{13}q_{31} = 1$ and $\mathscr{G}_3 = 0$. The subalgebra generated by x_1, x_2, x_3 is a Nichols algebra of diagonal type A_3. Thus,

$$x_3^2 = 0, \qquad\qquad x_{123}^2 = 0, \tag{5.74}$$

$$x_{23}^2 = 0, \qquad [x_{123}, x_2]_c = 0. \tag{5.75}$$

Lemma 5.37. *The following hold in $\mathcal{B}(V)$:*

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2 = 0, \tag{5.76}$$

$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^2 = 0, \tag{5.77}$$

$$[x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c^2 = 0, \tag{5.78}$$

$$x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = -x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{123}, \tag{5.79}$$

$$[x_1, [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c]_c = q_{12}q_{13}x_{123}x_{12}, \tag{5.80}$$

$$[x_1, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c]_c = 2q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \tag{5.81}$$

$$[x_{\frac{3}{2}}, [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c]_c = 2q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} - 2q_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}. \tag{5.82}$$

Proof. We claim that $\partial_i(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$. For $i \neq 3$, we notice that $\partial_1(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = 0$. For $i = 3$, $\partial_3(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = 2x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, and as $x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^2 = 0$, we deduce that

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = -q_{13}q_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} \tag{5.83}$$

Thus

$$\partial_3(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2) = 2x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} - 2q_{31}q_{32}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = 0.$$

Analogously we prove that $x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^2 = 0$, since ∂_1, ∂_2 and $\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}$ annihilate $x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}$, $\partial_3(x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}) = 2x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}$ and as $x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^2 = 0$ we have

$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} = x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}(x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_3 - q_{13}^2q_{23}^2x_3x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}) = -q_{13}^2q_{23}^2x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}. \tag{5.84}$$

Thus (5.76) and (5.77) hold in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. For (5.79), we use (5.76) and (5.74):

$$0 = g_1 \cdot x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2 = x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^2 - x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} - x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{123} + x_{123}^2 = -x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} - x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{123}.$$

Let $u = [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c$, $v = [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c$. By the second relation in (5.75)

$$g_1 \cdot u = q_{12}^2q_{13}([x_{123}, x_2]_c - u) = -q_{12}^2q_{13}\, u \implies [x_1, u]_c = x_1u + q_{12}^2q_{13}ux_1.$$

We claim that (5.80) holds in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. By direct computation,

$$\partial_1(u) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(u) = \partial_3(u) = 0, \qquad \partial_2(u) = -x_{123}. \tag{5.85}$$

Thus, $\partial_1([x_1, u]_c) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}([x_1, u]_c) = \partial_3([x_1, u]_c) = 0$, and $\partial_2([x_1, u]_c) =$

$$= x_1\partial_2(u) + q_{12}^2q_{13}\partial_2(u)\, g_2 \cdot x_1 = -x_1x_{123} + q_{12}q_{13}\, x_{123}x_1 = 2q_{12}q_{13}\, x_{123}x_1$$

since $x_1x_{123} = -q_{12}q_{13}\, x_{123}x_1$, as $\mathrm{ad}_c^2\, x_1 = 0$, by (3.7). Also,

$$\partial_2(x_{123}x_{12}) = 2\, x_{123}x_{12}, \qquad \partial_3(x_{123}x_{12}) = 2q_{31}q_{32}\, x_{12}^2 = 0.$$

Now we claim that $\partial_i(x_1v - q_{12}^2q_{13}vx_1) = 2q_{12}\partial_i(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$, i.e. (5.81) holds in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. By direct computation, $\partial_1(v) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(v) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(v) &= \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{123} + q_{13}q_{23}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}) \\
&= -q_{21}q_{23}(2x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)x_{123} + q_{13}q_{23}\, x_{123}(2x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1) \\
&= -2q_{31}q_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \\
\partial_3(v) &= 2x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_12 + 2q_{13}q_{31}q_{23}q_{32}\, x_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} = -4x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{12},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use (4.58)-(4.62). Thus, ∂_1, $\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}$ annihilate both sides of (5.81), and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(x_1v - q_{12}^2q_{13}vx_1) &= 2q_{21}q_{23}(x_1x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} + q_{12}q_{13}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_1) = 4q_{21}q_{23}\, x_1x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \\
\partial_3(x_1v - q_{12}^2q_{13}vx_1) &= -4x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{12} + 4q_{12}^2\, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{12}x_1 = 4q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}, \\
\partial_2(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}) &= -2q_{21}^2q_{23}\, x_1x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \\
\partial_3(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}) &= 2x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly (5.82) holds in $\mathcal{B}(V)$ since ∂_1, $\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}$ annihilate both sides, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}}v - q_{12}^2q_{13}vx_{\frac{3}{2}}) &= 4q_{21}q_{23}\, x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} + 2q_{21}q_{23}\, x_1x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} \\
&= 2q_{12}\partial_2(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} - x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}), \\
\partial_3(x_{\frac{3}{2}}v - q_{12}^2q_{13}vx_{\frac{3}{2}}) &= -4x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{12} + 4q_{12}^2\, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{12}x_1 = 4q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}, \\
&= 2q_{12}\partial_3(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} - x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we claim that $\partial_i(v^2) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$. It suffices to prove that $\partial_2(v^2) = \partial_3(v^2) = 0$. By direct computation,

$$[x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c = -q_{12}q_{13}v. \tag{5.86}$$

From this equation and (5.77),

$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}v = q_{12}^2q_{32}\, vx_{1\frac{3}{2}23}. \tag{5.87}$$

Thus,

$$\partial_2(v^2) = -2q_{31}q_{12}\, vx_{1\frac{3}{2}23} - 2q_{31}q_{12}q_{21}^2q_{23}\, x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}v = 0.$$

As $x_2^2 = 0$, $vx_2 = q_{12}^2x_2v$. Using this equation and (5.81), (5.82),

$$x_{12}v = q_{13}q_{23}\, vx_{12}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2}v = q_{13}q_{23}\, vx_{\frac{3}{2}2}. \tag{5.88}$$

Then we compute:

$$\partial_3(v^2) = 4q_{31}^2q_{32}^2\, x_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} - 4\, vx_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} = 0.$$

Thus (5.78) holds in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. □

We fix the notation $u = [x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c$, $v = [x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, x_{123}]_c$ for the rest of this Subsection, as in the proof of last Lemma.

Remark 5.38. By definition of $x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}$, x_{123}, $x_{\frac{3}{2}23}$, v, u, x_{23}, we have

$$x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} + q_{12}q_{13}(x_{123} - x_{\frac{3}{2}23})x_1, \qquad x_1x_{23} = x_{123} + q_{12}q_{13}x_{23}x_1,$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{23} = x_{\frac{3}{2}23} + q_{12}q_{13}x_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{123} = v - q_{13}q_{23}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_2 = u - q_{12}q_{32}x_2x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_2x_3 = x_{23} + q_{23}x_3x_2.$$

Lemma 5.39. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a quotient algebra of $T(V)$. Assume that* (3.7), (3.8), (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), (4.61), (4.62), (5.76), (5.77), (5.78), (5.79), (5.80), (5.81) *and* (5.82) *hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then the following relations also hold:*

$$x_1x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{12}q_{13}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_1, \qquad x_1x_{123} = -q_{12}q_{13}x_{123}x_1,$$
$$x_1v = q_{12}^2q_{13}vx_1 - 2q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_1x_3 = q_{13}x_3x_1,$$
$$x_1u = -q_{12}^2q_{13}ux_1 + q_{12}q_{13}x_{123}x_{12}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_3 = q_{13}^2x_3x_{\frac{3}{2}1}$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{12}^2q_{13}^2x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{123} = q_{12}^2q_{13}^2x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}1},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{12}^2q_{13}^2x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}1} - q_{12}^2q_{13}^2x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}1}v = q_{12}^4q_{13}^2vx_{\frac{3}{2}1},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}1}u = q_{12}^4q_{13}^2ux_{\frac{3}{2}1}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{23} = q_{12}^2q_{13}^2x_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}1},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{123} = -q_{12}q_{13}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}} - x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_3 = q_{13}x_3x_{\frac{3}{2}},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{12}q_{13}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}},$$

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = -q_{12}q_{13}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}} + q_{12}q_{13}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}v = q_{12}^2q_{13}vx_{\frac{3}{2}} + 2q_{12}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} - 2q_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}}u = -q_{12}^2q_{13}ux_{\frac{3}{2}} + q_{12}^2q_{13}(x_{123} - x_{\frac{3}{2}23})x_{\frac{3}{2}2} - \frac{q_{12}q_{13}}{2}v,$$
$$x_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = -q_{13}q_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{12} + x_{12}x_{123} - q_{13}q_{23}v,$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{12}q_{13}^2q_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} - 2q_{13}^2q_{23}^2x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}u = q_{12}^3q_{13}^2q_{23}ux_{1\frac{3}{2}2} - 2q_{12}q_{13}^3q_{23}x_{12}v,$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{21}q_{23}q_{13}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} + 2q_{13}q_{23}x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{12}x_{23} = -q_{12}q_{13}q_{23}x_{23}x_{12} - 2q_{12}x_2x_{123},$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{23} = -q_{21}q_{13}^2q_{23}x_{23}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} + q_{12}q_{13}q_{23}v,$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_2 = -q_{12}^2q_{32}x_2x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} - q_{12}q_{13}v,$$

$$x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{13}q_{23}q_{21}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{12}, \qquad x_2x_{123} = -q_{21}q_{23}x_{123}x_2,$$
$$x_{12}x_{123} = -q_{13}q_{23}x_{123}x_{12}, \qquad x_{12}v = q_{13}q_{23}vx_{12},$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_3 = q_{13}^2q_{23}x_3x_{1\frac{3}{2}2} + x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} \qquad x_{12}u = q_{12}q_{13}q_{23}ux_{12},$$
$$x_{12}x_3 = q_{13}q_{23}x_3x_{12} + x_{123}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2}v = q_{13}q_{13}vx_{\frac{3}{2}2},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = -q_{12}q_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}, \qquad x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}v = q_{12}^2q_{13}^2q_{23}vx_{1\frac{3}{2}2},$$

$$x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_3 = q_{13}q_{23}x_3x_{\frac{3}{2}2} + x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u = q_{12}q_{13}ux_{\frac{3}{2}2},$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23} = -q_{13}^3q_{23}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}, \qquad vx_2 = q_{12}^2q_{32}x_2v,$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{23} = -q_{12}q_{13}q_{23}x_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}2} - q_{23}u, \qquad x_2u = q_{21}q_{23}ux_2,$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{123} = q_{12}q_{13}^2q_{23}x_{123}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}, \qquad x_2x_{23} = -q_{23}x_{23}x_2,$$

$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{123} = q_{12}q_{13}x_{123}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}v = q_{12}^2q_{32}vx_{1\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = q_{12}q_{13}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}u = -q_{12}^3q_{13}q_{32}ux_{1\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_{23} = -q_{12}^2q_{13}^2x_{23}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}x_3 = -q_{13}^2q_{23}x_3x_{1\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{123}v = q_{31}q_{32}vx_{123}, \qquad x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}23} = -x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{123},$$
$$x_{123}u = q_{12}q_{32}ux_{123}, \qquad x_{123}x_{23} = -q_{12}q_{13}x_{23}x_{123},$$
$$x_{123}x_3 = -q_{13}q_{23}x_3x_{123}, \qquad vx_{\frac{3}{2}23} = -q_{12}q_{13}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}v,$$
$$vu = q_{12}^2q_{13}uv, \qquad vx_{23} = q_{12}^2q_{13}^2q_{23}x_{23}v,$$
$$vx_3 = -q_{13}^2q_{23}^2x_3v, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u = q_{12}q_{32}ux_{\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_{23} = -q_{12}q_{13}x_{23}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, \qquad x_{\frac{3}{2}23}x_3 = -q_{13}q_{23}x_3x_{\frac{3}{2}23},$$
$$ux_{23} = q_{12}q_{13}x_{23}u, \qquad ux_3 = -q_{13}q_{23}^2x_3u,$$
$$x_{23}x_3 = -q_{23}x_3x_{23}.$$

In particular these relations hold in $\mathcal{B}(V)$.

Proof. The equations are proved recursively on the degree from the defining relations. □

Lemma 5.40. *The set*

$$B_K = \big\{x_{12}^{n_1}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_3}[x_{123}, x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^{n_4}x_{123}^{n_5}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_6}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_7}[x_{\frac{3}{2}23}, x_2]_c^{n_8}x_2^{n_9}x_{23}^{n_{10}}x_3^{n_{11}} :$$
$$0 \le n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, n_9, n_{10}, n_{11} \le 1\big\}.$$

is a basis of K *and* $\operatorname{GKdim} K = 1$.

Proof. First we claim that K is spanned by the monomials

$$x_{12}^{n_1}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_3}v^{n_4}x_{123}^{n_5}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_6}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_7}u^{n_8}x_2^{n_9}x_{23}^{n_{10}}x_3^{n_{11}},\ n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{5.89}$$

Using the relations in Lemma 5.39 and Remark 5.38, $K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_1)(V_{\text{diag}})$ is spanned by x_{12}, $x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}$, $x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, x_2, x_3 since the subspace generated by them is stable by $\operatorname{ad}_c x_1$, $\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}$, $\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}1}$. Then $x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}$, v, x_{123}, $x_{\frac{3}{2}23}$, u, x_{23} also belong to K. Thus the subspace generated by (5.89) is contained in K. On the other hand, the subspace generated by (5.89) is a left ideal by Lemma 5.39 and Remark 5.38; as 1 belongs to this subspace, it is K. Now we can restrict all the n_i, $i \neq 8$, in (5.89) to the set $\{0, 1\}$ because of Lemma 5.39, (4.61), (4.62), (5.74) and (5.75). Thus K is spanned by B_K.

We claim now that B_K is linearly independent. From (5.85),

$$\partial_1\partial_2\partial_3\partial_2(u^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} u^k \partial_1\partial_2\partial_3\partial_2(u) g_1 g_2^2 g_3 \cdot u^{n-1-k} = -4n\, u^{n-1},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that $x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^n x_2 \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Indeed, it holds for $n = 0$ by Lemma 4.37, and recursively

$$\partial_1\partial_2\partial_3\partial_2(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^n x_2) = -4nq_{21}q_{23}\, x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^{n-1}x_2 \neq 0.$$

Set $\text{ю}_n = x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}vx_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u^n x_2x_{23}x_3$. We claim that

$$\partial_3(\text{ю}_n) = x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}vx_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u^n x_2x_{23}.$$

Indeed ∂_3 annihilates x_{12}, $x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}$, $x_{\frac{3}{2}2}$, u, x_2, and

$$\partial_3(v) = -4x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{12}, \qquad \partial_3(x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}) = 2x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}, \qquad \partial_3(x_{123}) = 2x_{12},$$
$$\partial_3(x_{\frac{3}{2}23}) = 2x_{\frac{3}{2}} - x_{12}, \qquad \partial_3(x_{23}) = 2x_2, \qquad \partial_3(x_3) = 1.$$

As ∂_3 is a skew derivation, $\partial_3(\text{ю}_n)$ is the sum of 11 terms, each of them obtained by applying ∂_3 either to a PBW generator different to u or else to u^n, and applying g_3 to the generators on the right. Here, 5 of these summands are 0 because ∂_3 annihilates the corresponding PBW generator, and another 5 summands are 0 because we can reorder the PBW generators to obtain the square of a PBW generator which is zero, see Lemma 5.39, (4.61), (4.62), (5.74) and (5.75). The exception is the summand containing $\partial_3(x_3) = 1$. By a similar argument,

$$\partial_1^2\partial_2\partial_3(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}vx_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u^n x_2x_{23}) = 4\chi_1^{n+5}\chi_2^{2n+7}\chi_3^{n+4}(g_1^2g_2g_3)$$
$$x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}vx_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u^n x_2x_{23},$$
$$\partial_1^2\partial_2\partial_3\partial_2(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}vx_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u^n x_2x_{23}) = 8q_{31}q_{32}\chi_1^{n+3}\chi_2^{2n+5}\chi_3^{n+3}(g_1^2g_2^2g_3)$$
$$x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u^n x_2x_{23},$$
$$\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}\partial_2\partial_3(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}u^n x_2x_{23}) = 4\chi_1^n\chi_2^{2n+2}\chi_3^{n+1}(g_1g_2g_3)$$
$$x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^n x_2x_{23},$$
$$\partial_1\partial_2\partial_3(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{123}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^n x_2x_{23}) = 4\chi_1^{n+1}\chi_2^{2n+3}\chi_3^{n+1}(g_1g_2g_3)$$
$$x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^n x_2x_{23},$$
$$\partial_2\partial_3(x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^n x_2x_{23}) = 2x_{12}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}u^n x_2,$$

so $\text{ю}_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 5.39, and (4.61), (4.62), (5.74), (5.75),

$$x_{12}^{n_1}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_3}v^{n_4}x_{123}^{n_5}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{n_6}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^{n_7}u^{n_8}x_2^{n_9}x_{23}^{n_{10}}x_3^{n_{11}}$$
$$\cdot\, x_3^{1-n_{11}}x_{23}^{1-n_{10}}x_2^{1-n_9}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^{1-n_7}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{1-n_6}x_{123}^{1-n_5}v^{1-n_4}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^{1-n_3}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^{1-n_2}x_{12}^{1-n_1}$$

gives $\imath\mathrm{o}_{n_8}$ multiplied by a non-zero scalar. Let $\mathtt{S}$ be a non-trivial linear combination of elements of B_K. Let N be the minimum of $\sum_{i\neq 8} n_i$ between the elements of B_K with non-zero coefficient and pick

$$x_{12}^{m_1}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^{m_2}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^{m_3}v^{m_4}x_{123}^{m_5}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{m_6}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^{m_7}u^{m_8}x_2^{m_9}x_{23}^{m_{10}}x_3^{m_{11}}, \qquad \sum_{i\neq 8} m_i = N,$$

with non-zero coefficient. Then

$$\mathtt{S}\cdot x_3^{1-m_{11}}x_{23}^{1-m_{10}}x_2^{1-m_9}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^{1-m_7}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{1-m_6}x_{123}^{1-m_5}v^{1-m_4}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^{1-m_3}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^{1-m_2}x_{12}^{1-m_1} \tag{5.90}$$

is a sum of $\imath\mathrm{o}_n$'s with non-zero coefficient, one for each term of B_K such that $n_i = m_i$, $i \neq 8$, $n_8 = n$, and non-zero coefficient in $\mathtt{S}$. As the $\imath\mathrm{o}_n$'s are non-zero and $\operatorname{gr}\imath\mathrm{o}_n = 4n+26$, (5.90) is non-zero, and $\mathtt{S}$ is so. Thus the claim follows, so B_K is a basis of K. □

We close this Subsection giving the presentation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$.

Proposition 5.41. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_3^\dagger$, and relations* (3.7), (3.8), (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), (4.61), (4.62), (5.76), (5.77), (5.78), (5.79), (5.80), (5.81) *and* (5.82). *The set*

$$\big\{x_1^{a_1}x_{1\frac{3}{2}}^{a_2}x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{a_3}x_{12}^{a_4}x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}^{a_5}x_{1\frac{3}{2}23}^{a_6}[x_{123},x_{\frac{3}{2}2}]_c^{a_7}x_{123}^{a_8}x_{\frac{3}{2}2}^{a_9}x_{\frac{3}{2}23}^{a_{10}}[x_{\frac{3}{2}23},x_2]_c^{a_{11}}x_2^{a_{12}}x_{23}^{a_{13}}x_3^{a_{14}} :$$
$$0\leq a_1,a_4,a_5,a_6,a_7,a_8,a_9,a_{10},a_{12},a_{13},a_{14}\leq 1\big\}.$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$ and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2) = 3$.

Proof. Relations (3.7), (3.8), (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), (4.61), (4.62), (5.76), (5.77), (5.78), (5.79), (5.80), (5.81) and (5.82) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_1)$, see Lemma 5.37 and Remark 5.36. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$. We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $Ix_3 \subseteq I$ by definition while $Ix_1 \subseteq I$, $Ix_{\frac{3}{2}} \subseteq I$, $Ix_2 \subseteq I$ follow by Lemma 5.39 and Remark 5.38. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B.

To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$. For, suppose that there is a non-trivial linear combination $\mathtt{S}$ of elements of B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$, say of minimal degree. As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, each vector in $\mathtt{S}$ with non-trivial coefficient satisfies $a_i = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. But then we obtain a contradiction with Lemma 5.40. Thus B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{C}_2)$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

5.4.3. *Several components.*

Lemma 5.42. *Let $W = V_1 \oplus U$ be a direct sum of braided vector spaces, where V_1 and U have dimension 2, V_1 is a -1-block with basis $x_1, x_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and U is of diagonal type with respect to a basis x_2, x_3, $q_{23}q_{32} = 1$. Assume that x_2 has mild interaction with V_1, and x_3 has weak interaction with $\mathscr{G}_3 > 0$. Then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.37 and 4.7, $u_1 := x_{12}$, $u_2 := x_{1\frac{3}{2}2}$, $u_3 := x_{\frac{3}{2}3}$ are non-zero elements of K^1. Moreover they are linearly independent since u_2 has degree 3, u_1, u_3 have degree 2 and

$$\partial_2(u_1) = 2x_1, \qquad \partial_2(u_3) = 0, \qquad \partial_3(u_3) = x_1.$$

By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(u_1) &= g_1g_2 \otimes u_1 + 2x_1g_2 \otimes x_2,\\
\delta(u_2) &= g_1^2g_2 \otimes u_2 + x_1g_1g_2 \otimes (2x_{\frac{3}{2}2} - u_1) + 2(x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}} - x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1)g_2 \otimes x_2,\\
\delta(u_3) &= g_1g_3 \otimes u_3 + x_1g_3 \otimes x_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\operatorname{ad}_c x_1u_2 = 0$ by (4.8), and $\operatorname{ad}_c x_1u_1 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_1u_3 = 0$ since $x_1^2 = 0$. Thus $U = \Bbbk u_1 \oplus \Bbbk u_2 \oplus \Bbbk u_3$ is a braided vector subspace of K^1 of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram



If $q_{33} = -1$, then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8. If $q_{33} = 1$, then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6 since the braiding is of affine type $A_2^{(1)}$. In any case, $\operatorname{GKdim} K = \infty$. □

5.4.4. *The Nichols algebras with finite* GKdim*, several connected components in* V_{diag}. Let $V = V_1 \oplus V_{\text{diag}}$ be a braided vector space as described in §5.1, where the braided subspace $V_1 \simeq \mathcal{V}(\epsilon, 2)$ is a block with $\epsilon^2 = 1$, while V_{diag} is of diagonal type. We assume that the interaction between V_1 and V_{diag} is weak. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of connected components of the generalized Dynkin diagram of V_{diag}. If $J \in \mathcal{X}$, then we denote by $\mathcal{D}_J$ its generalized Dynkin diagram and by $\mathscr{G}_J$ its ghost, see the paragraph after (5.3). Then we denote

$$V = \mathfrak{L}((\mathcal{D}_J)_{J\in\mathcal{X}}, (\mathscr{G}_J)_{j\in\mathcal{X}}).$$

Notice that given a finite set $\mathcal{X}$ and collections $(\mathcal{D}_J)_{J\in\mathcal{X}}$ of generalized Dynkin diagrams and $(\mathscr{G}_J)_{j\in\mathcal{X}}$ of appropriate vectors, then there is a unique braided vector space $V = \mathfrak{L}((\mathcal{D}_J)_{J\in\mathcal{X}}, (\mathscr{G}_J)_{j\in\mathcal{X}})$. We assume in this § that for every $J \in \mathcal{X}$:

- If $\mathscr{G}_J \neq 0$, then $(\mathcal{D}_J, \mathscr{G}_J)$ (or the corresponding abbreviation) belongs either to Table 5 or to Table 7. In this case, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_J$ the defining relations of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{D}_J, \mathscr{G}_J))$ as described in those Tables, and by B_J a basis of $\mathcal{B}(K_J)$ as described in the previous Subsections.
- If $\mathscr{G}_J = 0$, then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V_J) < \infty$. In this case, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_J$ a set of defining relations of $\mathcal{B}(V_J)$ and by B_J a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V_J)$. (If Conjecture 1.5 is valid, then these relations are known from [An2]).

Let B_0 be a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ as described in Propositions 3.4, 3.5. We fix an order of the elements of $\mathcal{X}$: $J_1, \dots, J_{|\mathcal{X}|}$.

Our goal is to describe a presentation of $\mathcal{B}(V) = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{L}((\mathcal{D}_J)_{J\in\mathcal{X}}, (\mathscr{G}_J)_{j\in\mathcal{X}}))$. We follow the same path as in previous related subsections.

Lemma 5.43. *Assume that the interaction is weak and that $\mathscr{G}$ is discrete. Let $i, j \geq 2$ be such that $q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$. Then*

$$z_{i,m}x_j = q_{1j}^m q_{ij}\, x_j z_{i,m} \qquad 0 \leq m \leq 2|a_i|. \tag{5.91}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, K^1 is a braided vector space of diagonal type with matrix $(p_{ks,\ell t})$. As $p_{im,j0}p_{j0,im} = q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$, the corresponding elements $z_{i,m}$ and x_j satisfy $\operatorname{ad}_c z_{i,m}x_j = 0$. □

Lemma 5.44. *Assume that the interaction is weak and that $\mathscr{G}$ is discrete. Let $i, j \geq 2$ be such that $q_{ij}q_{ji} = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_i \leq \mathscr{G}_j$ and $\mathcal{B}$ a quotient algebra of $T(V)$ such that* (5.91) *and $z_{i,2|a_i|+1} = z_{j,2|a_j|+1} = 0$ hold in $\mathcal{B}$. Then*

$$z_{i,m}z_{j,n} = p_{im,jn}\, z_{j,n}z_{i,m} \qquad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{5.92}$$

Proof. By induction on n. For $n = 0$, if $m \leq 2|a_i|$, then (5.92) holds by (5.91), while if $m > 2|a_i|$ then $z_{i,m} = 0$ since $z_{i,2|a_i|+1} = 0$.

Assume that (5.92) holds for a fixed n and all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By direct computation and inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}\, [z_{i,m}, z_{j,n}]_c &= \left[\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} z_{i,m}, z_{j,n}\right]_c + \epsilon^m q_{1i} z_{i,m} \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}(z_{j,n}) \\
&\quad - \epsilon^{mn} q_{1j}^m q_{i1}^n q_{ij} \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}(z_{j,n}) z_{i,m} \\
&= [z_{i,m+1}, z_{j,n}]_c + \epsilon^m q_{1i} \left(z_{i,m}z_{j,n+1} - \epsilon^{m(n+1)} q_{1j}^m q_{i1}^{n+1} q_{ij} z_{j,n+1}z_{i,m}\right) \\
&= \epsilon^m q_{1i}\, [z_{i,m}, z_{j,n+1}]_c\,,
\end{aligned}$$

so (5.92) holds for $n+1$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. □

Proposition 5.45. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger$, and relations $\mathcal{R}_J$, $J \in \mathcal{X}$,*

$$z_{i,m}x_j = q_{1j}^m q_{ij}\, x_j z_{i,m} \quad i, j \text{ not connected}, \ \mathscr{G}_i \leq \mathscr{G}_j,\ 0 \leq m \leq 2|a_i|. \tag{5.93}$$

The set $B = \left\{b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{|\mathcal{X}|} : b_0 \in B_0, b_i \in B_{J_i}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V)$ and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = 2 + \sum_{J\in\mathcal{X}} \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J)$.

Proof. We first prove that B is a basis of $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(V)$: it follows since $\mathcal{B} \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, see §5.2.1, and $K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \simeq \underline{\otimes}_{J\in\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{B}(K_J)$ by Corollary 5.5. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B} = 2 + \sum_{J\in\mathcal{X}} \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J)$ by computing the Hilbert series.

Relations $\mathcal{R}_J$ and (5.93) hold in $\mathcal{B}$ by previous Subsections and Lemma 5.43. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $x_1 I \subseteq I$, $x_{\frac{3}{2}} I \subseteq I$ since $x_1 b_0, x_{\frac{3}{2}} b_0$ belongs to the subspace spanned by B_0.

Let $i \geq 2$, $b_0 \in B_0$, $b_j \in B_{J_j}$. Then $i \in J_k$ for some k. If $\mathscr{G}_k \neq 0$, then

$$x_i b_0 \in \sum_{b_0' \in B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{2|a_i|} \Bbbk\, b_0' z_{i,n}, \qquad \text{using the relations in } \mathcal{R}_k.$$

If $\mathscr{G}_k = 0$, then $\Bbbk x_i b_0 = \Bbbk b_0 x_i$. In any case,

$$\begin{aligned} x_i b_0 b_1 \dots b_{|\mathcal{X}|} &\in \sum_{b_0' \in B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{2|a_i|} \Bbbk\, b_0' z_{i,n} b_1 \dots b_{|\mathcal{X}|} \\ &= \sum_{b_0' \in B_0} \sum_{n=0}^{2|a_i|} \Bbbk\, b_0' b_1 \dots b_{k-1} z_{i,n} b_k b_{k+1} \dots b_{|\mathcal{X}|} \\ &\subseteq \sum_{b_0' \in B_0} \sum_{b_k' \in B_k} \Bbbk\, b_0' b_1 \dots b_{k-1} b_k' b_{k+1} \dots b_{|\mathcal{X}|} \subseteq I \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.44 and the relations in $\mathcal{R}_k$. Thus $x_i I \subseteq I$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta^\dagger$. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}$ since B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}$. □

6. Two blocks

6.1. **The setting.** Let $\mathbb{I}_j^\ddagger = \{j, j+\frac{1}{2}\}$, $\mathbb{I}^\ddagger = \mathbb{I}_1^\ddagger \cup \mathbb{I}_2^\ddagger = \{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}\}$. Let $g_i \in \Gamma$, $\chi_i \in \widehat{\Gamma}$ and $\eta_i : \Gamma \to \Bbbk$ a (χ_i, χ_i)-derivation, $i \in \mathbb{I}_2$. Let $\mathcal{V}_{g_i}(\chi_i, \eta_i) \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ be the indecomposable with basis $(x_h)_{h \in \mathbb{I}_i^\ddagger}$ and action given by (3.1). Let

$$V = V_1 \oplus V_2, \qquad \text{where } V_i = \mathcal{V}_{g_i}(\chi_i, \eta_i), i \in \mathbb{I}_2.$$

Thus $(x_h)_{h \in \mathbb{I}^\ddagger}$ is a basis of V. Let

$$q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i), \qquad a_{ij} = q_{ij}^{-1}\eta_j(g_i), \qquad i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta.$$

We suppose that V is neither of diagonal type nor of the form *1 block & 2 points*, hence $a_{ii} \neq 0$, $i \in \mathbb{I}_2$; we may assume that $\eta_i(g_i) = 1$ by normalizing x_i. If $i \in \mathbb{I}_2$, then set $\mathscr{G}_i = \begin{cases} -2a_{ji}, & q_{ii} = 1, \\ a_{ji}, & q_{ii} = -1 \end{cases}$ where $j \neq i$. The *ghost* is $\mathscr{G} = (\mathscr{G}_1, \mathscr{G}_2)$. Thus $\mathscr{G}_1$ is the ghost of the braiding of the block 1 with the point 2, and vice versa for $\mathscr{G}_2$.

We seek to know when $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$. Since $\mathcal{B}(V_i \oplus \Bbbk_{g_j}^{\chi_j}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(V)$ for $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_2$, we may apply the results from §5, 6 and assume that

$$q_{ii}^2 = 1 \text{ hence } a_{ii} = q_{ii} =: \epsilon_i, \qquad q_{12}q_{21} \in \{\pm 1\}, \qquad \mathscr{G} \in \mathbb{N}_0^2. \tag{6.1}$$

Here is the main result of this Section.

Theorem 6.1. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$.
(2) $c^2_{|V_1 \otimes V_2} = \mathrm{id}$.

From what we have already explained, $c^2_{|V_1\otimes V_2} = \mathrm{id}$ implies that

$$\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_1) + \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_2) = 4.$$

So, let us assume that $c^2_{|V_1\otimes V_2} \neq \mathrm{id}$. Again, we can codify the situation in the language of braided vector spaces. With the previous conventions, the braiding is given in the basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}^\ddagger}$ by $(c(x_i\otimes x_j))_{i,j\in\mathbb{I}^\ddagger} =$

(6.2)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 x_1\otimes x_1 & (\epsilon_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\otimes x_1 & q_{12}x_2\otimes x_1 & q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2)\otimes x_1 \\ \epsilon_1 x_1\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}} & (\epsilon_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}} & q_{12}x_2\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}} & q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2)\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}} \\ q_{21}x_1\otimes x_2 & q_{21}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\otimes x_2 & \epsilon_2 x_2\otimes x_2 & (\epsilon_2 x_{\frac{5}{2}} + x_2)\otimes x_2 \\ q_{21}x_1\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}} & q_{21}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}} & \epsilon_2 x_2\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}} & (\epsilon_2 x_{\frac{5}{2}} + x_2)\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Conversely, given a braided vector space with a braiding (6.2) we may define principal realizations over abelian groups.

Here is our first reduction:

Lemma 6.2. *If $q_{12}q_{21} = -1$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. From the hypothesis, we conclude that $q_{11} = q_{22} = -1$. Consider the filtration given by the natural order of $\mathbb{I}^\ddagger$. Then $\operatorname{gr} V$ is of diagonal type, actually of affine Cartan type, hence Theorem 1.6 applies. □

Therefore we may assume that $q_{12}q_{21} = 1$ and consequently $\mathscr{G} \neq 0$. There are three alternatives:

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 1, \qquad \epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 = 1, \qquad \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -1.$$

We dispose of the first two alternatives in §6.2 and the third in §6.3, see Lemmas 6.4, 6.6 and 6.9. Altogether these give a proof of Theorem 6.1.

Before starting, a bit of notation. As in §4, let $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(V_1)}$; again

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c\mathcal{B}(V_1)(V_2) \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD},$$

with the coaction (4.6) and the adjoint action. We shall use as customary the derivations ∂_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}^\ddagger$. The next observation will be used often:

Remark 6.3. If $i \in \mathbb{I}^\ddagger$ and $\partial_i(x) = 0$, then $\partial_i(\operatorname{ad}_c x_i x) = 0$.

6.2. $\epsilon_1 = 1$**.** Here we deal with the alternatives $\epsilon_1 = 1$ and $\epsilon_2 = \pm 1$. We distinguish the cases when either $\mathscr{G}_2 = 0$, $\mathscr{G}_1 \geq 1$, see Lemma 6.4; or else $\mathscr{G}_2 \geq 1$, $\mathscr{G}_1 \geq 0$, see Lemma 6.6. In both situations, we introduce for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the following elements of K^1:

$$z_n = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^n x_2, \quad \text{ш}_{m,n} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)^m (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^n x_{\frac{5}{2}}, \quad w_n = \text{ш}_{0,n}. \tag{6.3}$$

The definition of z_n is consistent with (4.7). By definition, (4.8), and (4.13),

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1 z_n = 0, \qquad \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(z_n) = 0, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{6.4}$$

Also $\partial_2(z_n) \neq 0 \iff 0 \leq n \leq \mathscr{G}_1$ and the family $(z_n)_{0\leq n\leq \mathscr{G}_1}$ is linearly independent, by Remark 4.9.

By (3.5) $x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1^n = x_1^n x_{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{n}{2}x_1^{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1 \text{ш}_{m,n} = \text{ш}_{m+1,n}, \qquad \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ш}_{m,n} = \text{ш}_{m,n+1} - \frac{m}{2}\text{ш}_{m+1,n}, \tag{6.5}$$

Thus the z_n's and the $\text{ш}_{m,n}$'s generate K^1.

Case 1. $\mathscr{G}_2 = 0$, $\mathscr{G}_1 \geq 1$.

Lemma 6.4. *If* $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_2 = 0$ *and* $\mathscr{G}_1 \geq 1$, *then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

We need some preliminaries before the proof of Lemma 6.4.

Lemma 6.5. *(a) If* $m > 0$, *then* $\text{ш}_{m,n} = 0$. *Hence*

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(w_n) = 0, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{6.6}$$

(b) Let $\varpi_m = (-1)^m \prod_{0 \leq k \leq m-1}(a_{21} + \frac{k}{2})$. *We have for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\partial_1(w_n) = \partial_2(w_n) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_n) = 0; \qquad \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_n) = \varpi_n x_1^n; \tag{6.7}$$

$$g_1 \cdot w_n = q_{12} w_n, \qquad g_2 \cdot w_n = q_{21}^n(\epsilon_2 w_n + z_n). \tag{6.8}$$

(c) The elements $(z_n)_{0 \leq n \leq \mathscr{G}_1}$ *and* $(w_n)_{0 \leq n \leq \mathscr{G}_1}$ *form a basis of* K^1.

Proof. (a): By induction on n. Clearly, if $\text{ш}_{m,n} = 0$, then $\text{ш}_{m',n} = 0$ for all $m' \geq m$. Now $\text{ш}_{1,0} = \operatorname{ad}_c(x_1)x_{\frac{5}{2}} = 0$ because $\mathcal{B}(V_2 \oplus \Bbbk x_1) \simeq \mathcal{B}(V_2) \underline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(\Bbbk x_1)$. The recursive step follows because $\text{ш}_{m,n+1} = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} \text{ш}_{m,n} + \frac{m}{2}\text{ш}_{m+1,n}$ by (6.5). Now (6.6) follows from this and (6.5). (b): By definition, $\partial_1(w_n) = \partial_2(w_n) = 0$; while $\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_n) = 0$ by definition and Remark 6.3. Now $g_1 \cdot w_0 = q_{12} w_0$ hence

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 \cdot w_{n+1} &= g_1 \cdot (x_{\frac{3}{2}} w_n - q_{12} w_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}) = (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1) q_{12} w_n - q_{12}^2 w_n (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1) \\
&= q_{12} w_{n+1} + q_{12}(x_1 w_n - q_{12} w_n x_1) = q_{12}(w_{n+1} + \text{ш}_{1,n}) = q_{12} w_{n+1}; \\
\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_{n+1}) &= \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} w_n - q_{12} w_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}) = x_{\frac{3}{2}} \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_n) - q_{12} \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_n) g_2 \cdot x_{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&= x_{\frac{3}{2}} \varpi_n x_1^n - q_{12} q_{21} \varpi_n x_1^n (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1) \\
&= \varpi_n \left(x_{\frac{3}{2}} x_1^n - x_1^n x_{\frac{3}{2}} - a_{21} x_1^{n+1} \right) \overset{(3.5)}{=} -\varpi_n (\frac{n}{2} + a_{21}) x_1^{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next we compute $g_2 \cdot w_0 = g_2 \cdot x_{\frac{5}{2}} = \epsilon_2 x_{\frac{5}{2}} + x_2$, while

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2 \cdot w_{n+1} &= g_2 \cdot (x_{\frac{3}{2}} w_n - q_{12} w_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}) \\
&= q_{21}^{n+1} \left((x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1)(\epsilon_2 w_n + z_n) - q_{12}(\epsilon_2 w_n + z_n)(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1) \right) \\
&= q_{21}^{n+1} \Big(\epsilon_2 (x_{\frac{3}{2}} w_n - q_{12} w_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}) + (x_{\frac{3}{2}} z_n - q_{12} z_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}) \\
&\qquad + a_{21} \left(\epsilon_2 (x_1 w_n - q_{12} w_n x_1) + (x_1 z_n - q_{12} z_n x_1) \right) \Big) \\
&\overset{(6.4),(6.6)}{=} q_{21}^{n+1} (\epsilon_2 w_{n+1} + z_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we use that $\operatorname{ad}_c x_1 w_n = x_1 w_n - q_{12} w_n x_1$, by the last identity in (6.7). (c): first, $(z_n)_{0 \leq n \leq \mathscr{G}_1}$ and $(w_n)_{0 \leq n}$ generate K^1 by (a). Second, $w_n \neq 0 \iff 0 \leq n \leq \mathscr{G}_1$ by (b). Finally, since $\operatorname{gr} z_n = \operatorname{gr} w_n =$

$n+1$, it suffices to prove that $\{z_n, w_n\}$ for a fixed $0 \leq n \leq \mathscr{G}_1$ are linearly independent, what follows applying ∂_2 and $\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}$. □

Proof of Lemma 6.4. By Lemma 4.10, the coaction (4.6) on z_n and w_n, $0 \leq n \leq \mathscr{G}_1$, is given by (4.17), that read in the present context as follows:

$$\delta(z_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \nu_{k,n}\, x_1^{n-k} g_1^k g_2 \otimes z_k, \quad \delta(w_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \nu_{k,n}\, x_1^{n-k} g_1^k g_2 \otimes w_k. \tag{6.9}$$

Let $p_{ij} = q_{12}^i q_{21}^j \epsilon_2$, $0 \leq i, j \leq \mathscr{G}_1$. The braided vector space K^1 has braiding

$$\begin{aligned} c(z_i \otimes z_j) &= p_{ij} z_j \otimes z_i, & c(z_i \otimes w_j) &= p_{ij}(w_j + \epsilon_2 z_j) \otimes z_i, \\ c(w_i \otimes z_j) &= p_{ij} z_j \otimes w_i, & c(w_i \otimes w_j) &= p_{ij}(w_j + \epsilon_2 z_j) \otimes w_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then the braided vector subspace $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where $W_1 = \langle z_0, w_0 \rangle$ and $W_2 = \langle z_1 \rangle$, has braiding in the ordered basis z_0, w_0, z_1 given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2 z_0 \otimes z_0 & (\epsilon_2 w_0 + z_0) \otimes z_0 & p_{01} z_1 \otimes z_0 \\ \epsilon_2 z_0 \otimes w_0 & (\epsilon_2 w_0 + z_0) \otimes w_0 & p_{01} z_1 \otimes w_0 \\ p_{10} z_0 \otimes z_1 & p_{10}(w_0 + \epsilon_2 z_0) \otimes z_1 & \epsilon_2 z_1 \otimes z_1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.10}$$

That is, W_1 is an ϵ_2-block, W_2 is a point with label ϵ_2, the interaction is weak and $a = \epsilon_2$, cf. (4.1); thus $\mathscr{G} = \begin{cases} -2, & \epsilon_2 = 1, \\ -1, & \epsilon_2 = -1 \end{cases}$ is negative. Hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$ by Lemma 4.8. □

Case 2. $\mathscr{G}_2 \geq 1$, $\mathscr{G}_1 \geq 0$.

Lemma 6.6. *If* $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\mathscr{G}_2 \geq 1$ *and* $\mathscr{G}_1 \geq 0$, *then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

We need some preliminaries before the proof of Lemma 6.6. Let (μ_n) be the scalars defined in (4.11), with a_{21} in the place of a, and let $\kappa_n = (-1)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{i}{2} + a_{21} \right)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. It is easy to see that

$$\kappa_n = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k} \mu_n, \qquad \text{where } k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor. \tag{6.11}$$

Then (4.14) says in the present context that $\partial_2(z_n) = \kappa_n x_1^n$.

Lemma 6.7. *(a) If* $m > 1$, *then* $\text{ш}_{m,n} = 0$. *Hence for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(\text{ш}_{1,n}) = 0, \tag{6.12}$$

$$\text{ш}_{1,n+1} = [x_{\frac{3}{2}}, \text{ш}_{1,n}]_c. \tag{6.13}$$

(b) We have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$g_1 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,n} = q_{12} \text{ш}_{1,n}, \qquad g_2 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,n} = q_{21}^{n+1} \epsilon_2 \text{ш}_{1,n}; \tag{6.14}$$

$$\partial_1(\text{ш}_{1,n}) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(\text{ш}_{1,n}) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(\text{ш}_{1,}n) = 0; \tag{6.15}$$

$$\partial_2(\text{ш}_{1,n}) = \frac{a_{12} \kappa_{n+1}}{a_{21}} x_1^{n+1}. \tag{6.16}$$

In particular, if $\mathscr{G}_1 = 0$, *then* $\text{ш}_{1,n} \neq 0$ *for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

(c) *For every* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\partial_1(w_n) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_n) = 0$,

$$g_1 \cdot w_n = q_{12}(w_n + a_{12}z_n + n\text{ш}_{1,n-1}), \tag{6.17}$$

$$g_2 \cdot w_n = q_{21}^n(\epsilon_2 w_n + z_n + na_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,n-1}), \tag{6.18}$$

$$\partial_2(w_n) = \frac{a_{12}n\kappa_n}{a_{21}}x_1^{n-1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1), \tag{6.19}$$

$$\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_n) = \kappa_n x_1^n. \tag{6.20}$$

(d) *Assume that* $\mathscr{G}_1 > 0$. *The elements* $(z_n)_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$, $(w_n)_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$ *and* $(\text{ш}_{1,n})_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1-1}$ *form a basis of* K^1.

Proof. To start with (a), $\partial_1(\text{ш}_{1,0}) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(\text{ш}_{1,0}) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(\text{ш}_{1,0}) = 0$, while

$$\partial_2(\text{ш}_{1,0}) = -a_{12}x_1, \quad g_1 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,0} = q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,0}, \quad g_2 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,0} = q_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,0}. \tag{6.21}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(\text{ш}_{1,0}) &= \partial_2(x_1x_{\frac{5}{2}} - q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2)x_1) = -q_{12}a_{12}\partial_2(x_2x_1) = -a_{12}x_1;\\
g_1 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,0} &= q_{12}\left(x_1(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2) - q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + 2a_{12}x_2)x_1\right)\\
&= q_{12}\left(\text{ш}_{1,0} + a_{12}(x_1x_2 - q_{12}x_2x_1)\right) = q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,0};\\
g_2 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,0} &= q_{21}\left(x_1(\epsilon_2x_{\frac{5}{2}} + x_2) - q_{12}(\epsilon_2x_{\frac{5}{2}} + (1 + a_{12}\epsilon_2)x_2)x_1\right)\\
&= q_{21}\left(\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,0} + x_1x_2 - q_{12}x_2x_1\right) = q_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

However, $\text{ш}_{2,0} = 0$: clearly $\partial_1(\text{ш}_{2,0}) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(\text{ш}_{2,0}) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(\text{ш}_{2,0}) = 0$, while $\partial_2(\text{ш}_{2,0}) = \partial_2(x_1\text{ш}_{1,0} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,0}x_1) = -a_{12}x_1^2 + q_{12}a_{12}x_1q_{21}x_1 = 0$.

Hence $\text{ш}_{m,0} = 0$ for every $m \ge 2$ by definition, and (a) holds by (6.5). From this, again by (6.5), we get (6.13). Next we prove (6.14). Arguing recursively, since

$$\text{ш}_{1,n+1} = x_{\frac{3}{2}}\text{ш}_{1,n} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n}x_{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{6.22}$$

and $\text{ш}_{2,n} = x_1\text{ш}_{1,n} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n}x_1$ by the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,n+1} &= q_{12}\left((x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\text{ш}_{1,n} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\right)\\
&= q_{12}\left(\text{ш}_{1,n+1} + \text{ш}_{2,n}\right) = q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n+1};\\
g_2 \cdot \text{ш}_{1,n+1} &= q_{21}^{n+1}\epsilon_2\left((x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\text{ш}_{1,n} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\right)\\
&= q_{21}^{n+1}\epsilon_2\left(\text{ш}_{1,n+1} + a_{21}\text{ш}_{2,n}\right) = q_{21}^{n+1}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now (6.15) follows at once; while (6.16) for $n = 0$ is just (6.21). If (6.16) holds for n, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(\text{ш}_{1,n+1}) &= \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}}\text{ш}_{1,n} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n}x_{\frac{3}{2}})\\
&= \frac{a_{12}\kappa_{n+1}}{a_{21}}\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}}x^{n+1} - x^{n+1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\overset{(3.5)}{=} \frac{a_{12}\kappa_{n+1}}{a_{21}}\left(-\frac{n+1}{2}x_1^{n+2} - a_{21}x_1^{n+2}\right) = \frac{a_{12}\kappa_{n+2}}{a_{21}}x_1^{n+2}.$$

Then the last statement in (b) follows. To start with (c), observe that $\partial_1(w_1) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_1) = 0$, and *a fortiori* $\partial_1(w_n) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_n) = 0$ recursively, while

$$g_1 \cdot w_1 = q_{12}(w_1 + a_{12}z_1 + \text{ш}_{1,0}), \quad g_2 \cdot w_1 = q_{21}(\epsilon_2 w_1 + z_1 + a_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,0});$$
$$\partial_2(w_1) = -a_{12}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1), \qquad \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_1) = -a_{21}x_1.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot w_1 &= q_{12}\left((x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2) - q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + 2a_{12}x_2)(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\right) \\ &= q_{12}\left(w_1 + a_{12}(x_1x_2 - q_{12}x_2x_1) + a_{12}z_1 + \text{ш}_{1,0}\right); \\ g_2 \cdot w_1 &= q_{21}\Big((x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)(\epsilon_2 x_{\frac{5}{2}} + x_2) \\ &\qquad - q_{12}(\epsilon_2 x_{\frac{5}{2}} + (1 + a_{12}\epsilon_2)x_2)(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\Big) \\ &= q_{21}\left(\epsilon_2 w_1 + a_{21}(x_1x_2 - q_{12}x_2x_1) + z_1 + a_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,0}\right); \end{aligned}$$

also,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2(w_1) &= \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{5}{2}} - q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2)x_{\frac{3}{2}}) = -q_{12}a_{12}\partial_2(x_2x_{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &= -a_{12}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1); \\ \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_1) &= \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{5}{2}} - q_{12}x_{\frac{5}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}}) = x_{\frac{3}{2}} - q_{12}q_{21}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Next, assume that (6.17) holds for n. Then

$$w_{n+1} = x_{\frac{3}{2}}w_n - q_{12}(w_n + a_{12}z_n + n\text{ш}_{1,n-1})x_{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 \cdot w_{n+1} &= g_1 \cdot \left(x_{\frac{3}{2}}w_n - q_{12}(w_n + a_{12}z_n + n\text{ш}_{1,n-1})x_{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\ &= q_{12}\Big((x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)(w_n + a_{12}z_n + n\text{ш}_{1,n-1}) \\ &\qquad -q_{12}(w_n + 2a_{12}z_n + 2n\text{ш}_{1,n-1})(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\Big) \\ &= q_{12}\big(w_{n+1} + a_{12}z_{n+1} + n(x_{\frac{3}{2}} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n-1}x_{\frac{3}{2}}) + x_1w_n - q_{12}w_nx_1 \\ &\qquad + a_{12}(x_1z_n - q_{12}z_nx_1) + n(x_1\text{ш}_{1,n-1} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{1,n-1}x_1)\big), \end{aligned}$$

and (6.17) follows because $[x_1, z_n]_c = 0 = \text{ш}_{2,n}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 \cdot w_{n+1} &= g_2 \cdot \left(x_{\frac{3}{2}}w_n - q_{12}(w_n + a_{12}z_n + n\text{ш}_{1,n-1})x_{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\ &= q_{21}^{n+1}\Big((x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)(\epsilon_2 w_n + z_n + na_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,n-1}) \\ &\qquad -q_{12}(\epsilon_2 w_n + z_n + na_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,n-1} + a_{12}\epsilon_2 z_n + n\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,n-1})(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\Big) \\ &= q_{21}^{n+1}\left(\epsilon_2 w_{n+1} + z_{n+1} + (n+1)a_{21}\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{1,n} + a_{21}[x_1, z_n]_c + na_{21}^2\epsilon_2\text{ш}_{2,n-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and (6.18) follows because $[x_1, z_n]_c = 0 = \text{ш}_{2,n-1}$. We check (6.19) for $n = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_2(w_2) &= \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}}w_1 - q_{12}(w_1 + a_{12}z_1 + \text{ш}_{1,0})x_{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &= x_{\frac{3}{2}}\partial_2(w_1) - \partial_2(w_1 + a_{12}z_1 + \text{ш}_{1,0})(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) = -a_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) \\ &- \left(-a_{12}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) - a_{12}a_{21}x_1 - a_{12}x_1\right)(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) \\ &= a_{12}\left(-x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_{\frac{3}{2}} + (2a_{21}+1)x_1\right)(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Set $P_n = \frac{n\kappa_n}{a_{21}}$. If (6.19) holds for n, then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_2(w_{n+1}) &= a_{12}P_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1^{n-1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) \\ &- \left(a_{12}P_n x_1^{n-1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) + a_{12}\kappa_n x_1^n + n\frac{a_{12}\kappa_n}{a_{21}}x_1^n\right)(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) \\ &= -a_{12}\left(P_n(\tfrac{n-1}{2} + a_{21}) + \kappa_n + \frac{n\kappa_n}{a_{21}}\right)x_1^n(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) \\ &= -\frac{a_{12}\kappa_n}{a_{21}}\left(n(\tfrac{n-1}{2} + a_{21}) + a_{21} + n\right)x_1^n(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1) \\ &= a_{12}P_{n+1}x_1^n(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1).\end{aligned}$$

Next we deal with (6.20); the case $n = 1$ was already settled. If (6.20) holds for n, then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_{n+1}) &\overset{\heartsuit}{=} \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(x_{\frac{3}{2}}w_n - q_{12}w_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}) \\ &= \kappa_n\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}}x^n - x^n(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\right) \\ &\overset{(3.5)}{=} \kappa_n\left(-\frac{n}{2}x_1^{n+1} - a_{21}x_1^{n+1}\right) = \kappa_{n+1}x_1^{n+1};\end{aligned}$$

here $\heartsuit$ holds because $\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(z_n) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(\text{ш}_{1,n-1}) = 0$. Hence (c) is proved.

For (d), notice that $z_{\mathscr{G}_1+1} = w_{\mathscr{G}_1+1} = \text{ш}_{\mathscr{G}_1} = 0$ since $\kappa_{\mathscr{G}_1+1} = 0$. Thus $(z_n)_{0\le n\le\mathscr{G}_1}$, $(w_n)_{0\le n\le\mathscr{G}_1}$ and $(\text{ш}_{1,n})_{0\le n\le\mathscr{G}_1-1}$ span the vector space K^1. To prove that these elements are linearly independent, it suffices to consider elements of the same degree, z_n, w_n and $\text{ш}_{1,n-1}$. We use now ∂_2, $\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}$ and that $\kappa_n \neq 0$ for $n \le \mathscr{G}_1$. □

We now compute the coaction (4.6) given by $\delta = (\pi_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\otimes\text{id})\Delta_{\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}$. By Lemma 4.10, this is given by (6.9) on z_n, $0 \le n \le \mathscr{G}_1$.

Lemma 6.8. *The coaction* (4.6) *of* $K^1 \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ *is determined by* (6.9),

$$\delta(\text{ш}_{1,n}) = \sum_{h=0}^{n} A_{h,n}\, x_1^{n-h}g_1^{h+1}g_2 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,h} - a_{12}B_{h,n}\, x_1^{n+1-h}g_1^h g_2 \otimes z_h, \tag{6.23}$$

$$\delta(w_n) = g_1^n g_2 \otimes w_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{a}_j \otimes w_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{b}_j \otimes z_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \mathrm{c}_j \otimes \text{ш}_{1,j}, \tag{6.24}$$

where $\mathrm{a}_j, \mathrm{b}_j \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ *have degree* $n-j$, $\mathrm{c}_j \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ *has degree* $n-j-1$, *and*

$$A_{h,n} = \binom{n}{h}\frac{\kappa_{n+1}}{\kappa_{h+1}}, \qquad B_{h,n} = \binom{n}{h}\frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_h}. \tag{6.25}$$

Proof. Since $\text{ш}_{1,0} = x_1 x_{\frac{5}{2}} - q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2)x_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\text{ш}_{1,0}) &= (x_1\otimes 1 + g_1\otimes x_1)(g_2\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}}) - q_{12}(g_2\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}})(x_1\otimes 1 + g_1\otimes x_1)\\ &\quad - q_{12}a_{12}(g_2\otimes x_2)(x_1\otimes 1 + g_1\otimes x_1) = x_1g_2\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}} + g_1g_2\otimes x_1x_{\frac{5}{2}}\\ &\quad - q_{12}\left(g_2x_1\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}} + g_2g_1\otimes x_{\frac{5}{2}}x_1 + a_{12}g_2x_1\otimes x_2 + a_{12}g_1g_2\otimes x_2x_1\right)\\ &= g_1g_2\otimes \text{ш}_{1,0} - a_{12}x_1g_2\otimes z_0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $A_{0,0} = 1 = B_{0,0}$. Now we assume that (6.23) holds for n; hence

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\text{ш}_{1,n+1}) &\overset{(6.22)}{=} (x_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1 + g_1\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}})\\ &\quad\times\sum_{h=0}^{n}\left(A_{h,n}\,x_1^{n-h}g_1^{h+1}g_2\otimes \text{ш}_{1,h} - a_{12}B_{h,n}\,x_1^{n+1-h}g_1^{h}g_2\otimes z_h\right)\\ &\quad - q_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n}\left(A_{h,n}\,x_1^{n-h}g_1^{h+1}g_2\otimes \text{ш}_{1,h} - a_{12}B_{h,n}\,x_1^{n+1-h}g_1^{h}g_2\otimes z_h\right)\\ &\quad\times(x_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes 1 + g_1\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}}) = I + II + III + IV + V + VI + VII + VIII,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I &= \sum_{h=0}^{n} A_{h,n}\,x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1^{n-h}g_1^{h+1}g_2\otimes \text{ш}_{1,h},\\ II &= \sum_{h=0}^{n} A_{h,n}\,g_1x_1^{n-h}g_1^{h+1}g_2\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}}\text{ш}_{1,h},\\ III &= -a_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} B_{h,n}\,x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1^{n+1-h}g_1^{h}g_2\otimes z_h,\\ IV &= -a_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} B_{h,n}\,g_1x_1^{n+1-h}g_1^{h}g_2\otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}}z_h,\\ V &= -q_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} A_{h,n}\,x_1^{n-h}g_1^{h+1}g_2x_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes \text{ш}_{1,h},\\ VI &= -q_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} A_{h,n}\,x_1^{n-h}g_1^{h+2}g_2\otimes \text{ш}_{1,h}x_{\frac{3}{2}},\\ VII &= a_{12}q_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} B_{h,n}\,x_1^{n+1-h}g_1^{h}g_2x_{\frac{3}{2}}\otimes z_h,\end{aligned}$$

$$VIII = a_{12}q_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} B_{h,n}\, x_1^{n+1-h} g_1^{h+1} g_2 \otimes z_h x_{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Then by a direct computation using (3.5), we have

$$I + V = -\sum_{h=0}^{n} A_{h,n}(a_{21} + \tfrac{n+h+2}{2})\, x_1^{n+1-h} g_1^{h+1} g_2 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,h};$$

$$II + VI = \sum_{h=0}^{n} A_{h,n}\, x_1^{n-h} g_1^{h+2} g_2 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,h+1};$$

$$III + VII = a_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} B_{h,n}(a_{21} + \tfrac{n+h+1}{2})\, x_1^{n+2-h} g_1^{h} g_2 \otimes z_h;$$

$$IV + VIII = -a_{12}\sum_{h=0}^{n} B_{h,n}\, x_1^{n+1-h} g_1^{h+1} g_2 \otimes z_{h+1}.$$

Hence

$$I + V + II + VI = \sum_{h=0}^{n+1} A_{h,n+1}\, x_1^{n+1-h} g_1^{h+1} g_2 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,h},$$

$$III + VII + IV + VIII = \sum_{h=0}^{n+1} B_{h,n+1}\, x_1^{n+2-h} g_1^{h} g_2 \otimes z_h,$$

where $A_{n+1,n+1} = A_{n,n}$, $B_{n+1,n+1} = B_{n,n}$

$$A_{h,n+1} = A_{h-1,n} - A_{h,n}(a_{21} + \tfrac{n+h+2}{2}),\ A_{0,n+1} = -A_{0,n}(a_{21} + \tfrac{n+2}{2}); \tag{6.26}$$

$$B_{h,n+1} = B_{h-1,n} - B_{h,n}(a_{21} + \tfrac{n+h+1}{2}),\ B_{0,n+1} = -B_{0,n}(a_{21} + \tfrac{n+1}{2}). \tag{6.27}$$

From $A_{0,0} = 1 = B_{0,0}$, (6.26) and (6.27), (6.25) follows by induction.

For (6.24), note that $\delta(w_n) \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma \otimes K^1$. As $(z_n)_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$, $(w_n)_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$ and $(\text{ш}_{1,n})_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1 - 1}$ form a basis of K^1 by Lemma 6.7 and δ is a graded map,

$$\delta(w_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathrm{a}_j \otimes w_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathrm{b}_j \otimes z_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{c}_j \otimes \text{ш}_{1,j},$$

for some $\mathrm{a}_j, \mathrm{b}_j \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ of degree $n-j$ and $\mathrm{c}_j \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ of degree $n-j-1$. It remains to prove that $\mathrm{a}_n = g_1^n g_2$, $\mathrm{b}_n = \mathrm{c}_{n-1} = 0$, which follows by induction. □

Proof of Lemma 6.6. Set $N = \mathscr{G}_1 = -2a_{21}$. If $x \in \{w_N, z_N, \text{ш}_{1,N-1}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c(x\otimes w_N) &= g_1^N g_2 \cdot w_N \otimes x = q_{21}^N g_1^N \cdot (\epsilon_2 w_N + z_N + Na_{21}\epsilon_2 \text{ш}_{1,N-1}) \otimes x \\ &= q_{12}^N q_{21}^N (\epsilon_2 w_N + (1+\epsilon_2 N a_{12}) z_N + N(N + a_{21}\epsilon_2)\text{ш}_{1,N-1}) \otimes x \\ &= (\epsilon_2 w_N + (1 - 2\epsilon_2 a_{21} a_{12}) z_N - 2a_{21}^2(\epsilon_2 - 2)\text{ш}_{1,N-1}) \otimes x, \\ c(x\otimes z_N) &= g_1^N g_2 \cdot z_N \otimes x = q_{12}^N q_{21}^N \epsilon_2 z_N \otimes x = \epsilon_2 z_N \otimes x, \end{aligned}$$

$c(x\otimes ш_{1,N-1}) = g_1^N g_2 \cdot ш_{1,N-1}\otimes x = \epsilon_2 ш_{1,N-1}\otimes x.$

by Lemmas 6.7 and 6.8. Set $y_N = (1-2\epsilon_2 a_{21}a_{12})z_N - 2a_{21}^2(\epsilon_2-2)ш_{1,N-1}$. Then $W = \langle y_N, w_N, z_N\rangle$ is a 3-dimensional braided vector subspace of K^1, with braiding in the ordered basis y_N, w_N, z_N given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_2 y_N\otimes y_N & (\epsilon_2 w_N + y_N)\otimes y_N & \epsilon_2 z_N\otimes y_N \\ \epsilon_2 y_N\otimes w_N & (\epsilon_2 w_N + y_N)\otimes w_N & \epsilon_2 z_N\otimes w_N \\ \epsilon_2 y_N\otimes z_N & \epsilon_2(w_N+\epsilon_2 y_N)\otimes z_N & \epsilon_2 z_N\otimes z_N \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.28}$$

Hence $W = W_1\oplus W_2$, where $W_1 = \langle y_N, w_N\rangle$ and $W_2 = \langle z_N\rangle$, W_1 is an ϵ_2-block, W_2 is a point with label ϵ_2, the interaction is weak and $a = \epsilon_2$, cf. (4.1); thus $\mathscr{G} = \begin{cases} -2, & \epsilon_2 = 1, \\ -1, & \epsilon_2 = -1 \end{cases}$ is negative, and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$ by Lemma 4.8. □

6.3. $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -1$.

Lemma 6.9. *If $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = -1$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

We consider again the scalars (μ_n) defined in (4.11), with a_{21} in the place of a. As in Remark 4.12, set $y_{2k} = x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^k$, $y_{2k+1} = x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^k$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. Then

$$\mu_{n+1}y_{n+1} = \mu_n\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}}y_n - (-1)^n y_n(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\right) \quad \text{for all } n\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{6.29}$$

It follows by the proof of Lemma 4.7.

We introduce for all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $a\in\mathbb{Z}/2$, the following elements of K^1:

$$z_n = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^n x_2, \qquad ш_{a,m,n} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)^a(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}1})^m(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^n x_{\frac{5}{2}}. \tag{6.30}$$

The definition of z_n is consistent with (4.7), hence (6.4) holds and the family $(z_n)_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$ is linearly independent. Moreover (4.14) says in the present context that $\partial_2(z_n) = \mu_n y_n$. We pick some of the $ш_{a,m,n}$'s:

$$w_n := ш_{0,0,n}, \qquad ж_n := ш_{1,0,n-1}, \qquad ю_n := ш_{0,1,n-1}. \tag{6.31}$$

By (3.8) and (3.10), $x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}1} = x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}1}$ and $x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_1 = x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_1 = x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}1}$. By (4.9), $x_{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^n = x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^n x_{\frac{3}{2}} + n x_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^n$. Thus

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1 ш_{a,m,n} = ш_{a+1,m,n}, \quad \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} ш_{0,m,n} = ш_{0,m,n+1} + n ш_{1,m,n}, \tag{6.32}$$

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}1} ш_{a,m,n} = ш_{a,m+1,n}, \quad \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} ш_{1,m,n} = ш_{0,m+1,n} - ш_{1,m,n+1}. \tag{6.33}$$

Thus the z_n's and the $ш_{a,m,n}$'s generate K^1.

Lemma 6.10. *(a) If $a+m>1$, then $ш_{a,m,n} = 0$. Hence for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}(ж_n) = ю_n - ж_{n+1}, \qquad \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}(ю_n) = ю_{n+1}. \tag{6.34}$$

(b) We have for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $ю_n = 2ж_{n+1}$. Hence,

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_1(w_n) = ж_{n+1}, \qquad \operatorname{ad}_c x_1(ж_n) = 0; \tag{6.35}$$

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_n) = w_{n+1}, \qquad \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}(ж_n) = ж_{n+1}. \tag{6.36}$$

(c) We have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$g_1 \cdot \text{ж}_n = (-1)^n q_{12} \text{ж}_n, \qquad g_2 \cdot \text{ж}_n = -q_{21}^n \text{ж}_n; \tag{6.37}$$

$$\partial_1(\text{ж}_n) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(\text{ж}_n) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(\text{ж}_n) = 0; \tag{6.38}$$

$$\partial_2(\text{ж}_n) = \frac{a_{12}\mu_n}{a_{21}} y_n. \tag{6.39}$$

In particular, if $\mathscr{G}_1 = 0$*, then* $\text{ж}_n \neq 0$ *for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

(d) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\partial_1(w_n) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_n) = 0$,

$$g_1 \cdot w_n = (-1)^n q_{12}(w_n + a_{12} z_n - n\text{ж}_n), \tag{6.40}$$

$$g_2 \cdot w_n = -q_{21}^n (w_n - z_n + n a_{21} \text{ж}_n), \tag{6.41}$$

$$\partial_2(w_n) = \frac{a_{12} n \mu_n}{a_{21}} y_{n-1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1), \tag{6.42}$$

$$\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_n) = \mu_n \, y_n. \tag{6.43}$$

(e) Assume that $\mathscr{G}_1 > 0$. *The elements* $(z_n)_{0 \le n \le 2\mathscr{G}_1}$, $(w_n)_{0 \le n \le 2\mathscr{G}_1}$ *and* $(\text{ж}_n)_{1 \le n \le 2\mathscr{G}_1}$ *form a basis of* K^1.

Proof. To start with (a), we notice that

$$(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}1})x = x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x - x_1(g_1 \cdot x)x_1 - (g_1^2 \cdot x)x_{\frac{3}{2}1}, \qquad x \in \mathcal{B}(V). \tag{6.44}$$

Hence,

$$\text{ш}_{0,1,0} = x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_{\frac{5}{2}} - q_{12}x_1x_{\frac{5}{2}}x_1 - q_{12}^2(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + 2a_{12}x_2)x_{\frac{3}{2}1}.$$

As $\partial_1(x_{\frac{3}{2}1}) = x_1$, $\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(x_{\frac{3}{2}1}) = \partial_2(x_{\frac{3}{2}1}) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(x_{\frac{3}{2}1}) = 0$, we have $\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(\text{ш}_{0,1,0}) = 0$,

$$\partial_1(\text{ш}_{0,1,0}) = x_1(g_1 \cdot x_{\frac{5}{2}}) - q_{12} g_1 \cdot (x_{\frac{5}{2}}x_1) - q_{12}x_1x_{\frac{5}{2}} - q_{12}^2(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + 2a_{12}x_2)x_1 = 0;$$

$$\partial_2(\text{ш}_{0,1,0}) = -2a_{12}q_{12}^2q_{21}^2x_{\frac{3}{2}1} = -2a_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}1};$$

$$\partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(\text{ш}_{0,1,0}) = x_{\frac{3}{2}1} - q_{12}q_{21}x_1^2 - q_{12}^2q_{21}^2x_{\frac{3}{2}1} = 0.$$

By direct computation, $g_1 \cdot \text{ш}_{0,1,0} = q_{12}\text{ш}_{0,1,0}$. Hence,

$$\text{ш}_{1,1,0} = x_1\text{ш}_{0,1,0} - q_{12}\text{ш}_{0,1,0}x_1.$$

Thus $\partial_i(\text{ш}_{1,1,0}) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\ddagger}$, so $\text{ш}_{1,1,0} = 0$. Now,

$$\text{ш}_{0,2,0} = x_{\frac{3}{2}1}\text{ш}_{0,1,0} - q_{12}x_1\text{ш}_{0,1,0}x_1 - q_{12}^2\text{ш}_{0,1,0}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}.$$

Hence $\partial_i(\text{ш}_{0,2,0}) = 0$ for $i = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$. Also,

$$\partial_1(\text{ш}_{0,1,0}) = q_{12}x_1\text{ш}_{0,1,0} + q_{12}^2\text{ш}_{0,1,0}x_1 - q_{12}x_1\text{ш}_{0,1,0} - q_{12}^2\text{ш}_{0,1,0}x_1 = 0;$$

$$\partial_2(\text{ш}_{0,1,0}) = -2a_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^2 - x_1x_{\frac{3}{2}1}x_1 + 2a_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}^2 = 0.$$

Thus $\text{ш}_{0,2,0} = 0$. We claim that $\text{ш}_{1,1,n} = \text{ш}_{0,2,n} = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$; the case $n = 0$ was already settled. If this holds for n, then

$$0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}\text{ш}_{1,1,n} = \text{ш}_{0,2,n} - \text{ш}_{1,1,n+1},$$

$$0 = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}\text{ш}_{0,2,n} = \text{ш}_{0,2,n+1} + n\,\text{ш}_{1,2,n} = \text{ш}_{0,2,n+1} + n\,\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}1}\text{ш}_{1,1,n},$$

by (6.32) and (6.33), so $ш_{1,1,n+1} = ш_{0,2,n+1} = 0$.

Finally we prove that $ш_{a,m,n} = 0$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $a = 0, 1$ such that $a + m > 1$ by induction on $a + m$. The case $a + m = 2$ was already settled, and the inductive step follows since by (6.32) and (6.33),

$$ш_{a+1,m,n} = \operatorname{ad}_c x_1 ш_{a,m,n}, \qquad ш_{a,m+1,n} = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}1} ш_{a,m,n}.$$

Finally (6.34) is a direct consequence of (6.32) and (6.33).

As $ю_1 = ш_{0,1,0}$, we have already seen that

$$\partial_1(ю_1) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(ю_1) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(ю_1) = 0, \partial_2(ю_1) = -2a_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}.$$

As $\partial_1(ж_1) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(ж_1) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(ж_1) = 0$, $\partial_2(ж_1) = -a_{12}x_1$, we have

$$\partial_1(ж_2) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(ж_2) = \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(ж_2) = 0, \qquad \partial_2(ж_2) = -a_{12}x_{\frac{3}{2}1}.$$

Hence $ю_1 = 2ж_2$. If $ю_n = 2ж_{n+1}$, then

$$ю_{n+1} = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} ю_n = 2 \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} ж_{n+1} = 2\,(ю_{n+1} - ж_{n+2})$$

by (6.34), and we prove the inductive step. Now (6.35) and (6.36) follow by (6.32) and (6.33) and the previous equality. This ends the proof of (b).

To start with (c),

$$g_1 \cdot ж_1 = g_1 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_1 x_{\frac{5}{2}} = -\operatorname{ad}_c x_1 \big(q_{12}(x_{\frac{5}{2}} + a_{12}x_2)\big) = -q_{12}ж_1,$$
$$g_2 \cdot ж_1 = g_2 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_1 x_{\frac{5}{2}} = q_{21} \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}1}\big(-x_{\frac{5}{2}} + x_2\big) = -q_{21}ж_1.$$

so (6.37) holds for $n = 1$. If (6.37) holds for n, then

$$g_1 \cdot ж_{n+1} = g_1 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} ж_n = (-1)^n q_{12} \operatorname{ad}_c(-x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)ж_n = (-1)^{n+1} q_{12} ж_{n+1},$$
$$g_2 \cdot ж_{n+1} = g_2 \cdot \operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}} ж_n = -q_{21}^{n+1} \operatorname{ad}_c(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)ж_n = -q_{21}^{n+1} ж_{n+1}.$$

The cases $n = 1, 2$ of (6.38) were already settled, while the recursive step follows from (6.36). The cases $n = 1, 2$ of (6.39) were also settled. If (6.39) holds for n, then

$$\partial_2(ж_{n+1}) = \partial_2\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}} ж_n - (-1)^n q_{12} ж_n x_{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$$
$$= \frac{a_{12}\mu_n}{a_{21}}\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}} y_n - (-1)^n y_n (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1)\right) \overset{(6.29)}{=} \frac{a_{12}\mu_{n+1}}{a_{21}} y_{n+1}.$$

Next we prove (d). Note that $\partial_1(w_1) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_1) = 0$, and *a fortiori* $\partial_1(w_n) = \partial_{\frac{3}{2}}(w_n) = 0$ recursively. Now we compute

$$g_1 \cdot w_1 = -q_{12}(w_1 + a_{12}z_1 - ж_1), \qquad \partial_2(w_1) = -a_{12}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21}x_1),$$
$$g_2 \cdot w_1 = -q_{21}(w_1 - z_1 + a_{21}ж_1), \qquad \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_1) = -a_{21}x_1.$$

Assume that (6.40) holds for n. Then

$$g_1 \cdot w_{n+1} = g_1 \cdot \left(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}\right) w_n$$
$$= (-1)^n q_{12} \left(\operatorname{ad}_c(-x_{\frac{3}{2}} + x_1)\right)(w_n + a_{12}z_n - nж_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^n q_{12}\left(-w_{n+1} - a_{12} z_{n+1} + n\text{ж}_{n+1}\right.\\
&\qquad \left. + \text{ж}_{n+1} + a_{12}(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1) z_n - n(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1)\text{ж}_n\right)\\
&= (-1)^{n+1} q_{12}(w_{n+1} + a_{12} z_{n+1} - (n+1)\text{ж}_{n+1}\\
&\qquad - a_{12}(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1) z_n + n(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1)\text{ж}_n),
\end{aligned}$$

and (6.40) follows because $(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1) z_n = (\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1)\text{ж}_n = 0$. Similarly, for (6.41),

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2 \cdot w_{n+1} &= -q_{21}^{n+1}\left(\mathrm{ad}_c(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1)\right)(w_n - z_n + n a_{21}\text{ж}_n)\\
&= -q_{21}^{n+1}\left(w_{n+1} - z_{n+1} + n a_{21}\text{ж}_{n+1}\right.\\
&\qquad \left. + a_{21}\text{ж}_{n+1} - a_{21}(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1) z_n + n a_{21}^2 (\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_1)\text{ж}_n\right)\\
&= -q_{21}^{n+1}\left(w_{n+1} - z_{n+1} + (n+1) a_{21}\text{ж}_{n+1}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\partial_2(w_1) = -a_{12}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1)$, so (6.42) holds for $n = 1$ since $y_0 = 1$. If (6.42) holds for n, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2(w_{n+1}) &= \partial_2\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}} w_n - (-1)^n q_{12}(w_n + a_{12} z_n - n\text{ж}_n) x_{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\\
&= \frac{a_{12}\mu_n}{a_{21}}\left(n\, x_{\frac{3}{2}} y_{n-1} - (-1)^n\left(n\, y_{n-1}(x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1) + a_{21} y_n - n\, y_n\right)\right)\\
&\qquad \times (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1) \overset{(6.29)}{=} \frac{(n+1) a_{12}\mu_{n+1}}{a_{21}} y_n (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now $\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_1) = -a_{21} x_1$, so (6.43) holds for $n = 1$. If (6.43) holds for n, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}(w_{n+1}) &= \partial_{\frac{5}{2}}\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}} w_n - (-1)^n q_{12}(w_n + a_{12} z_n - n\text{ж}_n) x_{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\\
&= \mu_n\left(x_{\frac{3}{2}} y_n - (-1)^n y_n (x_{\frac{3}{2}} + a_{21} x_1)\right) \overset{(6.29)}{=} \mu_{n+1} y_{n+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (e), notice that $z_{2\mathscr{G}_1+1} = w_{2\mathscr{G}_1+1} = \text{ж}_{2\mathscr{G}_1+1} = 0$ since $\mu_{2\mathscr{G}_1+1} = 0$. Thus $(z_n)_{0\le n\le 2\mathscr{G}_1}$, $(w_n)_{0\le n\le 2\mathscr{G}_1}$ and $(\text{ж}_n)_{1\le n\le 2\mathscr{G}_1}$ span the vector space K^1. To prove that these elements are linearly independent, it suffices to consider elements of the same degree, z_n, w_n and ж_n. We use now ∂_2, $\partial_{\frac{5}{2}}$ and that $\kappa_n \neq 0$ for $n \le 2\mathscr{G}_1$. □

We now compute the coaction (4.6) given by $\delta = (\pi_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma} \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta_{\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}$. By Lemma 4.10, this is given by (6.9) on z_n, $0 \le n \le \mathscr{G}_1$.

Lemma 6.11. *The coaction* (4.6) *of* $K^1 \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ *is given by* (6.9),

$$\delta(w_n) = g_1^n g_2 \otimes w_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{a}_j \otimes w_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{b}_j \otimes z_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{c}_j \otimes \text{ж}_j, \tag{6.45}$$

$$\delta(\text{ж}_n) = g_1^n g_2 \otimes \text{ж}_n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_j \otimes w_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_j \otimes z_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_j \otimes \text{ж}_j, \tag{6.46}$$

for some $\mathrm{a}_j, \mathrm{b}_j, \mathrm{c}_j, \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_j, \widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_j, \widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_j \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ *of degree* $n - j$.

Proof. Analogous to (6.24). Indeed, $\delta(w_n), \delta(ж_n) \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma \otimes K^1$. As $(z_n)_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$, $(w_n)_{0\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$ and $(ж_n)_{1\le n\le \mathscr{G}_1}$ form a basis of K^1 by Lemma 6.10 and δ is a graded map,

$$\delta(w_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathrm{a}_j \otimes w_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathrm{b}_j \otimes z_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{c}_j \otimes ж_j,$$
$$\delta(ж_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_j \otimes w_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_j \otimes z_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_j \otimes ж_j,$$

for some $\mathrm{a}_j, \mathrm{b}_j, \mathrm{c}_j, \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_j, \widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_j, \widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_j \in \mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma$ of degree $n-j$. We claim that

$$\mathrm{a}_n = \widetilde{\mathrm{c}}_n = g_1^n g_2, \qquad \mathrm{b}_n = \mathrm{c}_n = \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}_n = \widetilde{\mathrm{b}}_n = 0.$$

The proof is direct, by induction on n. □

Proof of Lemma 6.9. Set $N = 2\mathscr{G}_1 = 2a_{21}$. If $x \in \{w_N, z_N, ж_N\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c(x \otimes w_N) &= g_1^N g_2 \cdot w_N \otimes x = -q_{21}^N g_1^N \cdot (w_N - z_N + Na_{21} ж_N) \otimes x \\ &= -(-1)^N q_{12}^N q_{21}^N (w_N + (Na_{12} - 1) z_N + N(a_{21} - N) ж_N) \otimes x \\ &= (-w_N + (1 - 2a_{21}a_{12}) z_N + 2a_{21}^2 ж_N) \otimes x, \\ c(x \otimes z_N) &= g_1^N g_2 \cdot z_N \otimes x = -(-1)^N q_{12}^N q_{21}^N z_N \otimes x = -z_N \otimes x, \\ c(x \otimes ж_N) &= g_1^N g_2 \cdot ж_N \otimes x = -(-1)^N q_{12}^N q_{21}^N ж_N \otimes x = -ж_N \otimes x. \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 6.10 and 6.11. Set $y_N = (1 - 2a_{21}a_{12}) z_N + 2a_{21}^2 ж_N$. Then $W = \langle y_N, w_N, z_N \rangle$ is a 3-dimensional braided vector subspace of K^1, with braiding in the ordered basis y_N, w_N, z_N given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -y_N \otimes y_N & (-w_N + y_N) \otimes y_N & -z_N \otimes y_N \\ -y_N \otimes w_N & (-w_N + y_N) \otimes w_N & -z_N \otimes w_N \\ -y_N \otimes z_N & -(w_N - y_N) \otimes z_N & -z_N \otimes z_N \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.47}$$

Hence $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$, where $W_1 = \langle y_N, w_N \rangle$ and $W_2 = \langle z_N \rangle$, W_1 is an -1-block, W_2 is a point with label -1, the interaction is weak and $a = -1$, cf. (4.1); thus $\mathscr{G} = -1$ is negative, and $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$ by Lemma 4.8. □

7. Several blocks, several points

7.1. **Notations.** Let $t, \theta \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 \le t < \theta$. We fix the notation on the braided vector spaces we will consider in this Section:

$$\mathbb{I}_k^{\ddagger} = \{k, k + \tfrac{1}{2}\}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{I}_t; \tag{7.1}$$
$$\mathbb{I}^{\ddagger} = \mathbb{I}_1^{\ddagger} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbb{I}_t^{\ddagger} \cup \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}; \tag{7.2}$$
$$g_i \in \Gamma,\ \chi_i \in \widehat{\Gamma}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta; \tag{7.3}$$
$$\eta_k : \Gamma \to \Bbbk \text{ a } (\chi_k, \chi_k)\text{-derivation}, \ \eta_k(g_k) = 1, \qquad k \in \mathbb{I}_t. \tag{7.4}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$, we set $V_k = \mathcal{V}_{g_k}(\chi_k, \eta_k) \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ with basis $(x_h)_{h \in \mathbb{I}_k^\ddagger}$ and action

$$\gamma \cdot x_k = \chi_k(\gamma) x_k, \qquad \gamma \cdot x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \chi_k(\gamma) x_{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \eta_k(\gamma) x_k, \qquad \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{7.5}$$

For $i \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$ we set $V_i = \Bbbk^{\chi_i}_{g_i}$. Then we set

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t \oplus V_{t+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_\theta, \quad c_{ij} = c_{|V_i \otimes V_j} : V_i \otimes V_j \to V_j \otimes V_i. \tag{7.6}$$

Thus $(x_h)_{h \in \mathbb{I}^\ddagger}$ is a basis of V. Let

$$q_{ij} = \chi_j(g_i), \qquad i, j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta; \qquad a_{ik} = q_{ik}^{-1} \eta_k(g_i), \qquad k \in \mathbb{I}_t,\ i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta.$$

We want to know when $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$. Thence, we may assume that

(A) $q_{kk}^2 = 1$ hence $a_{kk} = q_k =: \epsilon_k$, $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$, see §3;
(B) $q_{kl} q_{lk} = 1$, $a_{kl} = 0$, $k \neq l \in \mathbb{I}_t$, by §6. Hence

$$x_i x_j = q_{\lfloor i \rfloor \lfloor j \rfloor} x_j x_i, \qquad i, j \in \mathbb{I}^\ddagger, \lfloor i \rfloor \neq \lfloor j \rfloor. \tag{7.7}$$

(C) $\widetilde{q}_{ik} := q_{ik} q_{ki} = \pm 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$, $i \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$ by Lemma 4.5.
(D) $\mathscr{G}_{ik} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$, $i \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$ by Lemmas 4.8 and 4.29, where

$$\mathscr{G}_{ik} := \begin{cases} -2a_{ik}, & \epsilon_k = 1, \\ a_{ik}, & \epsilon_k = -1. \end{cases}$$

(E) The corresponding flourished diagram is connected, see §1.3.

7.2. **Several blocks, one point.** Here we assume that $\theta = t + 1$. Let

$$a_k := a_{\theta\, k} = q_{\theta\, k}^{-1} \eta_k(g_\theta), \qquad \mathscr{G}_k = \mathscr{G}_{\theta\, k}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{I}_t.$$

Theorem 7.1. *Let V be a braided vector space as in* (7.6) *with $\theta = t + 1$. We keep all the assumptions in §7.1. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$.
(2) $\mathscr{G}_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\widetilde{q}_{k\,\theta} = 1$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$, *and* $\epsilon_\theta^2 = 1$.

If this happens, then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V)$ *is computed by* (7.17).

Assume that $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$. Then $\widetilde{q}_{k\,\theta} \in \{\pm 1\}$ by Lemma 4.5. Also $a_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\epsilon_\theta \in \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$ by §4. Observe that $a_k \neq 0$; otherwise, the block k would be disconnected to θ and to all other blocks. Here is our first reduction:

Lemma 7.2. *If there is $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$ such that $\widetilde{q}_{k\,\theta} = -1$; then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. It is enough to deal with $k = 1$. By Theorem 4.1, we may assume $q_{11} = q_{\theta\,\theta} = -1$.

Suppose $\widetilde{q}_{2\,\theta} = -1$. Consider the filtration given by the natural order of $\mathbb{I}^\ddagger$. Then $\operatorname{gr} V$ is of diagonal type, and the Dynkin subdiagram spanned by $\mathbb{I}_1^\ddagger \cup \mathbb{I}_2^\ddagger \cup \{\theta\}$ is of affine Cartan type $D_4^{(1)}$, hence Theorem 1.6 applies.

Suppose $\widetilde{q}_{2\,\theta} = 1$. Let $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(V_2)}$ and $V' = \oplus_{j \neq 2} V_j$. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K \# \mathcal{B}(V_2); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_2)(V') \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_2)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_2)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD},$$

with coaction (4.6) and the adjoint action, cf. §4.1.4. Let $z_0 = x_\theta$, $z_1 = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{5}{2}})x_\theta$. Notice that $z_1 \neq 0$ since $a_2 \neq 0$, and x_1, $x_{\frac{3}{2}}$, z_0, z_1 are linearly independent. Let U be the subspace of K^1 spanned by x_1, $x_{\frac{3}{2}}$, z_0, z_1. As

$$\delta(z_0) = g_3 \otimes z_0, \qquad \delta(z_1) = g_2 g_3 \otimes z_1 + x_2 g_3 \otimes z_0$$

and $(\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)x_i = 0$, $i = 1, \frac{3}{2}$, U is a braided vector subspace of type *1 block and 2 points with mild interaction*, so $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Lemma 5.32. Thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$. □

By the previous Lemma, we may assume that $\widetilde{q}_{k\,\theta} = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$, i.e. all blocks have weak interaction with the point θ. Once again, we consider a suitable decomposition of V, namely $V = W \oplus V_\theta$ where $W = \oplus_{1 \leq j \leq t} V_j$. Then $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(W)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K \# \mathcal{B}(W); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(W)(V_\theta) \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}.$$

In order to analyze the braided vector space K^1, we introduce the elements

$$\text{(7.8)} \qquad \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} := (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})^{n_1} \dots (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{t+\frac{1}{2}})^{n_t} x_\theta, \qquad \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_t) \in \mathbb{N}_0^t.$$

Below, $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{I}_t}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^t$. Let $j \in \mathbb{I}_t$. As in (3.3), we denote $x_{j+\frac{1}{2}\,j} = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} x_j - \epsilon_j x_j x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$. We define recursively a family $(\mu_n^{(j)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ as in (4.11) for $a = a_j$, $\epsilon = \epsilon_j$, and the following elements, see Remark 4.12:

$$\text{(7.9)} \qquad y_j^{\langle n \rangle} := \begin{cases} x_j x_{j+\frac{1}{2}\,j}^m, & n = 2m+1 \text{ odd;} \\ x_{j+\frac{1}{2}\,j}^m, & n = 2m \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that $\{y_j^{\langle n \rangle} x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^m : m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(V_j)$. This is clear when $\epsilon_j = -1$; for $\epsilon_j = 1$, it follows since $y_j^{\langle n \rangle} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k} x_j^n$, $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. This basis allows a unified notation in Proposition 7.7 below.

Lemma 7.3. *(a) Let $j \in \mathbb{I}_t$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_t) \in \mathbb{N}_0^t$. Then*

$$\text{(7.10)} \quad \operatorname{ad}_c x_j(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}) = \operatorname{ad}_c x_{j+\frac{1}{2}\,j}(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}) = 0,$$

$$\text{(7.11)} \qquad \operatorname{ad}_c x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}) = \prod_{i<j} q_{ji}^{n_i}\, \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}+e_j};$$

$$\text{(7.12)} \qquad g_j \cdot \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} = q_{j\,\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{ji}^{n_i}\, \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}, \qquad g_\theta \cdot \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} = \epsilon_\theta \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{\theta\,i}^{n_i} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}};$$

$$\text{(7.13)} \qquad \partial_j(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}) = \partial_{j+\frac{1}{2}}(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}) = 0; \qquad \partial_\theta(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}) = \prod_{j=1}^{t} \mu_{n_j}^{(j)}\, y_1^{\langle n_1 \rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t \rangle}.$$

(b) Let $\mathcal{A} := \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^t : 0 \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{a}\}$, where $\mathbf{a} = (2|a_1|, \dots 2|a_t|)$. The elements $(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}}$ form a basis of K^1.

If $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^t$, then $\mathbf{k} \leq \mathbf{n}$ means $0 \leq k_j \leq n_j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{I}_t$.

Proof. For (7.10), we use (7.7) and (4.8):

$$\text{(7.14)}\quad \operatorname{ad}_c x_j(ш_{\mathbf{n}}) = \prod_{i<j} q_{ji}^{n_i} \prod_{i>j} q_{ji}^{n_i(n_j+1)} \left(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{n_1} \dots x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{n_{j-1}} x_{j+\frac{3}{2}}^{n_{j+1}} \dots x_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^{n_t}\right) (\operatorname{ad}_c x_j)(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})^{n_j} x_\theta = 0;$$

the other equality follows analogously, and (7.11) is a consequence of (7.7). For the first equality in (7.12) we use the first one of (4.8) and the assumption $a_{ij} = 0$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}_t$. For the second equality in (7.12) we use the second one of (4.9) and (7.10). For (7.13) we argue recursively on $N = \sum n_i$. The first equality follows using Remark 6.3. The second for $N = 0$ follows at once. Let $ш = ш_{\mathbf{n}}$, $j := \min\{i : n_i \neq 0\}$, so we can write

$$ш = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{ш} - q\, \widetilde{ш} x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad q = q_{j\,\theta} \epsilon_j \prod_{i=j}^{t} q_{ji}^{n_i}, \qquad \widetilde{ш} = ш_{0,\dots,n_j-1,n_{j+1},\dots,n_t}.$$

Then we make a computation similar to that in Lemma 4.7:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\theta(ш) &= x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \partial_\theta(\widetilde{ш}) - q q_{\theta j} \partial_\theta(\widetilde{ш})(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + a_j\, x_j) \\
&= \prod_{i=j}^{t} \mu_{n_i}^{(i)} \left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} y_j^{\langle n_j\rangle} - \epsilon_j^{n_j-1} y_j^{\langle n_j\rangle} x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) y_{j+1}^{\langle n_{j+1}\rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t\rangle} \\
&= \mu_{n_j+1}^{(j)} \prod_{i=j+1}^{t} \mu_{n_i}^{(i)} y_j^{\langle n_j+1\rangle} y_{j+1}^{\langle n_{j+1}\rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t\rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b): By (a) the elements $ш_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^t$, generate K_1. By (7.13), $ш_{\mathbf{n}} \neq 0$ iff $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}$; and $(ш_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A}}$ is linearly independent since its image by ∂_θ is so. □

Lemma 7.4. *The coaction* (4.6) *on* $ш_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^t$, *is given by*

$$\text{(7.15)}\qquad \delta(ш_{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{0\le\mathbf{k}\le\mathbf{n}} \nu_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}}\, y_1^{\langle n_1-k_1\rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t-k_t\rangle} g_1^{k_1} \dots g_t^{k_t} g_\theta \otimes ш_{k_1,\dots,k_t}$$

for some scalars $\nu_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}}$, $0 \le \mathbf{k} \le \mathbf{n}$, *where* $\nu_{\mathbf{n}}^{\mathbf{n}} = 1$.

Proof. We argue recursively on $N = \sum n_i$ as in the proof of (7.13). The statement for $N = 0$ follows at once. Let $ш = ш_{0,\dots,0,n_j+1,n_{j+1},\dots,n_t}$, so

$$ш = x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \widetilde{ш} - q\, \widetilde{ш} x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \text{for } q = q_{j\,\theta} \prod_{i=j}^{t} q_{ji}^{n_i},\ \widetilde{ш} = ш_{0,\dots,0,n_j,n_{j+1},\dots,n_t}.$$

We may assume $j = 1$. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(ш) &= (x_{\frac{3}{2}} \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}}) \delta(\widetilde{ш}) - q\, \delta(\widetilde{ш})(x_{\frac{3}{2}} \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_{\frac{3}{2}}) \\
&= \sum_{0\le\mathbf{k}\le\mathbf{n}} \nu_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}} \left(x_{\frac{3}{2}} y_1^{\langle n_1-k_1\rangle} - y_1^{\langle n_1-k_1\rangle} (\epsilon_1 x_{\frac{3}{2}} + (a_1 + \epsilon_1 k_1) x_1\right) \times \\
&\qquad \times y_2^{\langle n_2-k_2\rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t-k_t\rangle)} g_1^{k_1} \dots g_t^{k_t} g_\theta \otimes ш_{k_1,\dots,k_t}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{0\le\mathbf{k}\le\mathbf{n}} \nu_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{1i}^{n_i-k_i}\, y_1^{\langle n_1-k_1\rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t-k_t\rangle} g_1^{k_1+1} \dots g_t^{k_t} g_\theta \otimes \left(x_{\frac{3}{2}}\, \text{ш}_{k_1,\dots,k_t} - q_{1\,\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{1i}^{k_i} \text{ш}_{k_1,\dots,k_t} x_{\frac{3}{2}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{0\le\mathbf{k}\le\mathbf{n}} \nu_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}}\, y_1^{\langle n_1+1-k_1\rangle} y_2^{\langle n_2-k_2\rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t-k_t\rangle} g_1^{k_1} \dots g_t^{k_t} g_\theta \otimes \text{ш}_{k_1,\dots,k_t}$$
$$+ \nu_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{1i}^{n_i-k_i}\, y_1^{\langle n_1-k_1\rangle} \dots y_t^{\langle n_t-k_t\rangle} g_1^{k_1+1} \dots g_t^{k_t} g_\theta \otimes \text{ш}_{k_1+1,\dots,k_t}.$$

Thus we obtain the recursive formula for

$$\nu_{n_1+1,k_2\dots,k_t}^{n_1+1,\dots,n_t} = \nu_{n_1,k_2\dots,k_t}^{n_1,\dots,n_t} \prod_{i=2}^{t} q_{1i}^{n_i-k_i} \qquad \nu_{0,k_2,\dots,k_t}^{n_1+1,\dots,n_t} = \nu_{0,k_2,\dots,k_t}^{n_1,\dots,n_t},$$

$$\nu_{k_1,\dots,k_t}^{n_1+1,\dots,n_t} = \nu_{k_1,\dots,k_t}^{n_1,\dots,n_t} + \nu_{k_1-1,\dots,k_t}^{n_1,\dots,n_t} \epsilon_1^{n_1-k_1+1} \prod_{i=2}^{t} q_{1i}^{n_i-k_i}, \qquad 1 \le k_i \le n_i.$$

In particular, $\nu_{n_1+1,\dots,n_t}^{n_1+1,\dots,n_t} = \nu_{n_1,\dots,n_t}^{n_1,\dots,n_t} = 1$. □

Lemma 7.5. *The braided vector space K^1 is of diagonal type with respect to the basis $(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A}}$ with braiding matrix $(p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A}}$, where*

$$p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} = \epsilon_\theta \prod_{i,j=1}^{t} q_{ij}^{m_i n_j} q_{i\,\theta}^{m_i} q_{\theta\,j}^{n_j}. \tag{7.16}$$

Hence, the corresponding generalized Dynkin diagram has labels

$$p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}} = \epsilon_1^{m_1} \cdots \epsilon_t^{m_t} \epsilon_\theta, \qquad p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}} = \epsilon_\theta^2, \qquad \mathbf{m} \ne \mathbf{n}.$$

Proof. We compute

$$c(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}) = g_1^{m_1} \dots g_t^{m_t} g_\theta \cdot \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}$$
$$= \epsilon_\theta \prod_{i,j=1}^{t} q_{ij}^{m_i n_j} q_{i\,\theta}^{m_i} q_{\theta\,j}^{n_j} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}$$

by Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4. Now we compute

$$p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}} = \epsilon_\theta \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{ii}^{m_i^2} (q_{i\,\theta} q_{\theta\,i})^{m_i} = \epsilon_1^{m_1} \cdots \epsilon_t^{m_t} \epsilon_\theta,$$

$$p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}} p_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{m}} = \epsilon_\theta^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{ii}^{2m_i n_i} (q_{i\,\theta} q_{\theta\,i})^{m_i+n_i} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t} (q_{ij} q_{ji})^{m_i n_j} \right) = \epsilon_\theta^2,$$

since the interaction is weak and $\epsilon_k^2 = 1$ if $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$. □

End of the proof of Theorem 7.1. If $\epsilon_\theta \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, then we may assume $\epsilon_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{I}_t$. In this case the Dynkin diagram of K^1 contains a subdiagram of affine Cartan type $A_2^{(1)}$, so $\operatorname{GKdim} K = \infty$.

If $\epsilon_\theta^2 = 1$, then the braiding corresponds to a quantum plane where the labels of the vertices are given by $p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}} \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus

$$\operatorname{GKdim} K = |\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A} : p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}} = 1\}|. \tag{7.17}$$

□

7.3. **The Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G})$.** Here we present the Nichols algebras of finite GKdim from the previous Subsection. Let $t \geq 2$ and $\theta = t + 1$; we keep the notation from §7.1. We fix

- $\mathbf{q} \in \Bbbk^{\theta \times \theta}$ such that $\epsilon_i := q_{ii} = \pm 1$, $q_{ij} q_{ji} = 1$ for all $i \neq j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$.
- $\mathscr{G} = (\mathscr{G}_j) \in \mathbb{N}^t$, (a_j) such that $\mathscr{G}_j = \begin{cases} -2a_j, & \epsilon_j = 1, \\ a_j, & \epsilon_j = -1; \end{cases}$ cf. (4.3).

Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G})$ be the braided vector space with basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}^\ddagger}$ and braiding

$$c(x_i \otimes x_j) = \begin{cases} q_{ij}\, x_j \otimes x_i, & \lfloor i \rfloor \leq t,\ \lfloor i \rfloor \neq \lfloor j \rfloor, \\ \epsilon_j\, x_j \otimes x_i, & \lfloor i \rfloor = j \leq t, \\ (\epsilon_j\, x_j + x_{\lfloor j \rfloor}) \otimes x_i, & \lfloor i \rfloor \leq t,\ j = \lfloor i \rfloor + \frac{1}{2}, \\ q_{\theta j}\, x_j \otimes x_\theta, & i = \theta,\ j \in \mathbb{I}_\theta, \\ q_{\theta j}\, (x_j + a_j x_{\lfloor j \rfloor}) \otimes x_\theta, & i = \theta,\ j \notin \mathbb{I}_\theta. \end{cases} \tag{7.18}$$

The braided vector spaces in Theorem 7.1 with finite GKdim have this shape.

The following relations hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$, see e.g. §4.3:

$$x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} x_i - x_i x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} x_i^2 = 0, \qquad i \in \mathbb{I}_t,\ \epsilon_i = 1; \tag{7.19}$$

$$x_i^2 = 0,\ x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} x_{i+\frac{1}{2}\, i} - x_{i+\frac{1}{2}\, i} x_{i+\frac{1}{2}} - x_i x_{i+\frac{1}{2}\, i} = 0, \qquad i \in \mathbb{I}_t,\ \epsilon_i = -1; \tag{7.20}$$

$$x_i x_j = q_{ij}\, x_j x_i, \qquad \lfloor i \rfloor \neq \lfloor j \rfloor \in \mathbb{I}_t; \tag{7.21}$$

$$x_i x_\theta = q_{i\theta}\, x_\theta x_i, \qquad i \in \mathbb{I}_t; \tag{7.22}$$

$$(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{i+\frac{1}{2}})^{1+|2a_i|}(x_\theta) = 0, \qquad i \in \mathbb{I}_t. \tag{7.23}$$

We denote by $\mathrm{m}_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^t$, the element defined by (7.8) in $T(V)$ or some quotient of $T(V)$. Let $\mathcal{A} := \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^t : 0 \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{a} = (2|a_1|, \dots 2|a_t|)\}$. Let $p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}$ be defined by (7.16) and $\epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} := \epsilon_\theta \prod_{i=1}^t \epsilon_i^{n_i}$.

Let W be a braided vector space of diagonal type with braiding matrix $(p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}}$. Then $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is presented by generators $w_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ and relations

$$w_{\mathbf{m}} w_{\mathbf{n}} = p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\, w_{\mathbf{n}} w_{\mathbf{m}} \qquad \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}; \qquad w_{\mathbf{n}}^2 = 0, \qquad \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}, \epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = -1.$$

We order $\mathcal{A}$ lexicographically. Then a basis of $\mathcal{B}(W)$ is:

$$B_W = \Big\{ \prod_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}} w_{\mathbf{n}}^{b_{\mathbf{n}}} : 0 \leq b_{\mathbf{n}} < 2 \text{ if } \epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = -1 \Big\}.$$

Remark 7.6. By Lemma 7.5, K^1 is isomorphic to W as braided vector spaces. Hence there is an isomorphism of braided Hopf algebras $\psi : \mathcal{B}(W) \to K$ such that $\psi(w_{\mathbf{n}}) = \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}$; and the following identities hold in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$:

$$\text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} = p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\,\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}\text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}} \qquad \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}; \tag{7.24}$$

$$\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}^2 = 0, \qquad \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A},\ \epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = -1; \tag{7.25}$$

and the following set is a basis of K:

$$B_K = \Big\{ \prod_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A}} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}^{b_{\mathbf{n}}} :\ 0 \leq b_{\mathbf{n}} < 2 \text{ if } \epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = -1 \Big\}.$$

Recall the definition of $y_j^{\langle n \rangle}$ in (7.9) for $j \in \mathbb{I}_t$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proposition 7.7. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$ is presented by generators x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}^{\ddagger}$, and relations* (7.19), (7.20), (7.21), (7.22), (7.23), (7.24), (7.25). *A basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$ and the* GKdim *are given by*

$$B = \big\{ y_1^{\langle m_1 \rangle} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \dots y_t^{\langle m_{2t-1} \rangle} x_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^{m_{2t}} \prod_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A}} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}^{b_{\mathbf{n}}} : 0 \leq b_{\mathbf{n}} < 2 \text{ if } \epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = -1, \\ b_{\mathbf{n}}, m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ if } \epsilon_{\mathbf{n}} = 1,\ i \in \mathbb{I}_t \big\},$$

$$\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G})) = 2t + |\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A} : p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}} = 1\}|.$$

Proof. We first prove that B is a basis of $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$: since $\mathcal{B} \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(V_1) \simeq \otimes_{i=1}^t \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_{g_i}(\chi_i, \eta_i))$, the claim follows from Remark 5.9 and Propositions 3.5, 3.4. The formula for the $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}$ follows by computing the Hilbert series.

Relations (7.19), (7.20), (7.21), (7.22), (7.23), (7.24), (7.25) hold in $\mathcal{B}$ as we have discussed already. Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(V)$ by these relations projects onto $\mathcal{B}$.

We claim that the subspace I spanned by B is a left ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Indeed, $x_j I \subseteq I$ and $x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} I \subseteq I$ for all $j \in \mathbb{I}_t$ by (7.19), (7.20), (7.21). It remains to prove that $x_\theta I \subseteq I$. By (7.21), (7.22), (7.23),

$$y_j^{\langle m_j \rangle} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} = q_{j\theta}^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{ji}^{m_j n_i}\, \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} y_j^{\langle m_j \rangle},$$

$$x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} = q_{j\theta}^{m_j} \prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{ji}^{m_j n_i}\, \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}} x_{j+\frac{1}{2}} + \prod_{i<j} q_{ji}^{n_i}\, \text{ш}_{n_1,\dots,n_j+1,\dots,n_t},$$

$$\text{ш}_{n_1,\dots,|2a_j|+1,\dots,n_t} = 0.$$

As $\text{ш}_{0,\dots,0} = x_\theta$, from the previous equations we have that

$$x_\theta y_1^{\langle m_1 \rangle} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \dots y_t^{\langle m_{2t-1} \rangle} x_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^{m_{2t}} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}^{b_{\mathbf{n}}} \\ \in \sum_{t_i=0}^{\min\{m_{2i}, |2a_i|\}} y_1^{\langle m_1 \rangle} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2 - t_1} \dots y_t^{\langle m_{2t-1} \rangle} x_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^{m_{2t} - t_t} \text{ш}_{t_1,\dots,t_t} \text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}}^{b_{\mathbf{n}}}.$$

Using this fact, (7.24) and (7.25) we conclude that

$$x_\theta y_1^{\langle m_1\rangle} x_{\frac{3}{2}}^{m_2} \dots y_t^{\langle m_{2t-1}\rangle} x_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^{m_{2t}} \amalg_{\mathbf{n}}^{b_{\mathbf{n}}} \in I,$$

and the claim follows. Since $1 \in I$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is spanned by B. Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}$ since B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}$. □

If $\epsilon_i = -1$ at least one i, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$ is not a domain. Conversely,

Proposition 7.8. *If $\mathbf{q}_{ii} = \epsilon_i = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}_\theta$, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$ is a domain.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.17: Consider the algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ generated by X_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}^\ddagger$ and $(\amalg_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A}}$ with defining relations (7.19), (7.21), (7.22), (7.23), (7.24) and (7.11) (with X_i in the place of x_i and $\amalg_{\mathbf{n}}$ in the place of $\amalg_{\mathbf{n}}$). Clearly the assignments $X_i \leftrightarrow x_i$ and $\amalg_{\mathbf{n}} \leftrightarrow \amalg_{\mathbf{n}}$ provide an algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$. Consider the filtration of $\mathfrak{B}$ where $\deg X_i = 0$, $i \in \mathbb{I}_t$, and all the other defining generators having degree 1. We claim that $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{B}$ is presented by $(\overline{X}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}^\ddagger}$, $(\overline{\amalg}_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathcal{A}}$ with the relations

$$\overline{X}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\overline{X}_i = \overline{X}_i\overline{X}_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{I}_t, \tag{7.26}$$

$$\overline{X}_i\overline{X}_j = q_{ij}\,\overline{X}_j\overline{X}_i, \qquad \lfloor i\rfloor \neq \lfloor j\rfloor \in \mathbb{I}_t; \tag{7.27}$$

$$\overline{X}_j\overline{\amalg}_{\mathbf{n}} = q_{k\,\theta}\prod_{i=1}^{t} q_{ki}^{n_i}\,\overline{\amalg}_{\mathbf{n}}\overline{X}_j, \qquad j \in \mathbb{I}_k^\ddagger, \quad k \in \mathbb{I}_t; \tag{7.28}$$

$$\overline{\amalg}_{\mathbf{m}}\overline{\amalg}_{\mathbf{n}} = p_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}}\,\overline{\amalg}_{\mathbf{n}}\overline{\amalg}_{\mathbf{m}} \qquad \mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{7.29}$$

Indeed, the algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ with the mentioned presentation admits a surjective algebra homomorphism onto $\operatorname{gr}\mathfrak{B}$. But $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a quantum polynomial ring, hence it has a PBW-basis analogous to B above and the claim follows. Now $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a domain, hence so is $\mathfrak{B} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{q}, \mathscr{G}))$. □

7.4. **Several blocks, several points.** Let V be a braided vector space as in (7.6) with $\theta = t + N$, $N \geq 2$; and $t \geq 2$ as always in this Section. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of connected components of V_{diag}. We keep all the assumptions in §7.1. Here is our first reduction.

Lemma 7.9. *Assume that there are $k \neq \ell \in \mathbb{I}_t$ (two blocks), $J \in \mathcal{X}$ with $|J| \geq 2$ and $i \in J$ such that $c_{ik}c_{ki} \neq \mathrm{id}$, $c_{i\ell}c_{\ell i} \neq \mathrm{id}$. Then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. By assumption, there is $j \in \mathbb{I}_{t+1,\theta}$, $i \neq j$ with $q_{ij}q_{ji} \neq 1$. By Theorem 4.11, we may assume that $a_{ik}, a_{i\ell} \neq 0$. It is enough to consider the case $t = N = 2$: we may set $k = 1$, $\ell = 2$, $i = 3$, $j = 4$. Let $q = q_{34}q_{43} \neq 1$. By Lemma 7.2, $q_{13}q_{31} = q_{23}q_{32} = 1$; that is, the interaction is weak. We may assume $q_{14}q_{41} = q_{24}q_{42} = 1$, with $\mathscr{G}_{41}, \mathscr{G}_{42} \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We fix the decomposition $V = W \oplus W'$, where $W = V_1 \oplus V_2$, $W' = V_3 \oplus V_4$. Then $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(W)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(W); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_{\mathrm{c}}\mathcal{B}(W)(W') \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}.$$

By Lemma 7.5, $\text{ш}_{0,0} := x_3$, $\text{ш}_{1,0} := (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})x_3$, $\text{ш}_{0,1} := (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{5}{2}})x_3$ and $\text{ш}_{1,1} := (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})(\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{5}{2}})x_3$ are linearly independent elements of K^1; the coaction and the braiding of these elements are given by (7.15) and (7.16). Let U be the subspace of K^1 spanned by $\text{ш}_{0,0}$, $\text{ш}_{1,0}$, $\text{ш}_{0,1}$, $\text{ш}_{1,1}$ and x_4. As $y_i^{\langle n\rangle} \cdot x_4 = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $\delta(x_4) = g_4 \otimes x_4$, we have that

$$c(\text{ш}_{m,n} \otimes x_4) = g_1^m g_2^n g_3 \cdot x_4 \otimes \text{ш}_{m,n} = q_{14}^m q_{24}^n q_{34}\, x_4 \otimes \text{ш}_{m,n},$$
$$c(x_4 \otimes \text{ш}_{m,n}) = g_4 \cdot \text{ш}_{m,n} \otimes x_4 = q_{41}^m q_{42}^n q_{43}\, \text{ш}_{m,n} \otimes x_4,$$

so U is a braided vector subspace of K^1, whose braiding is of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram



Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ either by Lemma 2.8 if some $p_{(i,j),(i,j)} = 1$, or else by Hypothesis 1.7; thus $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$. □

Lemma 7.10. *Assume that there are $k \neq \ell \in \mathbb{I}_t$ (two blocks), $J \in \mathcal{X}$ with $|J| \geq 2$ and $i \neq j \in J$ such that $c_{ik}c_{ki} \neq \mathrm{id}$, $c_{j\ell}c_{\ell j} \neq \mathrm{id}$. Then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. For simplicity, we may assume that $t = 2$; that V_{diag} is connected, i.e. $J = \mathbb{I}_{3,\theta}$, $\theta \geq 4$; and that $k = 1$, $\ell = 2$, $i = 3$, $j = \theta$. Finally we assume $c_{1\theta}c_{\theta 1} = \mathrm{id}$, $c_{23}c_{32} = \mathrm{id}$, c.f. Lemma 7.9.

Step 1. $q_{13}q_{31} = q_{2\theta}q_{\theta 2} = -1$.

As $V_1 \oplus \big(\oplus_{3 \leq h \leq \theta} V_h \big)$ is a braided vector subspace of V, we may assume $\theta = 4$, $q_{33} = q_{34}q_{43} = q_{44} = -1$, cf. Theorem 5.2. We consider the flag of braided subspaces: $0 = \mathcal{V}_0 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{V}_2 = V$, where $\mathcal{V}_1$ is spanned by $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{I}_4}$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}} := \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}(V)$, a pre-Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$, see §3.4. The Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$ has vertices $\{1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, 3, 4\}$ and edges as follows:



Hence $\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}$ is of Cartan type $D_5^{(1)}$, so $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}^{\text{diag}}) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.6, and consequently $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Step 2. $q_{13}q_{31} = -1$ and $q_{2\theta}q_{\theta 2} = 1$.

As $V_1 \oplus \big(\oplus_{3\le h\le\theta} V_h\big)$ is a braided vector subspace of V, again we may assume $\theta = 4$, $q_{33} = q_{34}q_{43} = q_{44} = -1$. We fix the decomposition $V = W \oplus W'$, where $W = V_2$, $W' = V_1 \oplus V_3 \oplus V_4$. Then $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(W)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(W); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(W)(W') \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}.$$

Set $x_5 = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{5}{2}})x_4 \neq 0$. Then the subspace U spanned by $V_1 = \langle x_1, x_{\frac{3}{2}}\rangle$, x_3, x_4, x_5 is a braided vector subspace of K^1, with a decomposition *one block plus three points*, where the block is V_1, with mild interaction with x_3, and x_3, x_4, x_5 is a connected component of the diagonal part, with diagram $\overset{-1}{\underset{4}{\circ}} \overset{\quad -1 \quad}{\text{———}} \overset{-1}{\underset{3}{\circ}} \overset{\quad -1 \quad}{\text{———}} \overset{-1}{\underset{5}{\circ}}$. Hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Theorem 5.2, so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Step 3. $q_{13}q_{31} = q_{2\theta}q_{\theta 2} = 1$, $a_{13} \neq 0$, $a_{2\theta} \neq 0$.

We fix the decomposition $V = W \oplus W'$, where $W = V_1 \oplus V_2$, $W' = V_{\text{diag}}$. Then $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(W)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(W); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(W)(W') \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(W)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}.$$

The subspace U of K^1 spanned by $z = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{3}{2}})x_3$, $z' = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_{\frac{5}{2}})x_\theta$ and x_h, $h \in \mathbb{I}_{3,\theta}$, is a braided vector subspace of diagonal type of dimension N whose Dynkin diagram has either two ramifications for $N > 2$, or is a 4-cycle for $N = 2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(U) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7, and consequently $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$. □

We are now ready to complete the proof of the main result of this monograph. Let V be a braided vector space as in(7.6); since $2 \le t$, it is not of diagonal type (the case $t = 1$ is settled in §5). Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the flourished graph of V.

Theorem 7.11. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is admissible, then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is admissible, all assumptions in §7.1 are valid. Let

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t, \qquad \mathcal{V}_2 = V_{t+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_\theta = V_{\text{diag}}. \tag{7.30}$$

By (B), the blocks braided commute, hence

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1) = \mathcal{B}(V_1)\underline{\otimes}\dots\underline{\otimes}\mathcal{B}(V_t),$$

where $\underline{\otimes}$ means the braided tensor product of algebras, cf. [Gñ]. In particular, one gets a PBW-basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1)$ by ordered juxtaposition of the bases of $\mathcal{B}(V_1), \dots, \mathcal{B}(V_t)$; hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1) = 2t$.

We consider $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1)}$. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1); \quad K \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \quad \text{and} \quad K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1)(\mathcal{V}_2) \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}.$$

As usual let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of connected components of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathcal{V}_2 = V_{\text{diag}}$. If $J \in \mathcal{X}$, then $V_J := \oplus_{j\in J} V_j$ and $K^1_J = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{V}_1)(V_J)$.

Example 7.12. If $\mathcal{D}$ contains a mild interaction, i.e. an edge $\overset{(-1,1)}{\text{---}}$, then it is either $\mathfrak{C}_1$, that is $\boxminus \overset{(-1,1)}{\text{---}} \overset{-1}{\bullet}$ or else $\mathfrak{C}_2$, that is $\boxminus \overset{(-1,1)}{\text{---}} \overset{-1}{\bullet} \overset{-1}{\text{---}} \overset{-1}{\circ}$. This follows from (b) and (f). Thus, the Theorem follows under this assumption.

From now on, we assume that the interactions between a block V_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_t$, and a component V_J, $J \in \mathcal{X}$, are all weak. We claim:

(a) $K^1 = \oplus_{J\in\mathcal{X}} K^1_J$.
(b) K^1_J is a braided subspace of diagonal type of K^1.
(c) $c(K^1_J \otimes K^1_L) = K^1_L \otimes K^1_J$ and $c^2_{K^1_J \otimes K^1_L} = \mathrm{id}_{K^1_J \otimes K^1_L}$ for all $J \neq L \in \mathcal{X}$.

(a): Clearly $K^1 = \sum_{J\in\mathcal{X}} K^1_J$. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$-grading of V given by $\deg V_j = e_j$, where $(e_j)_{j\in\mathbb{I}_\theta}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^\theta$; it extends to gradings in $T(V)$ and $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Then K^1_J is a graded subspace whose homogeneous components have degree modulo $\mathbb{Z}^t$ in $\langle e_j : j \in J\rangle$. Hence the sum is direct.

(b): Suppose first that $J = \{j\}$ has one element. Let $h \in \mathbb{I}_t$. If $\mathscr{G}_{hj} = 0$, i.e. j and h are disconnected, then $\mathrm{ad}_c(V_h)(V_j) = 0$. Thus $K^1_J = \mathrm{ad}_c\, \mathcal{B}\left(\oplus_{h\in\mathbb{I}_t:\mathscr{G}_{hj}\neq 0} V_h\right)(V_j)$ and this is of diagonal type by Lemma 7.5. Similarly, if $|J| > 1$, then there is exactly one $i \in \mathbb{I}_t$ by Definition 1.9 (d), hence $K^1_J = \mathrm{ad}_c\, \mathcal{B}(V_i)(V_j)$ is of diagonal type by Lemma 5.4.

(c): Let $J \neq L \in \mathcal{X}$. We analize three possibilities:

(α): *J is connected only to the block i, L is connected only to the block h.*

Then K^1_J, respectively K^1_L, is of diagonal type with respect to the basis $(z_{j,n})_{j\in J, 0\leq n\leq |2a_{ij}|}$, respectively $(z_{\ell,m})_{\ell\in L, 0\leq m\leq |2a_{h\ell}|}$, see Lemma 5.4. Now, if $\epsilon_i = 1$, then the coaction is given by (5.10) is given by

$$\delta(z_{j,n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \nu_{k,n}\, x_i^{n-k} g_i^k g_j \otimes z_{j,k}, \quad \delta(z_{\ell,m}) = \sum_{t=0}^{m} \nu_{t,m}\, x_h^{m-t} g_h^t g_\ell \otimes z_{\ell,t}.$$

If $i = h$, then $c(z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m}) = q_{ji}^m q_{i\ell}^n q_{j\ell}\, z_{\ell,m} \otimes z_{j,n}$, as shown in the proof of Lemma 5.4. Thus

$$c^2(z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m}) = q_{ji}^m q_{i\ell}^n q_{j\ell} q_{\ell i}^n q_{ij}^m q_{\ell j}\, z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m} = z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m}.$$

Indeed, $q_{ji}q_{ij} = 1 = q_{i\ell}q_{\ell i}$ because the interactions are weak, while $q_{j\ell}q_{\ell j} = 1$ because j and ℓ live in different connected components. If $i \neq h$, then $\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_i(z_{\ell,m}) = \mathrm{ad}_c\, x_i(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_{h+\frac{1}{2}})^m(x_\ell) = 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} & c(z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m}) = g_i^n g_j \cdot z_{\ell,m} \otimes z_{j,n} = q_{jh}^m q_{i\ell}^n q_{j\ell}\, z_{\ell,m} \otimes z_{j,n} \\ \Longrightarrow \qquad & c^2(z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m}) = q_{jh}^m q_{i\ell}^n q_{j\ell} q_{\ell i}^n q_{hj}^m q_{\ell j}\, z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m} = z_{j,n} \otimes z_{\ell,m}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\epsilon_i = -1$, then the argument is similar, using (5.11), (5.12) and (4.8).

(β): *J is connected is connected only to the block i and $L = \{\ell\}$ is connected to the blocks $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \mathbb{I}_t$.*

Here K^1_J is as before, and K^1_L, is of diagonal type with respect to the basis $(\mathrm{m}_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{A}}$ see Lemma 7.5. Applying this Lemma, ℓ plays the role of θ and

the index set is $\{h_1, \dots, h_s\}$ instead of $\mathbb{I}_t$, e.g. $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^s : 0 \leq \mathbf{n} \leq \mathbf{a}\}$. Now

$$\operatorname{ad}_c x_i(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}) = 0 \begin{cases} \text{by (7.10) if } i \in \{h_1, \dots, h_s\}, \\ \text{because } \ell \text{ and } i \text{ are disconnected, if } i \notin \{h_1, \dots, h_s\}. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$c(z_{j,n} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}) = g_i^n g_j \cdot \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes z_{j,n} = q_{j\ell} q_{i\ell}^n \prod_{1 \leq a \leq s} (q_{jh_a} q_{ih_a}^n)^{m_a} \, \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes z_{j,n}.$$

On the other hand, by (7.15), taking into account (4.8) when $i \in \{h_1, \dots, h_s\}$ or that all interactions are weak, concluding that

$$c(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes z_{j,n}) = \prod_{1 \leq a \leq s} g_{h_a}^{m_a} g_\ell \cdot z_{j,n} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}} = q_{\ell j} q_{\ell i}^n \prod_{1 \leq a \leq s} (q_{h_a j} q_{h_a i}^n)^{m_a} \, z_{j,n} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Thus

$$c(z_{j,n} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}) = q_{j\ell} q_{\ell j} q_{i\ell}^n q_{\ell i}^n \prod_{1 \leq a \leq s} (q_{h_a j} q_{jh_a} q_{ih_a}^n q_{h_a i}^n)^{m_a} \, z_{j,n} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}} = z_{j,n} \otimes \text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Indeed, $q_{j\ell} q_{\ell j} = 1$ because j and ℓ live in different connected components, $q_{i\ell}^n q_{\ell i} = 1 = q_{h_a j} q_{jh_a}$ and $q_{ih_a} q_{h_a i} = 1$ if $h_a \neq i$ because the interactions are weak, while $q_{ih_a} q_{h_a i} = \epsilon_i^2 = 1$ if $h_a = i$.

(γ): *$J = \{j\}$ is connected to to the blocks $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{I}_t$ and $L = \{\ell\}$ is connected to to the blocks $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \mathbb{I}_t$.*

Here K_J^1 and K_L^1 are of diagonal type with respect to bases $(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{A}_J}$, $(\text{ш}_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{A}_K}$, see Lemma 7.5. The proof of this case follows as the previous one by (7.15), taking into account (7.10) when some $i_b \in \{h_1, \dots, h_s\}$, or that all interactions are weak.

Therefore K^1 is of diagonal type and

$$K = \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \mathcal{B}(K_{J_1}^1) \underline{\otimes} \dots \underline{\otimes} \mathcal{B}(K_{J_r}^1),$$

where $J_1, \dots J_r$ is a numbering of $\mathcal{X}$. In particular, one gets a PBW-basis of K by ordered juxtaposition of the bases of $\mathcal{B}(K_{J_1}^1)$, …, $\mathcal{B}(K_{J_r}^1)$; hence $\operatorname{GKdim} K = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{X}} \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J^1)$ and

$$\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = 2t + \sum_{J \in \mathcal{X}} \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K_J^1).$$

□

Theorem 7.13. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is admissible, then $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is a domain if and only if all blocks are Jordan, i.e. $\boxplus$, and all $J \in \mathcal{X}$ are points with label 1, i.e. $\overset{1}{\bullet}$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is a domain, then clearly all blocks are Jordan and all $J \in \mathcal{X}$ are points with label 1. Conversely, if this holds, then the proof goes as the proof of Proposition 7.8, using the preceding arguments: consider an appropriate filtration of $\mathcal{B}(V)$ and show that $\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}(V)$ is a domain, having a PBW-basis with all elements of infinite height. □

8. Appendix

8.1. **Nichols algebras over abelian groups.**

8.1.1. *The context.* Let Γ be an abelian group and $V = \oplus_{g\in\Gamma} V_g \in {}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V < \infty$. The following discussion aims to describe the general form of V. Let $V_g^{(\lambda)}$ be the $(T-\lambda)$-primary component with respect to the action of g on the homogeneous component V_g, and let $V_g^{\lambda} = \ker(g - \lambda\,\mathrm{id})_{|V_g} \subseteq V_g^{(\lambda)}$. Then $V_g = \oplus_{\lambda\in\Bbbk^\times} V_g^{(\lambda)}$, and

$$c(V_g^{(\lambda)} \otimes V_h^{(\mu)}) = V_h^{(\mu)} \otimes V_g^{(\lambda)}, \qquad g,h \in \Gamma, \lambda,\mu \in \Bbbk^\times. \tag{8.1}$$

Lemma 8.1. *Assume that* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_g) < \infty$. *Then*

(a) *If* $\lambda \in \Bbbk^\times$, $\lambda \notin \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$, *then* $V_g^{\lambda} = V_g^{(\lambda)}$ *has dimension* ≤ 1.

(b) *If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_3'$, *then* $V_g^{\lambda} = V_g^{(\lambda)}$ *has dimension* ≤ 2.

(c) *If* $V_g^1 \neq 0$, *then either* $V_g = V_g^1$ *(i.e. g acts trivially on* V_g*) or else* V_g *has dimension 2 and g acts by a Jordan block.*

(d) *If* $V_g^{-1} \neq 0$, *then either* $V_g^{(-1)} = V_g^{-1}$ *or else* $V_g^{(-1)}$ *has dimension 2 and g acts by a Jordan block.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2, $V_g^{(\lambda)}$ could contain a block only if $\lambda^2 = 1$. If $v_1 \in V_g^{\lambda}$ and $v_2 \in V_g^{\mu}$ are linearly independent, then the span of v_1, v_2 is a braided subspace of diagonal type with braiding matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu \\ \lambda & \mu \end{pmatrix}$. Thus if $\lambda = \mu$, this is of Cartan type $A_1^{(1)}$ unless $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$. This shows (a), and (b) follows similarly. If $V_g^{(1)} \neq 0$, then $V_g = V_g^{(1)}$ by Lemma 2.8 (otherwise there are v_1, v_2 as above with $\mu = 1 \neq \lambda$). If W_1, W_2 are two different blocks inside V_g, then $c^2_{W_1\otimes W_2} \neq \mathrm{id}$, thus $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_g) = \infty$ by the results in §6. If $\lambda^2 = 1$ and $V_g^{(\lambda)} \supseteq W \oplus \Bbbk x$, where W has dimension 2 and g acts on it by a Jordan block, then the ghost of $W \oplus \Bbbk x$ is negative, hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V_g^{(\lambda)}) = \infty$. So, (c) and (d) are proved. □

Let us say that the braiding (8.1) is *pale* when it is not of the form described in §1.3.1. Therefore, if the braiding is pale, then either $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_2 \cup \mathbb{G}_3$ and $V_g^{\lambda} = V_g^{(\lambda)}$ has dimension > 1, or vice versa for μ, or both. In the next § we consider the smallest possible case, namely a pale block and a point, cf. §4.1.2. Clearly any braided vector space with pale braiding contains *a pale block and a point*, so this is a necessary first step towards the general case. We classify all braidings of type *a pale block and a point* in Theorem 8.3. As an outcome, the pale braidings to be considered next are of the form

$$V = V_g^{-1} \oplus V_h^{(\mu)}, \qquad \dim V_g^{-1} \geq 3. \tag{8.2}$$

Question 8.2. Determine when $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, if V has the shape (8.2).

8.1.2. *A pale block and a point.* Let V be a braided vector space of dimension 3 with braiding given in the basis $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{I}_3}$ by

$$(c(x_i\otimes x_j))_{i,j\in\mathbb{I}_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon x_1\otimes x_1 & \epsilon x_2\otimes x_1 & q_{12}x_3\otimes x_1 \\ \epsilon x_1\otimes x_2 & \epsilon x_2\otimes x_2 & q_{12}x_3\otimes x_2 \\ q_{21}x_1\otimes x_3 & q_{21}(x_2+x_1)\otimes x_3 & q_{22}x_3\otimes x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8.3}$$

Let $V_1 = \langle x_1, x_2\rangle$, $V_2 = \langle x_3\rangle$. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ with a basis g_1, g_2. We realize V in ${}^{\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ by $V_1 = V_{g_1}$, $V_2 = V_{g_2}$, $g_1\cdot x_1 = \epsilon x_1$, $g_2\cdot x_1 = q_{21}x_1$, $g_1\cdot x_2 = \epsilon x_2$, $g_2\cdot x_2 = q_{21}(x_2+x_1)$, $g_i\cdot x_3 = q_{i2}x_3$. Our goal is to compute $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$. Since V_1 is of diagonal Cartan type, we may assume that $\epsilon\in\mathbb{G}_2\cup\mathbb{G}_3$.

As usual, let $\widetilde{q}_{12} = q_{12}q_{21}$; in particular the Dynkin diagram of the braided subspace $\langle x_1, x_3\rangle$ is $\overset{\epsilon}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\overset{\widetilde{q}_{12}}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\overset{q_{22}}{\circ}$. Below we consider the filtration

$$\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle x_1, x_3\rangle \subset \mathcal{V}_2 = V \tag{8.4}$$

of V and the corresponding V^{diag}, cf. §3.4. We summarize the results of this Subsection in the next Theorem.

Theorem 8.3. *Let V be as above.*

(a) *If $\epsilon\in\mathbb{G}_3$, then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.*
(b) *If $\epsilon = -1$ and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) < \infty$, either of the following holds:*
  (i) *$\widetilde{q}_{12} = 1$ and $q_{22} = \pm 1$; in this case $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = 1$.*
  (ii) *$q_{22} = -1 = \widetilde{q}_{12}$; in this case $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = 2$.*

Proof. (a): If $\epsilon = 1$, then this is Proposition 8.5. If $\epsilon\in\mathbb{G}'_3$, then this is proved in §8.1.5.

(b): Assume that $\widetilde{q}_{12} = 1$. If $q_{22} = \pm 1$, then the claim follows from Propositions 8.6 and 8.7. If $q_{22}\neq\pm 1$, then this is proved in §8.1.4. Assume finally that $\widetilde{q}_{12}\neq 1$. Then we reduce to four possible cases described in (8.24), by means of Hypothesis 1.7. The analysis of these cases is performed in Proposition 8.8–that takes care of (ii)–and Lemmas 8.9, 8.10 and 8.11, discarding the remaining cases. □

As in many other places, we consider $K = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(V_1)}$; then $K = \oplus_{n\geq 0}K^n$ inherits the grading of $\mathcal{B}(V)$; $\mathcal{B}(V)\simeq K\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ and K is the Nichols algebra of $K^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c\mathcal{B}(V_1)(V_2)$. Now $K^1\in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ with the adjoint action and the coaction given by (4.6), i.e. $\delta = (\pi_{\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\otimes\mathrm{id})\Delta_{\mathcal{B}(V)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}$. For later use, we introduce $\text{ш}_{m,n} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)^m(\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)^n x_3$, and particularly

$$w_m = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)^m x_3 = \text{ш}_{m,0}, \qquad z_n = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_2)^n x_3 = \text{ш}_{0,n}.$$

Then

$$g_1\cdot\text{ш}_{m,n} = q_{12}\epsilon^{m+n}\text{ш}_{m,n}, \qquad g_2\cdot w_m = q_{21}^m q_{22}w_m, \tag{8.5}$$

$$z_{n+1} = x_2z_n - q_{12}\epsilon^n z_n x_2, \qquad \text{ш}_{m+1,n} = x_1\text{ш}_{m,n} - q_{12}\epsilon^{m+n}\text{ш}_{m,n}x_1, \tag{8.6}$$

$$\partial_1(\text{ш}_{m,n}) = 0, \qquad \partial_2(\text{ш}_{m,n}) = 0, \tag{8.7}$$

$$\partial_3(w_m) = \prod_{0\le j\le m-1} (1-\epsilon^j \widetilde{q}_{12}) x_1^m. \tag{8.8}$$

Proof of (8.8). For $m=0$, it is clear. Recursively, $\partial_3(w_{m+1}) =$

$$= \partial_3(x_1 w_m - q_{12}\epsilon^m w_m x_1) = \prod_{0\le j\le m-1} (1-\epsilon^j \widetilde{q}_{12})(1-q_{12}\epsilon^m q_{21}) x_1^{m+1}. \quad \square$$

8.1.3. *The block has* $\epsilon = 1$. Here $\mathcal{B}(V_1) \simeq S(V_1)$ is a polynomial algebra, so that x_1 and x_2 commute, and

$$(\mathrm{ad}_c\, x_2)^p \text{ш}_{m,n} = \text{ш}_{m,n+p}. \tag{8.9}$$

Consequently $\text{ш}_{m,n}$, $m,n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ generate K^1. We claim that

$$g_2\cdot \text{ш}_{m,n} = q_{21}^{m+n} q_{22} \sum_{0\le j\le n} \binom{n}{j} \text{ш}_{m+j,n-j}, \tag{8.10}$$

Proof. By induction on n. If $n=0$, then (8.10) is the second part of (8.5). Assume that (8.10) holds for n; we prove it for $n+1$ by induction on m. First,

$$\begin{aligned} g_2\cdot \text{ш}_{0,n+1} &= g_2\cdot(z_{n+1}) = g_2\cdot(x_2 z_n - q_{12}\epsilon^n z_n x_2) \\ &= q_{21}^{n+1} q_{22} \sum_{0\le j\le n} \binom{n}{j} \Big((x_2+x_1)\text{ш}_{j,n-j} - q_{12}\epsilon^n \text{ш}_{j,n-j}(x_2+x_1)\Big) \\ &\overset{(8.9)}{=} q_{21}^{n+1} q_{22} \Big(\sum_{0\le j\le n} \binom{n}{j} (\text{ш}_{j,n+1-j} + \text{ш}_{j+1,n-j})\Big) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows. Next, assuming that (8.10) holds for m and n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_2\cdot \text{ш}_{m+1,n} &= g_2\cdot(x_1\text{ш}_{m,n} - q_{12}\epsilon^{m+n}\text{ш}_{m,n}x_1) \\ &= q_{21}^{m+n+1} q_{22} \sum_{0\le j\le n} \binom{n}{j} \big(x_1\text{ш}_{m+j,n-j} - q_{12}\epsilon^{m+n}\text{ш}_{m+j,n-j}x_1\big) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows by (8.6). $\square$

Now we may assume that $\widetilde{q}_{12}=1$ by Lemma 2.8. Then $w_m = 0$ for all $m>0$, by (8.7) and (8.8); thus $\text{ш}_{m,n}=0$ for all $m>0$ by (8.9). Hence

$$g_2\cdot z_n = q_{21}^n q_{22} z_n, \qquad n\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{8.11}$$

Lemma 8.4. *The following are equivalent:*

(i) $\mathrm{GKdim}\, K < \infty$.
(ii) $q_{22} = -1$.

If this happens, then $K \simeq \Lambda(K^1)$ *has* $\mathrm{GKdim} = 0$.

Proof. To start with, we claim that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\partial_3(z_n) = (-1)^n x_1^n, \tag{8.12}$$

$$\delta(z_n) = \sum_{0\le j\le n} (-1)^{n+j} \binom{n}{j} x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 \otimes z_j. \tag{8.13}$$

First, (8.12) is clear for $n = 0$. If it holds for n, then $\partial_3(z_{n+1}) =$

$$\partial_3(x_2 z_n - q_{12} z_n x_2) = (-1)^n x_2 x_1^n - q_{12}(-1)^n x_1^n q_{21}(x_2 + x_1) = (-1)^n x_1^{n+1}.$$

By the previous remarks, (8.12) implies that the family $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is a basis of K^1. Next, (8.13) for $n = 0$ is just $\delta(x_3) = g_2 \otimes x_3$. If (8.13) holds for n, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(z_{n+1}) = \sum_{0\le j\le n} (-1)^{n+j} \binom{n}{j} \big(& (x_2 \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_2) x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 \otimes z_j \\
& - q_{12} x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 \otimes z_j (x_2 \otimes 1 + g_1 \otimes x_2) \big) \\
= \sum_{0\le j\le n} (-1)^{n+j} \binom{n}{j} \big(& \underbrace{x_2 x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 \otimes z_j}_{(\alpha)} + \underbrace{g_1 x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 \otimes x_2 z_j}_{(\beta)} \\
& - \underbrace{q_{12} x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 x_2 \otimes z_j}_{(\gamma)} - \underbrace{q_{12} x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 g_1 \otimes z_j x_2}_{(\eta)} \big)
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(\alpha) + (\gamma) = \big(x_1^{n-j} x_2 g_1^j g_2 - \widetilde{q}_{12} x_1^{n-j} (x_2 + x_1) g_1^j g_2 \big) \otimes z_j = -x_1^{n+1-j} g_1^j g_2 \otimes z_j;$$
$$(\beta) + (\eta) = x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2 g_1 \otimes (x_2 z_j - q_{12} z_j x_2) = x_1^{n-j} g_1^{j+1} g_2 \otimes z_{j+1}$$

and the claim follows. Therefore, by (8.11) and (8.13), we have for $p, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$c(z_n \otimes z_p) = \sum_{0\le j\le n} (-1)^{n+j} \binom{n}{j} \operatorname{ad}_c(x_1^{n-j} g_1^j g_2) z_p \otimes z_j = q_{12}^n q_{21}^p q_{22} z_p \otimes z_n.$$

In conclusion, K^1 is of diagonal type with braiding matrix $(q_{21}^n q_{22})_{n,p\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ with respect to the basis $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$; thus locally $\overset{q_{22}}{\circ} \!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!- \overset{q_{22}^2}{\circ} \!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!- \overset{q_{22}}{\circ}$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(K^1) = \infty$ unless $q_{22} = -1$; in this last case, $\mathcal{B}(K^1) = \Lambda(K^1)$. □

Proposition 8.5. $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. By Lemma 8.4, it remains to consider the case $q_{22} = -1$. Now $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is a graded connected finitely generated algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(V_1)\#\Lambda(W)$, where W has the basis $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. Since the elements $z_{n_1} \dots z_{n_\ell}$ are linearly independent when $n_1 < \dots < n_\ell$, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.5. □

8.1.4. *The block has* $\epsilon = -1$. Here $\mathcal{B}(V_1) \simeq \Lambda(V_1)$ is an exterior algebra and consequently $\text{ш}_{m,n}$, $m, n \in \{0, 1\}$ generates K^1. It is easy to check that

$$g_2 \cdot z_1 = q_{21}q_{22}(z_1 + w_1), \qquad \partial_3(z_1) = (1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})x_2 - \widetilde{q}_{12}x_1, \tag{8.14}$$

$$\delta(z_1) = g_1g_2 \otimes z_1 + \big((1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})x_2 - \widetilde{q}_{12}x_1\big)g_2 \otimes x_3. \tag{8.15}$$

Case 1: $\widetilde{q}_{12} = 1$. Then $w_1 = 0$ by (8.7) and (8.8); hence $\text{ш}_{1,1} = -(\text{ad}_c\, x_2)w_1 = 0$. In consequence, $z_0 = x_3$ and z_1 form a basis of K^1, as they are linearly independent by (8.14). Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(x_3 \otimes x_3) &= q_{22}x_3 \otimes x_3, \qquad c(x_3 \otimes z_1) = q_{21}q_{22}z_1 \otimes x_3, \\ c(z_1 \otimes x_3) &= q_{12}q_{22}x_3 \otimes z_1, \quad c(z_1 \otimes z_1) = -q_{22}z_1 \otimes z_1. \end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

Thus K^1 is of diagonal type with Dynkin diagram $\overset{q_{22}}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\overset{q_{22}^2}{\circ}\!\!-\!\!\!-\overset{-q_{22}}{\circ}$. If $q_{22} \neq \pm 1$, then this diagram does not appear in the Table 1 in [H2] and we conclude by our Hypothesis 1.7 that $\operatorname{GKdim} K = \operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

For $q \in \Bbbk^\times$, let $\mathfrak{E}_\pm(q) = V$ be the braided vector space as in (8.3) under the assumptions that $\epsilon = -1$, $q_{12} = q = q_{21}^{-1}$, $q_{22} = \pm 1$. We call $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\pm(q))$ and the Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$ studied in Proposition 8.8 the *Endymion algebras*.

Proposition 8.6. *The algebra* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$ *is presented by generators* x_1, x_2, x_3 *and relations*

$$x_1^2 = 0, \quad x_2^2 = 0, \quad x_1x_2 = -x_2x_1, \tag{8.17}$$

$$(x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2)^2 = 0, \tag{8.18}$$

$$x_3(x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2) = q^{-1}(x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2)x_3, \tag{8.19}$$

$$x_1x_3 = qx_3x_1. \tag{8.20}$$

Let $z_1 = x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2$. *Then* $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$ *has a PBW-basis*

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}x_3^{m_3}z_1^{m_4} : m_1, m_2, m_4 \in \{0, 1\},\ m_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0\};$$

hence $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q)) = 1$.

Proof. Relations (8.17) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$ because $\mathcal{B}(V_1) \simeq \Lambda(V_1)$; (8.16) implies (8.18) and (8.19). In turn (8.20), i.e. $w_1 = 0$, holds by (8.7) and (8.8). Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$ by (8.17), (8.18), (8.19) and (8.20) projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$. Also the following relations hold in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ by the last identity in (8.17) and (8.20) via the q-Jacobi identity, resp. the second identity in (8.17):

$$x_1z_1 = -qz_1x_1, \qquad x_2z_1 = -qz_1x_2. \tag{8.21}$$

Now the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$; this follows without troubles from (8.17), (8.18), (8.19), (8.20) and (8.21). To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$. But $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1)\#\mathcal{B}(V_1)$, so that $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq \mathcal{B}(K^1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V_1)$ as vector spaces and the claim follows by the commutation relations already exposed. Then

B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_+(q))$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

Proposition 8.7. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_-(q))$ is presented by generators x_1, x_2, x_3 and relations* (8.17), (8.20),

$$x_3^2 = 0, \tag{8.22}$$

$$x_3(x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2) = -q^{-1}(x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2)x_3. \tag{8.23}$$

Let $z_1 = x_2x_3 - qx_3x_2$. Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_-(q))$ has a PBW-basis

$$B = \{x_1^{m_1}x_2^{m_2}x_3^{m_3}z_1^{m_4} : m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \{0,1\},\ m_4 \in \mathbb{N}_0\};$$

hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_-(q)) = 1$.

Proof. Adapt mutatis mutandis the proof of Proposition 8.6. □

Case 2: $\widetilde{q}_{12} \neq 1$. The braided vector space V^{diag} has Dynkin diagram

$$\overset{-1}{\circ}\ \underline{\quad \widetilde{q}_{12} \quad}\ \overset{q_{22}}{\circ}\ \underline{\quad \widetilde{q}_{12} \quad}\ \overset{-1}{\circ}\ .$$

By Hypothesis 1.7 and after inspection of the Table 2 in [H2], we conclude that there are 4 possible diagrams, listed next:

$$\begin{aligned} &q_{22} = -1 = \widetilde{q}_{12}, && q_{22} = q, \widetilde{q}_{12} = q^{-1}, q^2 \neq 1, \\ &q_{22} = -1, \widetilde{q}_{12} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}_3', && q_{22} = -\omega, \widetilde{q}_{12} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'. \end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

We deal below with the different possibilities.

For $q \in \Bbbk^\times$, let $\mathfrak{E}_\star(q) = V$ be the braided vector space as in (8.3) under the assumptions that $\epsilon = -1$, $q_{22} = -1$, $q_{12} = q$, $q_{21} = -q^{-1}$. Recall (2.2).

Proposition 8.8. *The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$ is presented by generators x_1, x_2, x_3 and relations* (8.17),

$$x_3^2 = 0, \quad x_{31}^2 = 0, \tag{8.25}$$

$$x_2[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c - q^2[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c x_2 = q\, x_{13}x_{213}, \tag{8.26}$$

$$x_{213}^2 = 0. \tag{8.27}$$

Moreover $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$ has a PBW-basis

$$B = \{x_2^{m_1}x_{23}^{m_2}x_{213}^{m_3}[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c^{m_4}x_1^{m_5}x_{13}^{m_6}x_3^{m_7} : \\ m_1, m_3, m_5, m_6, m_7 \in \{0,1\},\ m_2, m_4 \in \mathbb{N}_0\};$$

hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q)) = 2$.

Proof. Relations (8.17) are 0 in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$ because $\mathcal{B}(V_1) \simeq \Lambda(V_1)$; (8.25) are 0 since x_1, x_3 generate a Nichols algebra of Cartan type A_2 at -1.

Notice that $[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c = x_{23}x_{13} + x_{13}x_{23}$. By (8.17) and (8.25),

$$x_2x_{23} = -q\, x_{23}x_2, \qquad x_2x_{213} = q\, x_{213}x_2, \tag{8.28}$$

$$x_{23}x_3 = -q\, x_3x_{23}, \qquad x_{23}x_1 = -q^{-1}x_1x_{23} - q^{-1}x_{213}, \tag{8.29}$$

$$x_{213}x_1 = q^{-1}x_1x_{213}, \qquad [x_{23}, x_{13}]_c x_1 = -q^{-2}x_1[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c, \tag{8.30}$$

$$x_1x_{13} = -q\,x_{13}x_1, \qquad x_{213}x_3 = q[x_{23},x_{13}]_c - q^2x_3x_{213}, \tag{8.31}$$

$$x_{13}x_3 = -q\,x_3x_{13}, \qquad x_{13}[x_{23},x_{13}]_c = [x_{23},x_{13}]_cx_{13}, \tag{8.32}$$

$$x_{213}x_{13} = q\,x_{13}x_{213}, \qquad [x_{23},x_{13}]_cx_3 = q^2x_3[x_{23},x_{13}]_c. \tag{8.33}$$

By direct computation, $\partial_3(x_{213}) = 4x_2x_1 \neq 0$ (being in $\Lambda(V_1)$), and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3([x_{23},x_{13}]_c) &= 2x_{23}x_1 + 2q^{-1}x_2x_{13} + 2x_{13}x_2 + 2q^{-1}x_1(x_{23}+x_{13})\\ &= -2q^{-1}(x_{213}+x_{23}x_1) + 2q^{-1}(x_{213} - q\,x_{13}x_2) + 2x_{13}x_2 + 2q^{-1}x_1(x_{23}+x_{13})\\ &= 2q^{-1}x_1x_{13} \neq 0, \quad \text{being in } \langle x_1, x_3\rangle \text{ as discussed above.}\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that (8.26) holds in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Notice that ∂_1 annihilates all factors in each term; also, ∂_2 annihilates the right-hand side, and

$$\partial_2(x_2[x_{23},x_{13}]_c - q^2[x_{23},x_{13}]_cx_2) = g_2\cdot[x_{23},x_{13}]_c - q^2[x_{23},x_{13}]_c = 0.$$

Now we compute ∂_3. For the left-hand side,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_3(x_2[x_{23},x_{13}]_c - q^2[x_{23},x_{13}]_cx_2) &= 2q^{-1}x_2x_1x_{13} + 2x_1x_{13}(x_2+x_1)\\ &= -2q^{-1}\,x_1(x_{213} - q\,x_{13}x_2) + 2x_1x_{13}x_2 = -2q^{-1}\,x_1x_{213} + 4x_1x_{13}x_2,\end{aligned}$$

while for the right-hand side,

$$\partial_3(x_{13}x_{213}) = 2x_1(g_2\cdot x_{213}) + 4x_{13}x_1x_2 = q^{-1}\big(-2q^{-1}\,x_1x_{213} + 4x_1x_{13}x_2\big).$$

Hence (8.26) holds in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. For (8.27), we also check that $\partial_i(x_{213}^2) = 0$ for $i = 1,2,3$. As ∂_1, ∂_2 annihilate x_{213} it remains the case $i = 3$:

$$\partial_3(x_{213}^2) = 4x_2x_1(g_3\cdot x_{213}) + 4x_{213}x_2x_1 = 4\big(q^{-2}x_2x_1x_{213} + x_{213}x_2x_1\big) = 0.$$

Hence the quotient $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ of $T(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$ by (8.17), (8.25), (8.26) and (8.27) projects onto $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$.

We notice that (8.28), …, (8.33) hold in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ since they are derived from (8.17), (8.25), (8.26) and (8.27). The following relations also hold in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$:

$$x_{213}x_{23} = q(x_{23}+x_{13})x_{213}, \qquad x_{213}[x_{23},x_{13}]_c = q^2[x_{23},x_{13}]_cx_{213},$$
$$[x_{23},x_{13}]_cx_{23} = (x_{23}+x_{13})[x_{23},x_{13}]_c.$$

Thus the subspace I spanned by B is a right ideal of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. To prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$, it remains to show that B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$.

Recall the filtration (8.4). We claim that the classes of $[x_{23},x_{13}]_c$ and x_{23}^2 in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ are non-zero primitive elements of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta([x_{23},x_{13}]_c) &= [x_{23},x_{13}]_c\otimes 1 - 2x_{13}x_1\otimes x_3 - x_{13}\otimes x_{13}\\ &\qquad - 2x_1\otimes x_3x_{13} + 1\otimes[x_{23},x_{13}]_c;\\ \Delta(x_{23}^2) &= x_{23}^2\otimes 1 - x_{13}\otimes x_{23} + (2q^{-1}x_2x_{13} - q\,x_1x_{13})\otimes x_3\\ &\qquad - 2x_1\otimes x_{23}x_3 + 1\otimes x_{23}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to prove that $[x_{23},x_{13}]_c \in \mathcal{B}_5^4 - \mathcal{B}_4^4$, $x_{23}^2 \in \mathcal{B}_6^4 - \mathcal{B}_5^4$.

Suppose that $[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c \in \mathcal{B}_4^4$. Then $[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c$ is written as a linear combination of words in letters x_1, x_3. As $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is spanned by B, there exists $a \in \Bbbk$ such that $[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c = a\, x_1x_{13}x_3$. Applying ∂_1 and ∂_3 to this equality,

$$0 = -q^2 a\, x_{13}x_3, \qquad\qquad 2q^{-1}\, x_1x_{13} = a\, x_1x_{13}$$

Hence we have a contradiction, so $[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c \in \mathcal{B}_5^4 - \mathcal{B}_4^4$. Now suppose that $x_{23}^2 \in \mathcal{B}_5^4$. As $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is spanned by B, there exist $a_i \in \Bbbk$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{23}^2 = a_1\, [x_{23}, x_{13}]_c + a_2\, x_{23}x_{13} + a_3\, x_{23}x_1x_3 + a_4\, x_{213}x_3 \\ + a_5\, x_{213}x_1 + a_6\, x_1x_{13}x_3 + a_7\, x_2x_1x_{13} + a_8\, x_2x_{13}x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $0 = \partial_2(x_{23}^2) = qa_7\, x_1x_{13} - q^2a_8\, x_{13}x_3$, so $a_7 = a_8 = 0$. Applying ∂_1,

$$0 = qa_3\, x_{23}x_3 + a_5\, x_{213} - q^2a_6\, x_{13}x_3,$$

so $a_3 = a_5 = a_6 = 0$. Applying ∂_3,

$$\begin{aligned} 2q^{-1}x_2x_{13} - q\, x_1x_{13} = 2q^{-1}a_1\, x_1x_{13} + q^{-1}a_2\, (2x_2 + x_1)x_{13} + 2a_2\, x_{23}x_1 \\ + 4a_4\, x_2x_1x_3 + a_4\, x_{213}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the derivations ∂_i we prove that x_2x_{13}, x_1x_{13}, x_2x_{13}, $x_{23}x_1$, $x_2x_1x_3$, x_{213} are linearly independent. Hence $2a_2 = 0$ and $2q^{-1}a_2 = 2q^{-1}$, so we have a contradiction. Thus $x_{23}^2 \in \mathcal{B}_6^4 - \mathcal{B}_5^4$.

As V^{diag} is of type A_3 at $q = -1$, $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$ has a PBW basis whose set of generators contains x_2, x_{23}, x_{213}, x_1, x_{13}, x_3; notice that $[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c$ is another PBW generator disconnected from the previous ones. As $x_{23}^2, [x_{23}, x_{13}]_c \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$ are primitive (the corresponding scalars are both 1), x_{23} and $[x_{23}, x_{13}]_c$ they have infinity height in $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$; hence the classes of the elements of B are linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$, so B is linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(V)$. Then B is a basis of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}_\star(q))$. The computation of GKdim follows from the Hilbert series at once. □

Lemma 8.9. *If $\epsilon = -1$, $q_{22} = -1$, $\widetilde{q}_{12} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}_3'$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. We consider the decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ but with different projection and section, and correspondingly a different algebra of coinvariants as in §8.1.2: now we have $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{B}(V)^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{B}(V_2)}$. Then $\mathcal{K} = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathcal{K}^n$ inherits the grading of $\mathcal{B}(V)$; $\mathcal{B}(V) \simeq \mathcal{K}\#\mathcal{B}(V_2)$ and $\mathcal{K}$ is the Nichols algebra of $\mathcal{K}^1 = \operatorname{ad}_c \mathcal{B}(V_2)(V_1)$. As $x_3^2 = 0$, $\mathcal{K}^1$ is spanned by x_1, x_{31}, x_2, x_{32}, recall the notation (2.2). Now $\mathcal{K}^1 \in {}^{\mathcal{B}(V_2)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}_{\mathcal{B}(V_2)\#\Bbbk\Gamma}\mathcal{YD}$ with the adjoint action and the coaction (4.6); in this case, this is explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_1) &= g_1 \otimes x_1, \qquad & \delta(x_{31}) &= g_1g_2 \otimes x_{31} + (1-\omega)x_3g_1 \otimes x_1, \\ \delta(x_2) &= g_1 \otimes x_2, \qquad & \delta(x_{32}) &= g_1g_2 \otimes x_{32} + (1-\omega)x_3g_1 \otimes (x_2 + x_1). \end{aligned}$$

As $x_3 \cdot x_{31} = x_3 \cdot x_{32} = 0$, the braiding of K^1 satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} c(x_{31} \otimes x_{31}) &= g_1g_2 \cdot x_{31} \otimes x_{31} = \omega x_{31} \otimes x_{31}, \\ c(x_{32} \otimes x_{31}) &= g_1g_2 \cdot x_{31} \otimes x_{32} = \omega x_{31} \otimes x_{32}, \end{aligned}$$

$$c(x_{31}\otimes x_{32}) = g_1g_2\cdot x_{32}\otimes x_{31} = \omega(x_{32}+x_{31})\otimes x_{31},$$
$$c(x_{32}\otimes x_{32}) = g_1g_2\cdot x_{32}\otimes x_{32} = \omega(x_{32}+x_{31})\otimes x_{32}.$$

Hence $W = \langle x_{31}, x_{32}\rangle$ is a braided vector subspace of K^1. Now W is isomorphic to the block $\mathcal{V}(\omega, 2)$ (consider the basis ωx_{31}, x_{32}), so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(W) = \infty$ by Theorem 1.2. Therefore $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$. □

Lemma 8.10. *If $\epsilon = -1$, $q_{22} = q$, $\widetilde{q}_{12} = q^{-1}$, $q^2 \neq 1$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(V)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} := \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}(V)$ with respect to (8.4), a pre-Nichols algebra of V^{diag}, see §3.4. Thus $\mathcal{B}^n_m$ is the m-th term of the filtration in $\mathcal{B}^n = \mathcal{B}^n(V)$. Recall x_{13}, x_{23} as in (2.2) and set $u = [x_{23}, x_3]_c$. By direct computation,

$$\Delta(u) = u\otimes 1 - (1+q)\, x_{13}\otimes x_3 - (1+q^{-1})\, x_1\otimes x_3^2 + 1\otimes u.$$

Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{B}^3_3$. Notice that $\mathcal{B}^3_3$ is spanned by the monomials in letters x_1 and x_3, since $T(V)^3_3$ is spanned by these monomials, cf. Remark 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. As x_1, x_3 generate a Nichols algebra of type super A_2, these monomials are written as a linear combination of x_1x_{13}, $x_1x_3^2$, $x_{13}x_3$, x_3^3; the last term is zero if $q^3 = 1$. Hence

$$u = a_1\, x_1x_{13} + a_2\, x_1x_3^2 + a_3\, x_{13}x_3 + a_4\, x_3^3 \qquad \text{for some } a_i \in \Bbbk.$$

Thus $0 = \partial_1(u) = -a_1q_{12}\, x_{13} + a_2q_{12}^2\, x_3^2$, which says that $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, and

$$-(1+q)\, x_{13} = \partial_3(u) = a_3(q-1)\, x_1x_3 + a_3\, x_{13} + a_4(3)_q\, x_3^2.$$

As x_1x_3, x_{13}, x_3^2 are linearly independent, we have $1+q = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $u \in \mathcal{B}^3_4 - \mathcal{B}^3_3$. Let x_4 be the class of u in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Then x_4 is a non-zero primitive element in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ generated by $Z = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\rangle$, and consider the algebra filtration of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$, such that the generators have degree one. This is a Hopf algebra filtration, hence the associated graded algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ is a braided Hopf algebra, and $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ is a subquotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) \le \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 \le \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \le \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$. The braided vector space Z has diagram



Hence the diagram has a 4-cycle and $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7, so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$. □

In the previous proof, Theorem 1.6 works for many values of q, without appealing to Hypothesis 1.7.

Lemma 8.11. *If $\epsilon = -1$, $q_{22} = -\omega$, $\widetilde{q}_{12} = \omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$, then* $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(V)$, $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} := \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}(V)$ with respect to (8.4), see §3.4, and $\mathcal{B}^n_m$ the m-th term of the filtration in $\mathcal{B}^n = \mathcal{B}^n(V)$. Recall x_{13}, x_{23} (2.2). Set

$$x_{13,3} = [x_{13}, x_3]_c, \qquad x_{23,3} = [x_{23}, x_3]_c, \qquad u = [x_{23,3}, x_3]_c.$$

By direct computation,

$$\Delta(u) = u \otimes 1 + 2\omega\, x_{13,3} \otimes x_3 + \omega\, x_{13} \otimes x_3^2 + (\omega^2 - \omega)\, x_1 \otimes x_3^3 + 1 \otimes u.$$

Suppose that $u \in \mathcal{B}^4_4$. Notice that $\mathcal{B}^4_4$ is spanned by the monomials in letters x_1 and x_3, since $T(V)^4_4$ is spanned by these monomials, cf. Remark 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. As x_1, x_3 generate a Nichols algebra of type super B_2, these monomials are written as a linear combination of $x_1x_{13,3}$, $x_{13,3}x_3$, x_{13}^2, $x_1x_{13}x_3$, $x_{13}x_3^2$, $x_1x_3^3$, x_3^4. As $\partial_1(u) = 0$, all the terms with a factor x_1 have coefficient 0. Thus

$$u = a_1\, x_{13,3}x_3 + a_2\, x_{13}^2 + a_3\, x_{13}x_3^2 + a_4\, x_3^4 \qquad \text{for some } a_i \in \Bbbk.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\omega\, x_{13,3} = \partial_3(u) = a_1\, x_{13,3} + a_1(\omega^2 - 1)\, x_{13}x_3 + a_2q_{21}(1-\omega)\, x_1x_{13} \\ + a_3(1-\omega)\, x_{13}x_3 + a_3(\omega^2 - \omega)\, x_1x_3^2 + a_4(4)_{-\omega}\, x_3^3. \end{aligned}$$

We have a contradiction since $x_{13,3}$, $x_{13}x_3$, x_1x_{13}, $x_1x_3^2$, x_3^3 are linearly independent. Thus $u \in \mathcal{B}^4_5 - \mathcal{B}^4_4$. Let x_4 be class of u in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Then x_4 is a non-zero primitive element in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ generated by $Z = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\rangle$, and consider the algebra filtration of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$, such that the generators have degree one. This is a Hopf algebra filtration, hence the associated graded algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ is a braided Hopf algebra. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ is a subquotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) \le \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 \le \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \le \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$. The braided vector space Z is of diagonal type with diagram



Hence $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) = \infty$ by Lemma 2.8, so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$. □

Remark 8.12. Let us come back to the Nichols algebra K described in §8.1.2. Collecting previous information or by direct computation, we have

$$g_2 \cdot w_1 = q_{21}q_{22}w_1, \qquad \partial_3(w_1) = (1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})x_1, \tag{8.34}$$

$$\delta(w_1) = g_1g_2 \otimes w_1 + (1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})x_1g_2 \otimes x_3, \tag{8.35}$$

$$g_2 \cdot ш_{1,1} = q_{21}^2q_{22}ш_{1,1}, \qquad \partial_3(ш_{1,1}) = (1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})^2x_2x_1, \tag{8.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(ш_{1,1}) = g_1^2g_2 \otimes ш_{1,1} + \big((1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})x_2 - \widetilde{q}_{12}x_1\big)g_1g_2 \otimes w_1 \\ - (1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})x_1g_1g_2 \otimes z_1 + (1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})^2x_2x_1g_2 \otimes x_3 \end{aligned} \tag{8.37}$$

This shows that $x_3, z_1, w_1, \text{ш}_{1,1}$ form a basis of K^1. Also, using this information, (8.14) and (8.15), we compute

$$c(x_3 \otimes x_3) = q_{22} x_3 \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(x_3 \otimes z_1) = q_{21}q_{22}(z_1 + w_1) \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(w_1 \otimes x_3) = q_{12}q_{22} x_3 \otimes w_1 + q_{22}(1 - \widetilde{q}_{12}) w_1 \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(w_1 \otimes z_1) = -\widetilde{q}_{12}q_{22}(z_1 + w_1) \otimes w_1 + q_{21}q_{22}(1 - \widetilde{q}_{12}) \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(z_1 \otimes x_3) = q_{12}q_{22} x_3 \otimes z_1 + \big((1 - \widetilde{q}_{12}) z_1 - \widetilde{q}_{12} w_1\big) \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(z_1 \otimes z_1) = -\widetilde{q}_{12}q_{22}(z_1 + w_1) \otimes z_1 - q_{21}q_{22}(1 - 2\widetilde{q}_{12})\, \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(\text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes x_3) = q_{12}^2 q_{22} x_3 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1} + q_{12}q_{22}\big((1 - \widetilde{q}_{12}) z_1 - \widetilde{q}_{12} w_1\big) \otimes w_1 - q_{22}(1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})^2 \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(\text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes z_1) = q_{12}^2 q_{21} q_{22}(z_1 + w_1) \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1} + \widetilde{q}_{12}^2 q_{22}\, \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes w_1,$$

$$c(x_3 \otimes w_1) = q_{21}q_{22} w_1 \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(x_3 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1}) = q_{21}^2 q_{22} \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(w_1 \otimes w_1) = -\widetilde{q}_{12}q_{22} w_1 \otimes w_1,$$
$$c(w_1 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1}) = q_{12}q_{21}^2 q_{22} \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes w_1,$$
$$c(z_1 \otimes w_1) = -\widetilde{q}_{12}q_{22} w_1 \otimes z_1 - (1 - \widetilde{q}_{12}) q_{21}q_{22}\, \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes x_3,$$
$$c(z_1 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1}) = q_{12}q_{21}^2 q_{22}\, \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes z_1,$$
$$c(\text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes w_1) = q_{12}^2 q_{21} q_{22} w_1 \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1} + \widetilde{q}_{12}q_{22}(1 - \widetilde{q}_{12})\, \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes w_1,$$
$$c(\text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1}) = \widetilde{q}_{12}^2 q_{22}\, \text{ш}_{1,1} \otimes \text{ш}_{1,1}$$

Now Theorem 8.3 says that $\operatorname{GKdim} K = \infty$ unless $q_{22} = -1 = \widetilde{q}_{12}$, where $\operatorname{GKdim} K = 2$. We ask:

Question 8.13. Let K^1 be a braided vector space with basis $x_3, z_1, w_1, \text{ш}_{1,1}$ and braiding given just above. Prove directly the statement about the GKdim, and in the case $q_{22} = -1 = \widetilde{q}_{12}$ find the defining relations. Is there a class of braided vector spaces including this example?

8.1.5. *The block has* $\epsilon = \omega \in \mathbb{G}'_3$.

Case 1: $\widetilde{q}_{12} = 1$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}} := \operatorname{gr} \mathcal{B}(V)$, a pre-Nichols algebra of V^{diag}, see §3.4; we use below the notation from that §. By direct computation,

$$\Delta(z_1) = z_1 \otimes 1 - x_1 \otimes x_3 + 1 \otimes z_1.$$

Suppose that $z_1 \in \mathcal{B}_2^2$. Notice that $\mathcal{B}_2^2$ is spanned by the monomials in letters x_1 and x_3, since $T(V)_2^2$ is spanned by these monomials. As $x_3 x_1 = q_{21} x_1 x_3$, $z_1 = a_1\, x_1^2 + a_2\, x_1 x_3 + a_3\, x_3^2$ for some $a_i \in \Bbbk$, so

$$0 = \partial_1(z_1) = a_1(1 + \omega)\, x_1 + q_{12} a_2\, x_3,$$
$$-x_1 = \partial_3(z_1) = a_2\, x_1 + a_3(1 + \omega)\, x_3,$$

Hence we have a contradiction, and $z_1 \in \mathcal{B}_3^2 - \mathcal{B}_2^2$.

Let x_4 be class of z_1 in $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$. Then x_4 is a non-zero primitive element in $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\text{diag}}$ generated by $Z = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$, and consider the algebra filtration of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$, such that the generators have degree

one. This is a Hopf algebra filtration, hence the associated graded algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ is a braided Hopf algebra. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ is a subquotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} = \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$. The braided vector space Z has diagram



so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7, and then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

Case 2: $\widetilde{q}_{12} \neq 1$. Here the braided vector space V^{diag} has Dynkin diagram



By Hypothesis 1.7 and after inspection of the Table 2 in [H2], we conclude that $q_{22} = -1$, $\widetilde{q}_{12} = \omega^2$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} := \operatorname{gr}\mathcal{B}(V)$, a pre-Nichols algebra of V^{diag}, see §3.4. Set $x_{12} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)x_2$, $x_{123} = (\operatorname{ad}_c x_1)z_1$. By direct computation,

$$\Delta(z_2) = z_2 \otimes 1 + \omega^2(x_1^2 + x_{12}) \otimes x_3 + \omega^2 x_1 \otimes z_1 + 1 \otimes z_2.$$

Suppose that $z_2 \in \mathcal{B}_4^3$. Notice that $\mathcal{B}_4^3$ is spanned by the monomials in letters x_i, $i \in \mathbb{I}_3$ with at most one x_2, since $T(V)_4^3$ is spanned by these monomials, cf. Remark 3.6 and Lemma 3.7. We check that all these monomials are written as a linear combination of x_1x_{12}, $x_1^2x_2$, x_{123}, $x_1x_2x_3$, x_1w_1, w_1x_2, w_1x_3, x_1z_1, z_1x_3. As ∂_1, ∂_2 annihilate z_2, all the terms with a factor x_1 or x_2 do not appear. Thus $z_2 = a_1\, x_{123} + a_2\, w_1x_3 + a_3\, z_1x_3$ for some $a_i \in \Bbbk$, so

$$\omega^2(x_1^2 + x_{12}) = \partial_3(z_2) = a_1(1-\omega^2)(x_{12} + \omega x_1x_2) + a_2(w_1 + (1-\omega^2)x_1x_3) + a_3(z_1 + (1-\omega^2)x_2x_3 - \omega^2 x_1x_3).$$

As x_1^2, x_{12}, x_1x_2, w_1, x_1x_3, z_1, x_2x_3 are linearly independent, we have a contradiction. Thus $z_2 \in \mathcal{B}_5^3 - \mathcal{B}_4^3$.

Let x_4 be class of z_2 in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Then x_4 is a non-zero primitive element in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}}$ generated by $Z = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\rangle$, and consider the algebra filtration of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$, such that the generators have degree one. This is a Hopf algebra filtration, hence the associated graded algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$ is a braided Hopf algebra. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(Z)$ is a subquotient of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 \leq \operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{diag}} =$

$\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V)$. The braided vector space Z has diagram



so $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(Z) = \infty$ by Hypothesis 1.7, and then $\operatorname{GKdim}\mathcal{B}(V) = \infty$.

8.2. **Admissible flourished diagrams.** We comment on the general shape of these diagrams. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an admissible flourished graph with $t = t_+ + t_-$ blocks and θ vertices (i.e. $\theta - t$ points), cf. Definition 1.9. By Example 7.12, we may assume that all interactions between points and blocks are weak, what we do in the following discussion. As usual, let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{diag}}$ and let

$$\mathcal{X}_{\pm} = \{J \in \mathcal{X} : J = \{j\}, q_{jj} = \pm 1\}, \qquad \mathcal{X}_{\text{gen}} = \mathcal{X} - (\mathcal{X}_+ \cup \mathcal{X}_-).$$

Then the axioms (b), (d) and (e) imply that every $J \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{gen}}$ is connected to one and only block $\boxplus$.

Also, if $t = 1$, then the classification follows from (b): either a block $\boxplus$ connected to various connected components as in Table 1 or else a block $\boxminus$ connected to various points with label ± 1. Assume that $t > 1$. By the preceding remarks and connectedness of $\mathcal{D}$, the general shape of D is as follows:

- For $h \in \mathbb{I}_{t_+}$, the h-th block $\boxplus$ is linked to various connected components $J \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{gen}}$ as in Table 1, and also to some connected components in $\mathcal{X}_{\pm}$; at least one of these last is linked to another block.
- For $h \in \mathbb{I}_{t_+ + 1, t}$, the h-th block $\boxminus$ is linked to various connected components in $\mathcal{X}_{\pm}$; at least one of these last is linked to another block.

Here is an example:



References

[AA] N. Andruskiewitsch and I. Angiono. *On Nichols algebras with generic braiding*, in *Modules and Comodules*, Trends in Mathematics. Brzezinski, T.; Gómez Pardo, J.L.; Shestakov, I.; Smith, P.F. (Eds.), pp. 47–64 (2008).

[AAH1] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono and I. Heckenberger. *Liftings of Jordan and super Jordan planes*. `arXiv:1512.09271`.

[AAH2] ———. *On finite GK-dimensional Nichols algebras of diagonal type*. In preparation.

[ACE] N. Andruskiewitsch, J. Cuadra and P. Etingof, *On two finiteness conditions for Hopf algebras with non-zero integral*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) Vol. XIV (2015), 1–40.

[AD] N. Andruskiewitsch and S. Dăscălescu, *Co-Frobenius Hopf algebras and the coradical filtration*. Math. Z. **243** (2003), 145-154.

[AHS] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Heckenberger and H.-J. Schneider, *The Nichols algebra of a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld module*, Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), 1493–1547.

[ARS] N. Andruskiewitsch, D. Radford and H.-J. Schneider, *The Nichols algebra of a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld module*. J. Algebra **324**, 2932–2970 (2010).

[AS1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras*, New directions in Hopf algebras, MSRI series Cambridge Univ. Press; 1–68 (2002).

[AS2] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *A characterization of quantum groups*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **577** (2004) 81–104.

[An1] I. Angiono, *A presentation by generators and relations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type and convex orders on root systems*, J. Europ. Math. Soc. 17 (2015), 2643–2671.

[An2] ———, *On Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 683 (2013), 189–251.

[B1] K. A. Brown, *Representation theory of Noetherian Hopf algebras satisfying a polynomial identity*, Contemp. Math. **229** (1998), 49–79.

[B2] K. A. Brown, *Noetherian Hopf algebras*, Turkish J. Math. **31** (2007), suppl., 7–23.

[BG] K. A. Brown and P. Gilmartin, *Hopf algebras under finiteness conditions*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, **3** (Spec 1) (2014) , 356–365.

[B+] Brown K., Goodearl K., Lenagan T. and Zhang J., *Mini-Workshop: Infinite Dimensional Hopf Algebras*. Oberwolfach Rep. **11** (2014), 1111–1137.

[BZ] K.A. Brown and J.J. Zhang, *Prime regular Hopf algebras of GK-dimension one*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **101** (2010), 260–302.

[C+] L. Carbone, S. Chung, C. Cobbs, R. McRae, D. Nandi, Y. Naqvi and D. Penta, *Classification of hyperbolic Dynkin diagrams, root lengths and Weyl group orbits*. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) (15): 155209.

[D+] E. E. Demidov, Yu. I. Manin, E. E. Mukhin and D. V. Zhdanovich, *Nonstandard quantum deformations of GL(n) and constant solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation*. Common trends in mathematics and quantum field theories (Kyoto, 1990). Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. No. 102 (1990), 203–218 (1991).

[Dr] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Berkeley 1986, Vol. 1, 798–820 (1987).

[EG] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, *Quasisymmetric and unipotent tensor categories*, Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), 857-866.

[FG] D. Flores de Chela and J. A. Green, *Quantum Symmetric Algebras*. Algebras and Representation Theory 4 (2001), 55–76.

[G] K. Goodearl, *Noetherian Hopf algebras*, Glasgow Math. J. **55A** (2013), 75–87.

[GZ] K. Goodearl and J.J. Zhang, *Noetherian Hopf algebra domains of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), 3131–3168.

[Gñ] M. Graña, *A freeness theorem for Nichols algebras*. J. Algebra **231** (2000), 235–257.

[Gr] M. Gromov, *Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **1981** (2000), 53–73.

[GH] M. Graña and I. Heckenberger, *On a factorization of graded Hopf algebras using Lyndon words*. J. Algebra **314** (2007), 324–343.

[Gu] R. Günther, *Crossed products for pointed Hopf algebras*. Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), 4389–4410.

[Gv] D. I. Gurevich, *The Yang-Baxter equation and the generalization of formal Lie theory*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 288 (1986), no. 4, 797–801.

[H1] I. Heckenberger, *The Weyl groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type*. Inventiones Math. **164**, 175–188 (2006).

[H2] ———, *Classification of arithmetic root systems. Adv. Math.* **220** (2009), 59–124.

[HS] I. Heckenberger and H.-J Schneider, *Yetter–Drinfeld modules over bosonizations of dually paired Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. **244** (2013) 354–394.

[K] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Third edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. xxii+400 pp.

[KL] G. Krause and T. Lenagan, *Growth of algebras and Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*. Revised edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, **22**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. x+212 pp

[LM] A. Yu. Lazarev and M. V. Movshev, *Quantization of some Lie groups and algebras*. Russian Math. Surveys 46 (1991), no. 6, 225–226.

[L] G. Liu, *On noetherian affine prime regular Hopf algebras of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension* 1, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 777–785.

[LWZ] D.-M. Lu, Q.-S. Wu and J.J. Zhang, *Homological integral of Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 4945–4975.

[L2] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*. Birkhäuser (1993).

[O] C. Ohn, *A $*$-product on $SL(2)$ and the corresponding nonstandard quantum $U(sl(2))$*, Lett. Math. Phys. 25 (1992), 85–88.

[R1] M. Rosso, *Groupes quantiques et algebres de battage quantiques*. C. R. A. S. (Paris) **320** (1995), 145–148.

[R2] ———, *Quantum groups and quantum shuffles*. Invent. Math. **133** (1998), 399–416.

[S] P. Schauenburg, *A Characterization of the Borel-like subalgebras of Quantum Enveloping algebras*. Commun. Alg. **24** (1996), 2811–2823.

[Sn] H.J. Schneider, *Normal basis and transitivity of crossed products for Hopf algebras*. J. Algebra **152** (1992) 289–312.

[U] S. Ufer, *PBW bases for a class of braided Hopf algebras*. J. Algebra **280** (2004), 84–119.

[Y] H. Yamane, *Representations of a $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$-quantum group*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **43** (2007), 75–93.

[WZZ] D.-G. Wang, J.J. Zhang and G. Zhuang, *Hopf algebras of GK-dimension two with vanishing Ext-group*. J. Algebra **388** (2013), 219–247.

[Za] S. Zakrzewski, *A Hopf star-algebra of polynomials on the quantum* $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ *for a unitary R-matrix*. Lett. Math. Phys. **22**, 287–289 (1991).

[Zh] G. Zhuang, *Properties of pointed and connected Hopf algebras of finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension*. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **87** (2013), 877–898.

FaMAF-CIEM (CONICET), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Medina Allende s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, República Argentina.

E-mail address: (andrus|angiono)@famaf.unc.edu.ar

Philipps-Universität Marburg, Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, D-35032 Marburg, Germany.

E-mail address: heckenberger@mathematik.uni-marburg.de